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In 2011, through regular surveillance of more than 100 English-language research journals, a group of seven clinicians identified approximately 250 studies with the potential to improve the practice of primary care physicians and the outcomes of patients (designated as POEMs [patient-oriented evidence that matters]). Using a validated tool, Canadian primary care physicians have been rating the relevance of each POEM as they receive it in their e-mail. This article summarizes 20 of the most relevant, practice-changing POEMs from 2011 as determined by these raters. We believe this approach has greater relevance and validity than a subjective list of studies selected by experts or editors, because it is based on the actual ratings of practicing primary care physicians. These POEMs address topics that include how to best measure blood pressure, the lack of benefit of intensive glucose control, quantification of the benefit of statins for prevention of cardiac events, treatments for functional abdominal disorders, and management of low back pain. (Am Fam Physician. 2012;86(9):835-840. Copyright © 2012 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

POEMs are provided by Essential Evidence Plus, a point-of-care clinical decision support system published by Wiley-Blackwell. For more information, please see http://www.essentialevidenceplus.com.

The full text of the POEMs discussed in this article is available at http://www.aafp.org/afp/poems2011.html.
relevance and validity than a subjective list of studies selected by experts or editors because it is based on the actual ratings of practicing primary care physicians.

**Cardiovascular Disease**

The new oral anticoagulants, such as dabigatran (Pradaxa), are more convenient, but can cost up to $300 per month. Study 1 (Table 1) found that, for the average patient, dabigatran costs $86,000 for each additional quality-adjusted life-year gained. The researchers provide a useful diagram, available at http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/123/22/2562/F3.expansion.html, that helps clinicians identify the patients in whom the new drug is most cost-effective, based on the calculated risks of stroke and hemorrhage and time spent in the therapeutic international normalized ratio range.

Studies 2 and 3 address one of the most common tasks performed in primary care: blood pressure measurement. Study 2, which evaluated 444 men with hypertension who had a total of more than 100,000 blood pressure measurements over several years, found that each patient’s measurements vary significantly. The most reliable way to establish a patient’s blood pressure is to take several measurements over several weeks and average them. Study 3 found that in the office setting, using an automated blood pressure cuff more closely approximates ambulatory blood pressure monitoring than manual measurement (2.3 mm higher versus 6.5 mm higher), especially compared with measurements when the physician is in the room.

Studies 4 and 5 provide some of the best evidence regarding the benefits of statins. Study 4 pooled individual patient data from 26 studies and found that in patients with known cardiovascular disease, more intensive statin therapy was slightly more effective than less intensive therapy (number needed to treat [NNT] = 200 per year to prevent one additional cardiovascular event). However, all of the studies used fixed doses of statins, rather than aiming for specific low-density lipoprotein cholesterol targets, as is typically done in clinical practice. In the 14 placebo-controlled trials (including a mix of patients with and without preexisting cardiovascular disease), the NNT to prevent one cardiovascular event was 125 per year. Study 5 combined data from 76 trials with 170,255 patients and found that all-cause mortality was lower in patients taking a statin compared with patients taking placebo (NNT = 67 to prevent one death over approximately three years). Neither study found any difference between different types of statins.

**Musculoskeletal Disease**

Study 6 (Table 2) summarizes several systematic reviews of the evaluation of back pain. Routine imaging actually increases the risk of surgery, and does not decrease patient anxiety. The indications for imaging are limited to patients at high risk of a serious underlying cause, such as infection, cancer, or cauda equina syndrome, and to those with severe radicular pain.

