

#### Student 3 Consent Calendar

National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students July 27-29, 2017 - Kansas City, MO

1	RECOMMENDATION: The Student 3 Reference Committee recommends the
2	following consent calendar for adoption:
3	
4	Item 1: Adopt Substitute Resolution No. S3-301 in lieu of Resolution No. S3-301
5 6	"Support of Teen Pregnancy Prevention Research" and Resolution No. S3-302 "Preserve Funding for Teen Pregnancy Prevention Programs" (pp. 1-2)
7	Freserve Funding for reen Fregulaticy Frevention Frograms (pp. 1-2)
8	Item 2: Not Adopt Resolution No. S3-304 "Establish an Accessible Online Minority
9	Mentorship Program" (pp. 2-3)
10	
11	Item 3: Not Adopt Resolution No. S3-307 "Expanding Technology Utilization in the
12 13	Primary Care Environment" (pp. 3-4)
14	Item 4: Adopt Substitute Resolution No. S3-308 "Decreasing Cost and Increasing
15	Environmental Sustainability" (p. 4)
16	
17	Item 5: Not Adopt Resolution No. S3-309 "Include the Papaya Workshop for Uterine
18	Aspirations in the AAFP National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and
19	Students" (pp. 4-5)
20	
21	REAFFIRMATION CALENDAR:
22	(A) Resolution No. S3-303 "Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program Support" (p. 6)
23	(B) Resolution No. S3-305 "Support Reproductive Health Education" (pp. 6-7)
24 25	(C) Resolution No. S3-306 "Request for Increasing Emphasis on End-of-Life Care Planning" (p. 7-8)
26	(D) Resolution No. S3-310 "Medical Student and Resident Advocacy Related to the
27	AMA RUC Committee" (p. 8)
<b>4</b> 1	f(x)



# Student 3 Reference Committee Report

National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students July 27-29, 2017 - Kansas City, MO

- 1 The Student 3 Reference Committee has considered each of the items referred to
- 2 it and submits the following report. The committee's recommendations will be
- 3 submitted as a consent calendar and voted on in one vote. Any item or items may
- 4 be extracted for debate.

#### ITEM NO. 1: RESOLUTION S3-301: SUPPORT OF TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION RESEARCH

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians reaffirm its support for comprehensive sexual education and research for teen pregnancy preventions, and be it further

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians write a letter to the United States Department of Health and Human Services urging them to continue funding for research and development of innovative pregnancy prevention programming.

### RESOLUTION S3-302: PRESERVE FUNDING FOR TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAMS

 RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians release a statement opposing the Department of Health and Human Services proposed budget cuts to the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program grantees in 2018 fiscal year, and, be it further

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians send a letter to the Department of Health and Human Services Secretary urging him to rescind the proposed budget cuts for the 2018 fiscal year to the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program grantees.

The reference committee heard testimony from the authors and other members regarding the important role that community-based programs play in preventing unplanned teen pregnancies. Testimony also captured the connection between unwanted teen pregnancies and poor health outcomes among both the parents and children involved, as well as other social factors such as lower educational

advancement. There was specific concern that this issue is especially affected in the current political climate. Authors of the resolution cited concerns about recent actions by the current United States administration to encourage Congress to reduce funding for programs that aim to reduce unwanted pregnancies among teenagers that use strategies other than abstinence education, and for the US Department of Health and Human Services to redirect resources in this area. Both authors and others reflected personal patient experiences with teen parents and noted that academic health centers provide care for many of these patients. The authors also testified that they would be supportive of joining these two resolutions. The reference committee agreed with the goals of these resolutions and felt that it is important for the AAFP, representing family physicians who care for these patients on the front line and champion preventive care, to use its influence to affect these issues at a federal level. The committee suggested modification of the resolutions to allow the AAFP Government Relations Division to reach the appropriate government entities using the most effective modalities.

RECOMMENDATION: The reference committee recommends that Substitute Resolution No. S3-301 be adopted in lieu of Resolution No. S3-301 and Resolution No. S3-302, which reads as follows:

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians communicate to the appropriate government entities including, but not limited to, Congress and the United States Department of Health and Human Services, opposition to budget cuts to the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program.

### ITEM NO. 2: RESOLUTION S3-304: ESTABLISH AN ACCESSIBLE ONLINE MINORITY MENTORSHIP PROGRAM

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians establish an accessible online program for mentors who have a goal of supporting minority students and residents, and be it further

RESOLVED, That in creating the mentorship program, the American Academy of Family Physicians consider using mentor identifiers such as ethnic background, practice setting, clinical interests, gender identity, sexual orientation and religion, and be it further

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians promote the mentorship program as a benefit of membership.

