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Referring a patient to a neuropsychologist for evaluation provides a level of rigorous assessment of brain function that often cannot be obtained in other ways. The neuropsychologist integrates information from the patient’s medical history, laboratory tests, and imaging studies; an in-depth interview; collateral information from the family and other sources; and standardized assessment instruments to draw conclusions about diagnosis, prognosis, and response to therapy. Family physicians can use this information in the diagnosis and treatment of patients with depression, dementia, concussion, and similar conditions, as well as to address concerns about decision-making capacity. Certain assessment instruments, such as the Mini-Mental State Examination and Patient Health Questionnaire–9, are readily available and easily performed in a primary care office. Distinguishing among depression, dementia, and other conditions can be challenging, and consultation with a neuropsychologist at this level can be diagnostic and therapeutic. The neuropsychologist typically helps the patient, family, and primary care team by establishing decision-making capacity; determining driving safety; identifying traumatic brain injury deficits; distinguishing dementia from depression and other conditions; and detecting malingering. Neuropsychologists use a structured set of therapeutic activities to improve a patient’s ability to think, use judgment, and make decisions (cognitive rehabilitation). Repeat neuropsychological evaluation can be invaluable in monitoring progression and treatment effects. (Am Fam Physician. 2010;82(5):495-502. Copyright © 2010 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

Family physicians often assess patients in whom there are concerns about behavioral health. Challenges arise in differentiating psychiatric disease from medical conditions (e.g., depression versus dementia), as well as identifying psychological and cognitive problems secondary to medication use. The family physician is trained to address these issues and to make use of diagnostic tools that identify and treat these conditions. There are times, however, when the family physician requires consultation in evaluating and treating these patients.1-3

Many assessment instruments can be used to identify psychological conditions and direct treatment in primary care. Screening for depression, anxiety, and dementia has been discussed in the family medicine literature. Some of the most common screening instruments used in primary care are listed in Table 1,4-10 and their role in the diagnosis and treatment of neuropsychological conditions is defined in Figures 1 through 4.11 Family physicians should be familiar with the validity and reliability of commonly used screening and diagnostic instruments.12 Use of any one of these instruments is only part of a diagnostic evaluation and should not stand alone in making a diagnosis.13

This article is directed toward adult patients in whom a more formal assessment of the interaction among neurologic, psychological, and behavioral function is warranted. Neuropsychologists assess brain function and impairment by drawing inferences from a patient’s objective test performance. Tests of neuropsychological function are often able to detect subtle cognitive deficits that are undetected by electrophysiologic or imaging methods.14 These assessments are used for clinical decision making, planning, and monitoring the outcomes and effects of treatment.15,16 Table 2 lists goals and benefits of a neuropsychological assessment, which reflect reasons for referral.15,17,18 Assessment of the patient’s capacities and cognitive deficits impacts treatment of the patient with regard to real-world resources and limitations. Furthermore, neuropsychological services use a structured set of therapeutic activities designed to improve a patient’s...
ability to think, use judgment, and make decisions (cognitive rehabilitation), and show benefit in treating these deficits.17-19

Neuropsychological Assessment
A neuropsychologist integrates many sources of information and standardized assessment instruments designed to evaluate specific aspects of brain functioning (Table 3).18 Most neuropsychologists choose specific instruments based on hypotheses generated from the referral question, medical records, and clinical interview.15-18 A list of assessment instruments is available at http://www.brainsource.com/nptests.htm.

The neuropsychologist has expertise in recognizing specific profiles of various neurodegenerative conditions. A neuropsychological evaluation is especially helpful for differential diagnosis when central nervous

---

**Table 1. Screening Instruments for Common Psychological Conditions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Screening instruments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Generalized Anxiety Disorder–2 and –7 scales, Mini-Social Phobia Inventory, Quick Psychodiagnosics Panel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beck Anxiety Inventory, Fear Survey Schedule, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, Symptom Checklist–90–Revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>General Practitioner Assessment of Cognition, Memory Impairment Screen, Mini-Cognitive Assessment Instrument, Mini-Mental State Examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cognistat, Dementia Rating Scale–2, Independent Living Scale, Neuropsychological Assessment Battery (Screening Module)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Patient Health Questionnaire–2 and –9, Beck Depression Inventory, Geriatric Depression Scale, Hamilton Depression Scale, Symptom Checklist–90–Revised</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information from references 4 through 10.

