Keeping Up with the Medical Literature: How to Set Up a System

ALLEN F. SHAUGHNESSY, PharmD, Tufts University Family Medicine Residency, Malden, Massachusetts

The best way to keep up with the medical literature is to set up a system that delivers valid, relevant information while filtering out extraneous information. Useful information is patient-oriented, practical, and innovative. Good information systems are available that are inexpensive and easy to use. (*Am Fam Physician*. 2009;79(1):25-26. Copyright © 2009 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

► See related editorial on page 7.

This is one article in a six-part series about putting evidence into practice.

hink back for a moment: how did you first learn that estrogen therapy increased, rather than decreased, heart disease risk in postmenopausal women? Did you read the research study in *JAMA*? See a synopsis of the article in *Journal Watch*? Hear about it at a medical meeting?

Chances are good that it wasn't any of these sources. Charles Gibson, Katie Couric, or Brian Williams probably told you. A patient may have brought in an Internet printout, or you may have heard it on the radio.

How to Keep Up?

As information changes around us at a dizzying pace, how are we to keep up with it all? As recently as 10 years ago, the difficult part was gaining access to information. Now we are awash in more information than we can handle—certainly more information than we need. The best way to keep up is to set up a system that delivers the information we need without the information we don't need. We want information that is valid and relevant to our practice. It should be inexpensive and easy to use.¹

News Versus Information

Relevant information is that which we can use to make decisions. News, on the other hand, is anything we didn't know yesterday.

Our system has to provide us with information—not just news—that we can use. Although news is usually interesting, most of us simply don't have time to search through a mound of information, separating the useful from the useless.

Lightening the Load

The best information is a POEM—patientoriented evidence that matters. POEMs must meet the following three criteria:

- The information must focus on outcomes that patients care about. We are in the business of helping people live longer and better lives.
- The intervention must be feasible. There is no sense in reading about a drug, test, or procedure that is not available or is too costly.
- The information, if true, must require us to change our practice. It may be gratifying to learn that what we currently do is correct, but this is not the kind of information we are looking for.²

Signal Versus Noise

Much of the new research is preliminary or exploratory, and it is not ready for wide-spread use. But hidden in all of this noise is valid research that should change the way we practice medicine.³ Our system should separate this signal from the noise and not waste our time with information that is not relevant to our practice.

Sources

Do you like to read a newsletter? Browse the Internet? Read e-mail? Do you like your information in small bites or do you like to digest a pile of new information once a month?

The first step is to figure out your preferred method of receiving information. Systems that provide you with the information with little effort on your part are more likely to be successful. Most physicians use several different tools as part of their system.

Table 1. Selected Resources for Keeping Up with the Medical Literature Source Description Cost American College of Physicians One-page summaries of about \$260 per year Articles are rated for relevance by a Journal Club 30 valid research papers. national network of primary care Published biweekly in Annals of (http://www.acpjc.org) physicians Internal Medicine Twice-monthly print and online Free to qualified primary Several sources of clinical information American Family Physician (http://www.aafp.org/afp) publication care physicians whose evidence is graded Monthly online newsletter Free online British newsletter that is also (http://www.medicine.ox.ac. available in print uk/bandolier) Daily POEMs Alerts Daily e-mail summary of a single \$79 per year, which Coordinated with Essential Evidence (http://www.essential valid research article includes a subscription Plus evidenceplus.com/product/ to Essential Evidence features_dailyip.cfm) **Evidence-Based Practice** Evidence-based answers to \$99 or more per year Questions are derived from sentinel common clinical questions practices. Their relevance is graded, newsletter (http://www.ebponline.net) in primary care. Produced by and the evidence for the answer is the Family Physicians Inquiries systematically reviewed, analyzed, Network (http://www.fpin.org) and rated Evidence Updates from the Weekly e-mail updates of Free Validated evidence considered to be **BMJ Evidence Centre** article titles linked to Medline relevant and newsworthy (http://plus.mcmaster. abstracts ca/evidenceupdates) Biweekly print or online Journal Watch \$129 per year for print Annotated reviews of clinically (http://www.jwatch.org) newsletter version; \$79 per year important studies from a broad for online version array of medical journals

How to Evaluate

The next step in the process of developing a system is to evaluate the relevance of the information. A quick look at samples is helpful. Finding out how the service selects relevant information will keep you from getting bogged down in news that isn't helpful.

The information service should also indicate how it evaluates the validity of the information. Does it label the information with levels of evidence? Does it use formal validity checks? Publication in a well-known journal is not an indicator of quality. The earlier studies showing a benefit to estrogen therapy were published in *JAMA* and the *New England Journal of Medicine*. 4-6

Beware Trojan Horses

Just as the Greeks were said to have sneaked into the city of Troy in the belly of a wooden horse, so too can information from commercial sources be slipped into otherwise good-quality sources. Free information sources may provide information from pharmaceutical companies. Although the information may be valid and relevant, it may not have gone through the necessary quality checks.

Developing a System

The goal of having an information system is to help you feel confident in your clinical practice. Your system should deliver relevant and valid information as it becomes available, filtering out unnecessary information. *Table 1* lists examples of information sources; choose the ones that will work for you.

The Author

ALLEN F. SHAUGHNESSY, PharmD, is a professor in the Department of Public Health and Family Medicine and associate program director at the Tufts University Family Medicine Residency, Boston, Mass. He also is a contributing editor for *American Family Physician*. Dr. Shaughnessy received his doctor of pharmacy degree at the Medical University of South Carolina in Charleston.

Address correspondence to Allen F. Shaughnessy, PharmD, Tufts University, 195 Canal St., Malden, MA 02148 (e-mail: allen.shaughnessy@tufts.edu). Reprints are not available from the author.

Author disclosure: Dr. Shaughnessy is a consulting editor for John Wiley and Sons, Inc., publisher of Essential Evidence Plus. He also is a consultant for BMJ Group and Prescriber's Letter.

REFERENCES

- 1. Slawson DC, Shaughnessy AF, Bennett JH. Becoming a medical information master. *J Fam Pract*. 1994;38(5):505-513.
- Slawson DC, Shaughnessy AF. Becoming an information master: using POEMs to change practice with confidence. J Fam Pract. 2000;49(1):63-67.
- Smith R. What clinical information do doctors need? BMJ. 1996;313(7064):1062-1068.
- 4. Barrett-Connor E, Wingard DL, Criqui MH. Postmenopausal estrogen use and heart disease risk factors in the 1980s. *JAMA*. 1989;261(14):2095-2100.
- 5. Barrett-Connor E, Bush TL. Estrogen and coronary heart disease in women. *JAMA*. 1991;265(14):1861-1867.
- Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC, et al. Postmenopausal estrogen therapy and cardiovascular disease. N Engl J Med. 1991;325(11):756-762.