The reference committee did not hear testimony on this resolution. The reference committee agreed with the spirit of the resolution and what they interpreted to be the intention of the authors to create opportunities for students from backgrounds underrepresented in medicine to connect with others they identify with, especially those who may be more advanced in their careers and may be able to offer guidance in addition to camaraderie. The committee felt that the AAFP offers many benefits with the

same aim, including the Minority Special Interest Discussion at the AAFP National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students; the online communities for AAFP Member Constituencies which include women, minorities, new physicians, international medical graduates, and lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender groupings; and the National Congress of Constituency Leaders which provides a vehicle for policy and program development led by these constituencies. The reference committee was concerned about the resource intensiveness of a project such as this one, as databases require both initial development and significant, intentional maintenance to remain current and relevant. The committee was also concerned about sensitivities in identifying members by factors such as gender identity, sexual orientation, and religion in a public forum such as an online community. The committee's primary concern is that the database proposed would not achieve what the spirit of the resolution called for, which is more meaningful connections and stronger support for members who may feel isolated and underpowered.

<u>RECOMMENDATION: The reference committee recommends that Resolution No.</u> S3-304 not be adopted.

#### ITEM NO. 3: RESOLUTION S3-307: EXPANDING TECHNOLOGY UTILIZATION IN THE PRIMARY CARE ENVIRONMENT

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians continue to support and expand the presence of technology integration and implementation discussion at workshops and talks at the National Conference, and be it further

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians advocate to state and federal governments for health policies supportive of the implementation of technology in patient management, including but not limited to, advocacy for reimbursement for telemedicine visits, and be it further

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians provide support for interested students and residents seeking to expand their skill in medical technology development, quality measurement, and implementation by posting current opportunities in a tech digest section of *American Family Physician*.

The reference committee heard testimony in favor of the resolution, with two students speaking on the ever-growing use of technology in the primary care office and its impact on the delivery of care. Because health technology is constantly undergoing change, it is essential for the AAFP to ensure that members receive updates on advancements as they become available and provide education on effective implementation. The reference committee reflected on the potential for technology to improve patient experience and satisfaction, cost-effectiveness, and health outcomes. Most of the resolution was consistent with current AAFP positions and actions on health information and technology, for example, through providing programming at National Conference on the subject of technology integration in primary care offices. However, the development of a new technology-focused department in *American Family Physician*, which is a

clinically focused and editorially independent publication, was not found to be an actionable proposal, nor one that would achieve the aim of the resolution.

<u>RECOMMENDATION: The reference committee recommends that Resolution No. S3-307 not be adopted.</u>

#### ITEM NO. 4: RESOLUTION S3-308: DECREASING COST AND INCREASING ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY OF THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE

 RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians' National Conference Planning Committee consider doing away with gift bags and transitioning documents, promotional information, and other paper-based printing to electronic forms.

The reference committee heard testimony in favor of the resolution, during which students proposed that the AAFP "lead the charge" for reducing negative environmental impacts and financial costs associated with National Conference by increasing the number of resources available electronically rather than on paper. The reference committee felt that considering the environmental impact of National Conference resources and handouts was an important task. The committee also felt that the printed promotional products, particularly those delivered in registration bags, are not effective at reaching student attendees. However, the committee recognized that allowing companies to advertise on AAFP National Conference resources and handouts, including various paper products, is an important driver of revenue for the conference.

### RECOMMENDATION: THE REFERENCE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THAT SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION NO. S3-308 BE ADOPTED IN LIEU OF RESOLUTION NO. S3-308, WHICH READS AS FOLLOWS:

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) explore opportunities to maintain and increase revenue for the AAFP National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students using options that also reduce the need for paper production and more effectively influence attendees.

## ITEM NO. 5: RESOLUTION S3-309: INCLUDE THE PAPAYA WORKSHOP FOR UTERINE ASPIRATION IN THE AAFP NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF FAMILY MEDICINE RESIDENTS AND STUDENTS

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians support an annual Papaya Workshop for Uterine Aspiration at the National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students, and be it further

RESOLVED, That the delegates of the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) Resident and Student Congress present a resolution to the AAFP COD

to include a Papaya Workshop for training in Uterine Aspiration in the residents and students conference curriculum.