Study 7 randomized 401 patients with chronic back pain to massage or usual care and found that weekly massage provides persistent improvement in pain and function. Study 8 found a small increase in the risk of femoral neck or intertrochanteric fracture in women who took bisphosphonates for longer than five years.
Because other studies have not shown a clear benefit to treatment for longer than five years, the study authors suggest discontinuing the drugs at that point for most women.11

**Diabetes Mellitus**

Several large, well-designed randomized trials found no benefit to intensive glucose control (e.g., A1C target less than 6.5 percent) in middle-aged patients with type 2 diabetes.13–15 Studies 9 and 10 (Table 3) confirm these findings.16,17 Study 9 was a five-year follow-up of the ACCORD (Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes) study that found persistent elevation in all-cause mortality in persons randomized to intensive glucose control.16 Study 10 combined the results of 13 trials with more than 34,500 patients and found no improvement in all-cause mortality or cardiovascular death with intensive glucose control; the only benefit was a small reduction in the likelihood of nonfatal myocardial infarction.17

Preventing diabetes is obviously the best strategy. Study 11 randomized patients to dietary counseling about a Mediterranean-type diet, supported with free mixed nuts and olive oil, or to counseling about a low-fat

---

**Table 2. Musculoskeletal Disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical question</th>
<th>Bottom-line answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6. When should diagnostic imaging be used for patients with low back pain?9</td>
<td>Routine imaging for patients with low back pain is not warranted, and is associated with increased cost, poorer health in recipients, increased risk of surgery, and no reduction in anxiety. Indications for imaging are few, but include major risk factors for cancer, signs of cauda equina syndrome, suspected infection, and severe neurologic deficits. Radiography should be performed after a trial of therapy in patients with weak risk factors for cancer, in young patients with signs of ankylosing spondylitis, and in older patients with risk factors for vertebral fracture. Magnetic resonance imaging should be limited to patients with radiculopathy or symptoms of spinal stenosis that do not respond to therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Can massage improve disability and decrease symptoms in patients with chronic low back pain?10</td>
<td>Weekly massage (a general relaxation massage or one aimed specifically at addressing musculoskeletal contributions to low back pain) for 10 weeks produces a clinically meaningful reduction in dysfunction and symptoms compared with usual care. The beneficial effect diminishes, but functional improvement persists for up to one year after the start of treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Do oral bisphosphonates increase the risk of atypical hip and femur fractures in postmenopausal women?11</td>
<td>Oral bisphosphonate use for longer than five years is associated with a significantly increased risk of atypical hip and femur fractures (subtrochanteric or femoral shaft). A previous study found little, if any, benefit of extended bisphosphonate use beyond five years in reducing the risk of typical fractures of the femoral neck or intertrochanteric region.12 Although this study design (case-control) is classified as weak evidence, it may be the best available for some time. Thus, clinicians should consider stopping bisphosphonates for most women after five years, except in those at high risk of fracture (e.g., those taking steroids long term).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3. Diabetes Mellitus**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical question</th>
<th>Bottom-line answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Does intensive glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes improve outcomes more than usual glucose control?16</td>
<td>This follow-up of the ACCORD (Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes) study confirms the initial findings that the best target for A1C in middle-aged or older patients with cardiovascular risk factors is between 7.0 and 7.9 percent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Does intensive glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes decrease the risk of death or sequelae of diabetes?17</td>
<td>This meta-analysis, which combined the results of 13 trials with more than 34,500 patients, found no benefit to attempting intensive glucose control in adults with type 2 diabetes, with the exception of a decrease in the likelihood of nonfatal myocardial infarction (but not cardiovascular mortality). The more studies that accumulate, the less benefit is shown with intensive glucose control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. For patients at high cardiovascular risk, can dietary counseling on a Mediterranean-type diet decrease the incidence of type 2 diabetes?18</td>
<td>Extensive and ongoing dietary counseling about a Mediterranean-type diet (including a high consumption of vegetables, legumes, nuts, and olive oil, and a low consumption of meat and dairy products) decreased the incidence of diabetes compared with counseling about a low-fat diet over four years in patients with three or more cardiovascular risk factors. The patients in this study were also supported with large quantities of free olive oil or mixed nuts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Information from references 9 through 12.*
diet. After four years, patients on a Mediterranean-type diet were less likely to develop diabetes (10 versus 18 percent; NNT = 12).\(^18\)

### Gastrointestinal Disease

Functional abdominal problems can be frustrating to manage, but several new POEMs provide some useful insights. Study 12 (Table 4\(^{19,20}\)) identified patients with normal upper endoscopic findings and no evidence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection. Those randomized to receive a proton pump inhibitor instead of placebo were more likely to have a significant improvement in symptoms (36 versus 6 percent), but primarily if they had a body mass index greater than 25 kg per m\(^2\) (53 percent response rate).\(^19\)

