Clinical Evaluation of Bleeding and Bruising in Primary Care
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Bleeding and bruising are common symptoms in the primary care setting. The patient history can help determine whether the bruising or bleeding is abnormal. The International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis has developed a bleeding assessment tool that can be used to indicate possible pathology. A family history of bleeding problems may suggest a hereditary coagulation defect. Such a history is especially important in children who may not have experienced a major bleeding episode. Medication review can identify pharmacologic causes of the bleeding or bruising. Physical examination findings such as mucocutaneous bleeding suggest that the underlying condition is caused by platelet dysfunction, whereas hemorrhoses or hematomas are more common in coagulopathy. If the history and physical examination findings suggest a bleeding diathesis, initial laboratory testing includes a complete blood count, peripheral blood smear, prothrombin time (PT), and partial thromboplastin time (PTT). A normal PT and PTT indicate a platelet disorder, the most common of which is von Willebrand disease. A normal PT and prolonged PTT signal a deficit in the intrinsic pathway, and a mixing study should be performed. A vitamin K challenge is indicated in patients with an abnormal PT and normal PTT. A workup for liver failure is warranted in patients with prolonged PT and PTT. If initial testing does not reveal an etiology in a patient with a high suspicion for a bleeding disorder, the patient should be referred to a hematologist for additional evaluation. (Am Fam Physician. 2016;93(4):279-286. Copyright © 2016 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

Mechanisms of Bleeding and Bruising

Easy bruising and abnormal bleeding are common symptoms in the primary care setting that may present as excessive bruising when injured, or as epistaxis, menorrhagia, or prolonged bleeding during surgery or dental procedures. It is estimated that 26% to 45% of healthy patients have a history of epistaxis, easy bruising, or gum bleeding.1 Approximately 5% to 10% of reproductive-aged women seek treatment for menorrhagia,2 and an estimated 29% of these women have an underlying bleeding disorder.3,4 Women with von Willebrand disease are five times more likely to have menorrhagia than those without the condition.5 Although von Willebrand disease affects men and women equally, women are more likely to seek evaluation because of heavy menses.

Identification of bleeding and bruising and appropriate intervention can decrease the associated morbidity and mortality. Evaluation of the patient with bleeding and bruising requires a detailed personal and family history, a thorough review of medications, physical examination, and laboratory testing.

History and Physical Examination

BLEEDING HISTORY

The age and sex of the patient should be considered when evaluating those with abnormal bruising and bleeding. Severe inherited bleeding disorders often manifest in infancy or early childhood. Women are more likely to report bleeding because of menses and childbirth, even in the absence of a bleeding disorder. The patient should be asked to describe the type of bleeding or bruising (i.e., epistaxis, menorrhagia, or hematomas) and the circumstances surrounding the bleeding (e.g., trauma, dental procedures, surgery). The physician should determine whether any blood products, medications (e.g., oral
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contraceptives), or other hemostatic treatments (e.g., antifibrinolytic inhibitors, desmopressin, recombinant products) were used to treat the bleeding.

Physical abuse should be ruled out, particularly if the bruising has an abnormal pattern and there is clinical suspicion. Additional information about nutritional status, alcohol use, liver or kidney disease, and recent illnesses or infections can provide useful diagnostic clues about the underlying etiology, particularly if the bleeding or bruising is new in onset (Table 1).6,7

### BLEEDING ASSESSMENT TOOLS

Several different bleeding assessment tools (BATs) have been developed to quantify the patient’s subjective experience of bleeding and bruising. These tools have sensitivities of 41% to 100% and specificities of 81% to 99%, with positive likelihood ratios ranging from 2 to 71 and negative predictive values greater than 99%.5,8-10 To reduce variability between tools, the International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis (ISTH) created a standardized tool for use in adults and children,11 which is summarized in Table 2.12 (The full tool is available for free at http://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.isth.org/resource/resmgr/ssc/isth-ssc_bleeding_assessment.pdf.) Although the ISTH-BAT is based on previous validated bleeding tools and retrospective studies, it has yet to be fully validated in prospective studies. Based on a study of 1,040 adults and 328 children, the normal ISTH-BAT cutoff is 3 for men, 5 for women, and 2 for children.13 The tool is administered by a clinician or trained personnel and takes approximately 20 minutes. A modified, self-administered tool may be available in the near future.14