The reference committee heard substantial testimony in favor of this resolution. Authors expressed an intent to use the resolution process to advocate for the inclusion of this specific clinical skills workshop because their submissions through the established process for proposals for National Conference programming have not been accepted. Authors felt the Papaya Workshop for Uterine Aspiration held off site from the AAFP National Conference would be better attended if it was accepted as official National Conference programming.

One member voiced a concern that the absence of this workshop from National Conference may suggest a lack of support or promotion of reproductive education by the AAFP. Many students testified to a desire to include this type of workshop in National Conference programming because such an opportunity is difficult to find in medical school training and may be limited within the current political climate. Students emphasized the importance of family physician training in this area as family doctors have strong continuity of care with their patients and often provide the greatest availability of medical services in rural communities.

The reference committee, although supportive of medical student and resident education across the scope of reproductive health procedures performed by family physicians, felt that it was important to maintain the integrity and authority of the AAFP's National Conference Workshop Proposal submission process. This process is open to all medical students, residents, and faculty, and allows for the consideration of proposed workshops based on their relevancy to six focus areas: career planning, clinical skills, health policy and advocacy, leadership development, practice management, and research. This process also allows for resident and student members of the AAFP's Subcommittee on National Conference Planning to carefully consider the strength of each proposal and take into consideration data on interest and demand for workshop topics. The reference committee encourages the authors and all students interested in this workshop to express that interest through the National Conference post-event attendee survey.

#### <u>RECOMMENDATION: The reference committee recommends that Resolution No. 28 S3-309 not be adopted.</u>

#### **REAFFIRMATION CALENDAR**

- The following items A through D are presented by the Reference Committee on the
- 212 Reaffirmation Calendar. Testimony in the Reference Committee hearing and discussion
- 213 by the Reference Committee in Executive Session concurred that the resolutions
- 214 presented in Items A through D are current policy or are already addressed in current
- 215 projects. At the request of the National Congress of Family Medicine Residents, any

item may be taken off the Reaffirmation Calendar for an individual vote on that item.

Otherwise, the Committee will request approval of the Reaffirmation Calendar in single

<u>vote.</u>

(A) Resolution S3-303 – Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program Support

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians advocate to the Department of Education and United States Congress in support of the continuation and expansion of the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program, and be it further

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians oppose the passage of H.R. 2725, the "Student Loan Lower Interest Rate and Lower Monthly Repayment Refinancing Act of 2017."

The reference committee heard testimony from the author reflecting the benefits of the Public Service Loan Forgiveness program as an option for family physicians who work in qualifying not-for-profit health systems to have aid repaying their loans, reducing their personal debt burden. Another member testified in support of the resolution citing a connection between primary care specialty choice and perceived affordability of a career in primary care. The reference committee discussed the importance of supporting any efforts to reduce student loan debt and help family physicians with their financial wellbeing. The committee recognized that the AAFP has numerous policy statements that focus on and relate to student debt that reflect the organization's support for efforts that assist in reducing the debt burden. The committee also reviewed testimony given by president John Meigs, MD, to the Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Labor, Health and Human Services, & Education on May 31, 2017, that specifically urged the subcommittee to continue the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program.

(B) Resolution S3-305 – Support Reproductive Health Education

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians advocate on behalf of family physicians who perform and teach contraception, options counseling for unintended pregnancy, miscarriage management, and abortion care, many of whom are subjected to legislation or hospital restrictions that may limit scope of practice or training opportunities, and be it further

RESOLVED, That planners of the American Academy of Family Physicians National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students support and encourage inclusion of reproductive health topics such as contraception, abortion, miscarriage, and options counseling for unintended pregnancy, as well as procedural topics like intrauterine device insertion and implant insertion at the National Conference of Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students.

The reference committee heard testimony in favor of the resolution. Four students, including the author, advocated for the AAFP to take an active role in supporting reproductive health care education. It was noted that the current political climate makes speaking out as an organization an especially pressing activity. Members shared pointed observations gleaned from their personal experiences providing men and women with reproductive health care. Rural clinics were cited as being especially vulnerable to legislation that attempts to limit family physicians' scope of practice through restrictions on reproductive health care. Recent output of legislation requires that teachers be embraced by a supportive medical community that encourages them in achieving their mission to educate future family physicians. The reference committee echoes the firm support for extensive and accessible reproductive health education shared in testimony. Because of the AAFP's unyielding commitment to protecting scope of practice, it has publicly opposed all proposed and otherwise enacted non-evidencebased limits on the care that family physicians can provide their patients, as captured in current policy. Additionally, programming such as workshops held on reproductive health topics at the 2017 National Conference and previous events supports medical students learning how to provide effective reproductive health care. The open submission process for selecting programs encourages the continual presence of these programs.