---

**Table 2. Goals and Benefits of Neuropsychological Assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify characteristic profile associated with various neurobehavioral syndromes as an aid in differential diagnosis</td>
<td>Distinguish between normal and early dementia, and among varieties of dementia (e.g., Alzheimer disease vs. vascular dementia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish possible localization, lateralization, and etiology of a brain lesion</td>
<td>Evaluate the contribution of medication adverse effects and nutritional deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist in postintervention outcomes</td>
<td>Use as an aid before surgical interventions (e.g., epilepsy surgery, ventricular shunting, deep brain stimulation) to inform treatment approaches and postintervention prognosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine neuropsychological deficits as an aid in treatment decisions and recommendations</td>
<td>Help determine best electrode placement in deep brain stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess subtle deficits in a variety of diseases</td>
<td>Behavioral modification with regard to a specific deficit (e.g., memory aids, executive functions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide treatment recommendations to patient, family, and health care professional</td>
<td>Detect early problems in diseases with neurocognitive manifestations (e.g., learning disabilities, sleep apnea, multiple sclerosis, traumatic brain injury, stroke, neoplasm, hepatic or renal dysfunction, delirium, Parkinson disease, human immunodeficiency virus encephalopathy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide cognitive rehabilitation</td>
<td>Increase quality of life with early medical and rehabilitative treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor cognitive changes associated with recovery, disease progression, and treatment</td>
<td>Predict ability to work, drive, and perform activities of daily living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist in medicolegal determinations, such as competency</td>
<td>Remediate and compensate for deficits identified on neuropsychological evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess for psychological contributions to symptom presentation</td>
<td>Provide ongoing treatment as disease progresses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist in the evaluation of symptom validity and potential malingering or feigning</td>
<td>Determine treatment effectiveness to inform treatment adjustment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide prognostic information for patient and family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aid patient, family, and health care team with information about decision-making capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine if psychological disorders are present, including somatoform disorder vs. genuine neurologic impairment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provide the correct diagnosis for the patient to avoid inappropriate treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information from references 15, 17, and 18.
system dysfunction is suspected, but does not have clearly identifiable neuroradiologic biomarkers (e.g., neurodegenerative disease, concussion) or when the correlation between the disease and cognitive dysfunction is imperfect. For example, neuropsychological assessment can distinguish patients with mild Alzheimer disease from healthy control patients with approximately 90 percent accuracy. Even when central nervous system lesions are present, their relationship to clinical features is tenuous, so neuropsychological assessment is critical to document cognitive manifestations of visible lesions versus elusive disease etiologies. That being said, patterns in the results of neuropsychological testing are very specific for some, but not all, diseases.

Accurate administration and interpretation of neuropsychological assessment instruments depend on the expertise of a qualified neuropsychologist. All of these instruments have been developed with specific patient populations in mind, and using the correct instrument and knowing its limitations require considerable training. The neuropsychologist must assess the motivation, effort, and cooperation of the patient, as well as medication effects and impact of the illness on the patient.

Computerized neuropsychological testing is now widely used in this field. Evidence exists to support the use of automated testing in patients with a wide variety of conditions, including dementia, Parkinson disease, sports concussions, and medication adverse effects. There are Internet-based automated assessment instruments available for purchase, particularly in the area of sports concussions, that have their own validated studies. However, the cost would be prohibitive to most family physicians, especially because free validated instruments are available. As with other screening instruments, abnormal initial results require an in-depth evaluation.

Uses in Primary Care

In a 2005 study, patients were referred for neuropsychological assessment for a variety of reasons. The most common were to establish diagnosis (70.7 percent), to plan rehabilitation (48.3 percent), and for forensic determination of competence (31.8 percent). In a 1992 study, primary care physicians were the most likely of all health care subspecialists to have never referred a patient for neuropsychological assessment, citing lack of familiarity with the services as the primary reason.