The ISTH-BAT was designed primarily for identification of congenital disorders of hemostasis. It is less helpful for identifying inherited platelet function disorders (sensitivity = 49%; specificity = 53%; positive likelihood ratio = 1.04).15 Thus, if suspicion of a bleeding disorder is high, evaluation by a hematologist is warranted.

### FAMILY HISTORY

X-linked recessive hemophiliias or von Willebrand disease should be considered in patients with a family history of bleeding. It is important to ask about several generations and second-degree relations, such as maternal uncles, when hemophilia is suspected in a male. However, a negative family history does not exclude a genetically inherited disorder; up to one-third of patients diagnosed with hemophilia have no family history.16 Similarly, a negative family history does not preclude someone from having von Willebrand disease, because incomplete penetrance and variable expression contribute to the complexity of inheritance. Obtaining a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical recommendation</th>
<th>Evidence rating</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The ISTH-BAT should be used during the initial evaluation of patients with a suspected bleeding disorder.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11, 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A peripheral blood smear, complete blood count, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time, and renal and liver function tests should be obtained in patients with an abnormal ISTH-BAT score or in whom a bleeding disorder is suspected.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>18-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients should be referred to a hematologist for further evaluation if suspicion for a bleeding disorder remains high despite a negative workup.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ISTH-BAT = International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis Bleeding Assessment Tool.

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.
thorough family history is especially important in children because they may not have challenged their clotting system with surgery or dental procedures.

**MEDICATION HISTORY**

An evaluation of prescription and over-the-counter medications and supplements is important to identify pharmacotherapy-induced bleeding (*Table 3*).17 Even when patients are taking a drug that can cause bleeding or bruising, the possibility of an underlying bleeding disorder should not be dismissed. Some medications may be clinically indicated despite increased bleeding and bruising (e.g., clopidogrel [Plavix] after coronary stenting). Physicians must discuss the risks and benefits of these medications with patients. Additional evaluation is warranted if a medication is suspected
Table 2. International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis Bleeding Assessment Tool