(C) Resolution S3-306 – Request for Increasing Emphasis on End-Of-Life Care Planning

RESOLVED, That the American Academy of Family Physicians explore and incorporate education on end-of-life care into programming at the AAFP National Conference for Family Medicine Residents and Medical Students or other appropriate educational venues.

The reference committee heard testimony in favor of the resolution, including from an author, who explained that many medical students, and even many currently practicing physicians, feel unprepared to provide end-of-life care planning to their patients and would benefit from further education. Testimony was also heard from members from three other states, reflecting a wide interest among the student constituency. Testimony also highlighted the importance of end-of-life care planning to the future physicians of an aging U.S. population. The reference committee strongly agreed that education in this area is important to medical students, especially those entering family medicine, but felt that this proposal is already encompassed within the AAFP's goals to reflect the breadth of family medicine within its National Conference programming. To ensure a wide scope of programming at National Conference, there is an annual open call for program proposals where, for example, end-of-life educational sessions could be proposed. The reference committee felt that the most effective and appropriate means of ensuring that is offered at future conferences is through this established submission process. The process includes an open call-for-proposals process that is accessible to all medical students, residents, faculty, and others. Proposals submitted in this manner are considered based on their relevancy within six areas of focus--career planning, clinical skills, health policy and advocacy, leadership development, practice

261

262

263264

265266

267

268

269

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278279

280

281 282

283 284

285

286 287

288 289

290

291

292293

294

295

296

297298

299 300

301

302

303

304

305

management, and research--and are vetted by the AAFP Commission on Education Subcommittee on National Conference Planning made up of AAFP student, resident, and active physician members.

309 310 311

307

308

(D) Resolution S3-310 – Medical Student and Residency Advocacy Related to the AMA RUC Committee

312313314

315

RESOLVED, That the student and resident branches of the AAFP actively lobby the AMA to increase the representation of family physicians on AMA's RUC, and be it further

316317318

RESOLVED, That the student and resident branches of the AAFP create a sub-committee or special designated member dedicated to RUC reform.

319320321

322

323

324

325

326

327 328

329

330

331

332 333

334 335

336 337

338 339

340

341

342

343 344

345

The reference committee heard testimony in favor of the resolution from two students. An author testified that students are largely unaware of the considerable role that the American Medical Association Specialty Society Relative Value Scale Update Committee (RUC) has in determining physician pay through its recommendations to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), noting that CMS accepts nearly all reimbursement schedule recommendations that are made by RUC. The reference committee shared the author's concern that the AAFP has only one permanent seat on the RUC, with most committee seats belonging to subspecialist organizations. This representation model has led to an unbalanced payment system that disproportionately rewards subspecialist care, widening an already alarming pay gap. However, the reference committee felt that the AAFP is already using appropriate means to influence the RUC, and acknowledged that those efforts are largely unrewarded. Regarding student and resident influence on the RUC, the committee acknowledged that the committee is served exclusively by physicians who have long been established in practice. Because of this, students are unlikely to find information about how they can affect decision-making on payment reform within this body. The reference committee felt that the student and resident members on the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) Commission on Quality and Practice, which deals with issues related to physician payment and the RUC and advises the AAFP-appointed RUC member on decision making and receives updates from him or her on RUC proceedings, have the opportunity to share information with their constituencies and increase student awareness and understanding. Additionally, the reference committee discussed that in 2010, the AAFP wrote to the RUC Board Chair requesting that additional seats be created for family physicians. This appeal for more adequate representation of primary care was not fully received by RUC, and another letter is unlikely to prompt further action.

346 347 348

349

350

RECOMMMENDATION: The Reference Committee recommends that Items A through D on the Reaffirmation Calendar be approved as current policy or as already being addressed in current projects.

352	I wish to thank those who appeared before the reference committee to give
353	testimony and the reference committee members for their invaluable assistance. I
354	also wish to commend the AAFP staff for their help in the preparation of this
355	report.
356	
357	Respectfully submitted,
358	
359	
360	
361	Laura Ruhl
362	
363	Erin Clark
364	Allen Rodriguez
365	Margaret Smith
366	Olivia Bolen
367	Rozanna Fang
368	C. C. Linder