COMPETENCY

Determining a patient’s ability to make specific decisions is a common occurrence in family medicine. Although formal assessment is not always necessary, many instruments have been developed to help make this determination. The most validated is the MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool, which takes about 20 minutes to complete by a trained interviewer. An ideal evaluation should include collateral interviews, neuropsychological

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Components of a Neuropsychological Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for evaluation; presenting symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical, surgical, and behavioral health history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous neuropsychological assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational and occupational background</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family medical and mental health history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroimaging results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-depth interview (typically one to two hours)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In addition to the history mentioned above, interviews typically include birth and early development, abuse or neglect, childhood experiences, travel history, course of cognitive or neurologic symptoms, current work or academic performance, substance use, emotional functioning, personality characteristics, family dynamics, interpersonal relationships, legal circumstances, patient perspectives on illness and treatment, motivation, and observations of nonverbal neurobehavioral signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collateral information from multiple sources (e.g., spouse, friends, work supervisor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized neuropsychological assessment instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains typically assessed include intellectual abilities (IQ), academic skills (e.g., reading, spelling, arithmetic), attention (i.e., short-term, selective, and sustained), response inhibition, mental flexibility, reasoning, problem solving, language comprehension, receptive and expressive vocabulary, verbal fluency, confrontation naming, visual and verbal memory (including learning, recall, and facilitated cue and recognition formats), visuospatial abilities, visuomotor speed and integration, cognitive processing speed, motor skills (i.e., strength, speed, and dexterity), and emotional status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approach to the Patient in Whom There Is Concern About Decision-Making Capacity

Concern about decision-making capacity (e.g., from clinical interview, family members, health care team)

Medical interview specifically addressing patient’s ability to:

• Communicate a choice
• Understand relevant information
• Appreciate the situation and its consequences
• Reason about treatment options

Patient competent

Patient not competent or competency uncertain

Proceed with patient’s wishes

Decision urgent?

Yes

No

Does patient have advance directives or a surrogate?

Patient competent

Patient not competent or competency uncertain

Reassess patient later

Consider Aid to Capacity Evaluation,* MacArthur Competence Assessment Tool,† or other assessment instrument

Consider ethics committee or neuropsychological evaluation

*—Aid to Capacity Evaluation can be found at: http://www.aafp.org/afp/2001/0715/afp20010715p299-f2.pdf.

Figure 1. Algorithmic approach to the patient in whom there is concern about decision-making capacity.

testing, and functional ability assessment.26,27 Figure 1 shows an approach to the neuropsychological assessment of the patient in whom there is concern about decision-making capacity.

DRIVING

The complex cognitive and psychomotor skills required in driving, coupled with the aging population, have generated interest in assessing a person’s ability to drive and predicting motor vehicle crashes. It is controversial whether family physicians should evaluate patients for driving fitness. A survey of primary care physicians showed they were not confident in their ability to make such an assessment, and yet, most felt legally responsible for reporting unsafe drivers.28 The American Medical Association has a detailed handbook to help physicians with this process.11 Neuropsychological evaluation can provide invaluable information; however, neuropsychological assessment alone does not reduce the risk of crashes.29,30 Figure 2 shows an

Approach to the Patient in Whom There Is Concern About Unsafe Driving

Concern about unsafe driving:

• Predisposing medical or psychological conditions
• Medications
• Patient history
• Family or friend concerns
• Motor vehicle crash

Formally assess patient for driving-related concerns:

• General history and physical examination
• Vision
• Motor function
• Cognition (Trail Making Test, Part B* and Clock Drawing Test†)

Concerns identified

No concerns identified

No concerns identified

Yes

Yes

Does patient have advance directives or a surrogate?

Health and driving maintenance

Safe driving tips or classes

Exercise

Periodic follow-up

Refer patient for assessment or treatment:

• Physical or occupational therapy
• Driving rehabilitation specialist
• Neuropsychological evaluation

Problem resolved

Problem persists

Problem resolved

Problem persists

Health and driving maintenance

Safe driving tips or classes

Exercise

Periodic follow-up

Alternatives to driving

Adhere to state reporting regulations

Monitor for depression

*—Trail Making Test can be found at: http://www.healthcare.uiowa.edu/igec/tools/cognitive/trailmaking.pdf.
†—Clock Drawing Test can be found at: http://www.neurosurvival.ca/clinicalassistant/scales/clock_drawing_test.htm.

Figure 2. Algorithmic approach to the patient in whom there is concern about unsafe driving.