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms (to the time of diagnosis)</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>0*</th>
<th>1*</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epistaxis</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>More than five per year or lasting longer than 10 minutes</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Packing, cauterization, or antifibrinolytic therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous symptoms</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>At least five bruises (&gt; 1 cm) in exposed areas</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding from minor wounds</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>More than five per year or lasting longer than 10 minutes</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Surgical hemostasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral cavity symptoms</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Present (not associated with ulcer, portal hypertension, hemorrhoids, or angiodysplasia)</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Surgical hemostasis or antifibrinolytic therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Present (macroscopic)</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Surgical hemostasis or iron therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Present (macroscopic)</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Surgical hemostasis or iron therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tooth extraction</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Reported in 25% or less of all procedures; no intervention‡</td>
<td>Reported in more than 25% of all procedures; no intervention†</td>
<td>Resuturing or packing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Reported in 25% or less of all procedures; no intervention‡</td>
<td>Reported in more than 25% of all procedures; no intervention†</td>
<td>Surgical hemostasis or antifibrinolytic therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menorrhagia</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Consultation only,† changing pads more frequently than every two hours, clotting and flooding, or score higher than 100 on pictorial blood loss assessment chart§</td>
<td>Missed work/school more than twice per year; antifibrinolytic, hormone, or iron therapy</td>
<td>Combined antifibrinolytic and hormone therapy, or present since menarche and longer than 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postpartum hemorrhage</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Consultation only,† changing pads more frequently than every two hours, clotting and flooding, or score higher than 100 on pictorial blood loss assessment chart§</td>
<td>Iron or antifibrinolytic therapy</td>
<td>Blood transfusion, replacement therapy, desmopressin therapy, or requiring examination under anesthesia and/or the use of uterine balloon/package to tamponade the uterus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle hematomas</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Post-trauma, no therapy</td>
<td>Spontaneous, no therapy</td>
<td>Spontaneous or traumatic, requiring desmopressin or replacement therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemarthrosis</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>Post-trauma, no therapy</td>
<td>Spontaneous, no therapy</td>
<td>Spontaneous or traumatic, requiring desmopressin or replacement therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system bleeding</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Subdural, any intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other bleeding¶</td>
<td>None/ trivial</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Consultation only†</td>
<td>Surgical hemostasis, antifibrinolytic therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*—The distinction between 0 and 1 is of critical importance; 1 means that the symptom is judged as present in the patient’s history but does not qualify for a score 2 or higher.
†—The patient sought medical evaluation and was referred to a subspecialist or offered detailed laboratory investigation.
‡—For example, a score of 1 is assigned for four extractions/surgeries in which only one resulted in bleeding (25%); a score of 2 is assigned for one extraction/surgery resulting in bleeding (100%), for two extractions/surgeries in which only one resulted in bleeding (50%), or for three extractions/surgeries in which only one resulted in bleeding (33%).
§—If already available at the time of collection.
¶—Includes umbilical stump bleeding, cephalohematoma, cheek hematoma caused by sucking during breast/bottle feeding, conjunctival hemorrhage, and excessive bleeding after circumcision or venipuncture. When these conditions occur in infants, detailed investigation is required independent from the overall score.
as the cause of bleeding and bruising, but symptoms persist beyond seven to 10 days despite discontinuation of the medication. Antiplatelet and anticoagulation agents most commonly cause bleeding or bruising. Drug-induced thrombocytopenia should be suspected when the platelet count rebounds after discontinuation of the drug in question and decreases with reexposure to the drug.\textsuperscript{17} Although low platelet counts can occur in patients with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (an immune-based thrombocytopenia), the major complication is thrombosis rather than bleeding.

**PHYSICAL EXAMINATION**

A thorough physical examination may yield information about the origin of bleeding. Hemophilia or other congenital bleeding disorders should be considered in patients with spontaneous hemarthroses, muscle hemorrhages, or retroperitoneal bleeding. Mucocutaneous bleeding (e.g., petechiae, epistaxis, gingival bleeding, gastrointestinal or genitourinary bleeding) suggests a platelet disorder. Hepatomegaly suggests liver failure, whereas splenomegaly may suggest underlying malignancy. Although extremely rare, splenomegaly can be consistent with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura.

**Laboratory Evaluation**

If the ISTH-BAT score is above the sex- and age-specific cutoff, laboratory evaluation should be performed. In a young child who has not yet had a challenge to the clotting system, a strong family history would be a

---

**Table 3. Medications that Cause Bleeding or Bruising**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism of action</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation inhibition</td>
<td>Apixaban (Eliquis),* dabigatran (Pradaxa),* enoxaparin (Lovenox),* heparin, rivaroxaban (Xarelto),* warfarin (Coumadin)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen degradation</td>
<td>Corticosteroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet function inhibition</td>
<td>Aspirin/nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,* clopidogrel (Plavix),* fish oil, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombocytopenia</td>
<td>Alcohol, antibiotics (cephalosporins, linezolid [Zyvox], nitrofurantoin, penicillin, rifampin, sulfonamides, vancomycin), carbamazepine (Tegretol), quinine, thiazide diuretics, valproic acid (Depakene)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{*}—Most commonly causes bleeding. Information from reference 17.