Study 13 randomized 132 adults with mild to moderate irritable bowel syndrome to receive a probiotic or placebo, and found that those taking the probiotic were more likely to have a clinically significant response (57 versus 21 percent; NNT = 3).\(^20\) Study 14 found that although consuming whole prunes leads to a significant improvement in constipation, a person would have to eat about 12 (260 calories worth) per day to benefit.\(^21\)

#### Screening and Prevention

Study 15 (Table 5\(^{22-24}\)) reassures physicians that asking about suicidal ideation does not “plant the thought” in patients with depression. The researchers randomized 443 patients with depression to either be asked six questions about suicidal thoughts and ideas, or six questions about health and lifestyle in general. At a follow-up visit, there was no difference in the proportion who had experienced suicidal ideation.\(^22\)

Study 16, a meta-analysis of 14 randomized controlled trials, found that the risk of adverse cardiovascular events was significantly increased in persons taking varenicline (Chantix); however, most studies excluded persons with known heart disease. Of course, if smokers successfully quit smoking, their risk of cardiovascular events decreases, but it does suggest that alternative approaches be considered for those with known cardiovascular disease.\(^23\)

In study 17, older adults who were obese and frail were randomized to weight loss, exercise (aerobic, resistance, and flexibility), both, or usual care. Patients in all of the intervention groups had improvements on a physical performance test at the end of one year, and both weight loss groups lost about 20 lb (9 kg), with the greatest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical question</th>
<th>Bottom-line answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12. Which patients with normal upper endoscopic findings and no evidence of <em>Helicobacter pylori</em> infection are likely to benefit from a proton pump inhibitor?(^19)</td>
<td>Among patients with dyspepsia who have no evidence of <em>H. pylori</em> infection and normal endoscopic findings, approximately one-half of those who are overweight or obese, and one-fifth of those who are not, will respond to a proton pump inhibitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Can probiotic treatment decrease the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome?(^20)</td>
<td>The probiotic <em>Bifidobacterium bifidum</em> MIMBb75 is a normal gastrointestinal tract inhabitant (with several hundred other commensal organisms). This strain, found in some probiotic formulations, decreases overall symptoms in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and is an inexpensive and safe alternative to medications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Are dried plums (prunes) as effective as psyllium (Metamucil) for the treatment of chronic constipation in adults?(^21)</td>
<td>Prunes are at least as effective as treatment with the bulk laxative psyllium in patients with chronic constipation. Bowel movement frequency will double with six prunes eaten twice per day, with improvements in stool consistency and constipation symptoms. Prunes are calorie dense; a dozen contain approximately 260 calories, though the glycemic index is low.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical question</th>
<th>Bottom-line answer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15. Does asking about suicidal ideation increase a patient’s feelings that life is not worth living?(^22)</td>
<td>Asking about suicide—even asking six questions on the topic—does not increase thoughts that life is not worth living in persons with depression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Does varenicline (Chantix) increase the risk of serious adverse cardiovascular events?(^23)</td>
<td>In 14 clinical trials, varenicline increased the risk of adverse cardiovascular events. The relative risk was 1.72, which, if applied to a patient with known heart disease, results in a number needed to harm of 28 (95% confidence interval, 13 to 213).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Do patients who are obese and frail benefit most from exercise, weight loss, or both?(^24)</td>
<td>A combination of exercise and weight loss will provide the most improvements in physical performance and weight loss in older persons who are obese and frail.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
improvement occurring in the group that was randomized to both weight loss and exercise.24

Miscellaneous

Almost every treatment has harms as well as benefits. A physician’s task is to make sure the likelihood of benefit outweighs the likelihood of harm for most patients most of the time. Study 18 (Table 625–27) is a systematic review of corticosteroid inhalers in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Although there is a known benefit of one fewer exacerbation per year for every six persons who use an inhaler, there is also one fracture every three years for every 83 patients.25