Information from reference 11.
approach to the neuropsychological assessment of the patient in whom there is concern about unsafe driving.11

DEMENTIA AND MILD COGNITIVE IMPAIRMENT

There appears to be a subtle decline in episodic memory (memory of specific past events involving the patient) several years before the development of clinical Alzheimer disease. As this disease progresses, additional cognitive deficits will develop. Early detection of dementia can provide useful information to guide the patient, family, and health care team in making decisions. Neuropsychological assessment can differentiate among various etiologies of dementia to direct treatment.20,31 Figure 3 shows an approach to the neuropsychological assessment of the patient in whom there is concern about cognitive ability.

DEPRESSION

The rate of unrecognized depression in the general population is substantial. Depression in patients with medical illnesses (especially neurologic conditions, such as dementia, Parkinson disease, and stroke) is relatively common. Cognitive problems are often a feature of depression in older persons, and marked cognitive impairment associated with depression may be a harbinger of dementia. Neuropsychological assessment can be invaluable in determining the role of depression in such persons, and thus the potential benefit of psychotherapy and medication intervention.9,32 Finding normal cognitive function on this assessment can be reassuring to the patient and family.33 Table 4 outlines distinguishing features between depression and Alzheimer disease.34

CONCUSSION AND TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY

Neuropsychological testing is highly sensitive to brain injury and can be helpful in the evaluation of concussion. Nonetheless, such testing is just one part of the multidisciplinary decision as to when a person is ready to return to work or sports. Although questions have been raised about its validity in predicting recovery, evidence exists that

Figure 3. Algorithmic approach to the patient in whom there is concern about cognitive ability. (PHQ = Patient Health Questionnaire.)
Neuropsychological evaluation is useful in predicting return to work or school, psychosocial outcomes, and community integration following concussion.\textsuperscript{35-37} Figure 4 shows an approach to the neuropsychological assessment of the patient with mild traumatic brain injury.

**OTHER CONDITIONS**

Formal neuropsychological evaluation can provide valuable information on diagnosis and guide treatment in other conditions, some of which are discussed in Table 2.\textsuperscript{15,17,18} It is also used in patients with psychiatric disease, substance dependence, or brain tumors; for detection of malingering; and in children, which is beyond the scope of this article.\textsuperscript{16,38,39}

**Outcomes**

Questions about real-world outcomes and rehabilitative potential are most relevant to family physicians. The same neuropsychological assessment instruments that were developed initially to answer diagnostic questions (e.g., localizing a brain lesion) are now used to answer questions about real-world functioning. All neuropsychological assessment instruments currently used have demonstrated diagnostic validity, and their ability to affect outcomes in the real world has been addressed by a number of studies (ecological validity). Whether these instruments can be applied to a primary care population is challenging. The neuropsychologist can help administer and apply these validated instruments to the patient.

In general, neuropsychological testing can predict a patient’s ability to return to work. These measures have moderate to high correlations with activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living in patients who have had a stroke.\textsuperscript{14,40,41}

In one study, primary care physicians found neuropsychological assessment to be useful. Seventy-eight percent of physician discharge summaries integrated information from the neuropsychological evaluation and recommendation into their discharge planning.\textsuperscript{25}

Research is lacking on the cost-effectiveness of assessing and treating patients with

### Table 4. Clinical Features Useful in Differentiating Alzheimer Disease from Depression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Alzheimer disease</th>
<th>Depression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavior congruent with deficits</td>
<td>Usual</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delusions</td>
<td>Mood independent</td>
<td>Mood congruent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional reaction</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Marked distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>Environmentally responsive</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient report of deficits</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric history</td>
<td>Unusual</td>
<td>Usual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom duration</td>
<td>Long</td>
<td>Short</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom progression</td>
<td>Slow</td>
<td>Rapid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation of accomplishments</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Minimized</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

neuropsychological problems. Most studies do not separate neuropsychological assessment and treatment from the entire integrated, multidisciplinary intervention. One study showed that compared with physical, occupational, and speech therapy, neuropsychological services aimed at remediating cognitive deficits resulted in significantly better functional outcomes. A study of patients after concussion showed that the annual cost savings for neuropsychological rehabilitation was approximately $335,000 per year. An integrated diagnostic and treatment program was shown to be cost-effective in a Dutch study. Thus, there are some promising studies, but more cost-effectiveness studies are needed.
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