---
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A peripheral smear serves several purposes in a bleeding workup. First, it can confirm whether thrombocytopenia is present because automatic analyzers can under- or overestimate platelet counts if the platelets are smaller or larger than normal.18 Additionally, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, one of the anticoagulants used in automatic analyzers, can cause pseudothrombocytopenia secondary to

**Figure 1. Algorithm for the evaluation of abnormal bleeding and bruising.** (ISTH-BAT = International Society on Thrombosis and Hemostasis bleeding assessment tool; PT = prothrombin time; PTT = partial thromboplastin time.)

Information from references 18 through 21.
to platelet clumping. Finally, observation of platelet morphology and characteristics can provide important information regarding possible hematologic malignancies or hyperproliferation conditions.  

NORMAL PT/NORMAL PTT

A normal PT and PTT indicate that the clotting cascade is intact, unless there is a mild factor deficiency. Therefore, further evaluation should focus on platelet function activity. Bleeding time is no longer considered the test of choice because it is labor intensive and has poor sensitivity and specificity. The platelet function analyzer (PFA-100), developed in the 1990s, automates the process of determining platelet aggregation by passing the patient’s blood through two membranes. Although the PFA-100 can detect severe forms of von Willebrand disease, it may miss milder, more common forms of the condition, with a sensitivity of only 61.5% to 71%. Additionally, the PFA-100 has variable sensitivity for detecting platelet function abnormalities (24% to 81%). Therefore, it is not recommended by the ISTH as a screening tool for von Willebrand disease or platelet function disorders.

Von Willebrand disease, a disorder of platelet aggregation, is the most common cause of inherited coagulopathies. Thus, if the PT and PTT are normal, the next step is testing for von Willebrand factor antigen, von Willebrand factor activity (also called ristocetin cofactor activity), and factor VIII level. If any of these are abnormal, further testing should be performed to determine the type of von Willebrand disease. This can be carried out by a hematologist, if desired. If screening is negative, light transmission aggregometry is the preferred diagnostic test for platelet function disorders. Because this test is labor and resource intensive, it should be ordered only by a hematologist.

NORMAL PT/ABNORMAL PTT

A prolonged PTT with a normal PT indicates an abnormality in the intrinsic pathway. The next step is to perform a PTT mixing study to distinguish abnormalities in the clotting factors (VIII, IX, and XI) vs. clotting factor inhibitors, namely factor VIII inhibitor and lupus anticoagulant. Normal plasma is mixed with the patient’s plasma in a 1:1 ratio and the PTT is retested. The PTT generally corrects after mixing and stays corrected after incubation if there is a clotting deficiency, but will prolong after incubation if there is a clotting inhibitor. There are no standardized methods of interpretation or cutoff values for these studies; therefore, the sensitivity and specificity can vary between laboratories. It is important to determine which calculations and cutoffs are used by the laboratory when interpreting the results.

It is important to note that factor VIII levels can be low in persons with von Willebrand disease in the setting of a mildly prolonged PTT. Thus, it is worth screening for the disorder with a mixing study.

ABNORMAL PT/NORMAL PTT

A prolonged PT in the setting of a normal PTT is uncommon and suggests an abnormality in the extrinsic pathway. Vitamin K administration with normalization of the PT can rule out a vitamin K deficiency. If the PT remains prolonged, evaluation of factor VII should be undertaken.

ABNORMAL PT/ABNORMAL PTT

Prolongation of the PT and the PTT suggests liver failure, disseminated intravascular coagulation, or defects in the common pathway to the intrinsic and extrinsic pathways. The appropriate evaluations to discern these causes are liver function tests (if not already performed), fibrinogen levels, and testing factor assays, respectively.

Referral

The patient should be referred to a hematologist if initial laboratory testing is not definitively diagnostic of a bleeding disorder, but a high clinical suspicion remains. In addition, a preoperative evaluation that reveals abnormal laboratory findings should prompt a delay in surgery until evaluation is complete or consultation is obtained.
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