Many physicians prescribe only three packs of oral contraceptives at a time, and some state laws even prevent prescribing more than that at a time. Study 19 linked data from the California Medicaid program to a birth registry and found that women given 12 or 13 packs of oral contraceptives were less likely to become pregnant (1.2 versus 3.3 percent) and less likely to have an abortion (0.18 versus 0.63 percent) than women receiving three packs at a time. After adjusting for potential confounders, there was still a 30 percent reduction in pregnancy and a 46 percent reduction in the likelihood of induced abortion.26

Study 20 randomized 240 adolescents and adults with a plantar wart to up to four sessions of cryotherapy (mean of 1.6 sessions) or eight weeks of daily pumice stone use plus application of salicylic acid 50%. After six months, results were identical (and unimpressive) for both groups, although patients tended to prefer the cryotherapy.27

Final Comment

Choosing only 20 POEMs (instead of 22 or 24) is arbitrary and driven as much by convention as anything else. A few noteworthy POEMs that almost made the cut deserve a brief mention. One study found that among women 40 to 59 years of age who had regular mammograms for 10 years, 61 percent had at least one false-positive result with annual screening and 42 percent with biennial screening. They also had cumulative rates of biopsy of 7 and 5 percent, respectively.28 A systematic review of 62 clinical trials including 32,000 children found that up to 75 mg per kg per day of acetaminophen is safe for children, with no evidence of clinically important liver damage.29 Finally, observational data from 19 studies with nearly 1.5 million persons found that after adjusting for smoking and cancer, the optimal body mass index was between 20.0 and 24.9 kg per m².30

Editor’s Note: This article was cowritten by Dr. Mark Ebell who is deputy editor for American Family Physician (AFP) and cofounder and editor-in-chief of Essential Evidence Plus, published by Wiley-Blackwell. The POEMs described in this article stem from work that Dr. Ebell and his colleagues have been doing for the past two decades. Medical journals occasionally publish an article summarizing the best studies in a certain field from the previous year; however, those articles are limited by being one person’s idiosyncratic collection of a handful of studies. In contrast, this article by Drs. Ebell and Roland Grad is validated in two ways: (1) the source material (POEMs) was derived from a systematic review of thousands of articles using a rigorous criterion-based process, and (2) these “best of the best” summaries were rated by Canadian primary care physicians for relevance and importance.

Because of Dr. Ebell’s dual roles and ties to Essential Evidence Plus, the concept for this article was independently reviewed and approved by a group of AFP’s medical editors. In addition, the article underwent peer review and editing by four of AFP’s medical editors. Dr. Ebell was not involved in the editorial decision-making process.

In addition to this article on the top 20 POEMs of 2011, we will be resuming the publication of selected POEMs in AFP, in partnership with Wiley-Blackwell. From 2003 through 2006, we published POEMs with Tips from Other Journals (see http://www.aafp.org/afp/2003/0315/p1196.html for an editorial introducing the publication of POEMs in AFP). We hope that our readers find these a useful way of keeping up with selected studies that have the potential to change practice.—JAY SIWEK, MD, Editor, American Family Physician

The authors thank Wiley-Blackwell for giving permission to excerpt the POEMs; Drs. Allen Shaughnessy, Henry Barr, David Slawson, Nita Shrukani, and Linda French for their work in selecting and writing the original POEMs; the academic family medicine fellows of the University of Missouri, Columbia, for their work as peer reviewers; Pierre Pluye, PhD, for his work in codeveloping the Information Assessment Method; and Maria Vlasak for her assistance with copyediting the POEMs for the past 18 years.
Top Research Studies

The Authors

MARK H. EBELL, MD, MS, is an associate professor of epidemiology at the University of Georgia in Athens.

ROLAND GRAD, MD, MSc, is an associate professor in the Department of Family Medicine at McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Address correspondence to Mark H. Ebell, MD, MS, 150 Yonah Dr., Athens, GA 30601 (e-mail: ebell@uga.edu). Reprints are not available from the authors.

Author disclosure: Dr. Ebell is cofounder and editor-in-chief of Essential Evidence Plus, published by Wiley-Blackwell. Dr. Grad has no relevant financial affiliations to disclose.

REFERENCES


