

# Management of Blood Glucose with Noninsulin Therapies in Type 2 Diabetes

CHRISTA M. GEORGE, PharmD, and LUCY L. BRUIJN, MD, MPH

University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee

KAYLEY WILL, PharmD, Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center, Abilene, Texas

AMANDA HOWARD-THOMPSON, PharmD, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, Tennessee

A comprehensive, collaborative approach is necessary for optimal treatment of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Treatment guidelines focus on nutrition, exercise, and pharmacologic therapies to prevent and manage complications. Patients with prediabetes or new-onset diabetes should receive individualized medical nutrition therapy, preferably from a registered dietitian, as needed to achieve treatment goals. Patients should be treated initially with metformin because it is the only medication shown in randomized controlled trials to reduce mortality and complications. Additional medications such as sulfonylureas, dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors, thiazolidinediones, and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists should be added as needed in a patient-centered fashion. However, there is no evidence that any of these medications reduce the risk of diabetes-related complications, cardiovascular mortality, or all-cause mortality. There is insufficient evidence on which combination of hypoglycemic agents best improves health outcomes before escalating to insulin therapy. The American Diabetes Association recommends an A1C goal of less than 7% for many nonpregnant adults, with the option of a less stringent goal of less than 8% for patients with short life expectancy, cardiovascular risk factors, or long-standing diabetes. Randomized trials in middle-aged patients with cardiovascular risk factors have shown no mortality benefit and in some cases increased mortality with more stringent A1C targets. (*Am Fam Physician*. 2015;92(1):27-34. Copyright © 2015 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

► See related editorial on page 22.



More online at <http://www.aafp.org/afp>.

**CME** This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz Questions on page 16.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

A handout on this topic is available at <http://familydoctor.org/familydoctor/en/diseases-conditions/diabetes.html>.

**T**reatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus begins with a comprehensive and collaborative approach. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) treatment guidelines focus on medical nutrition therapy, exercise, pharmacologic therapy, and the prevention and management of diabetes-related complications.<sup>1</sup> Selected major trials that form the basis for treatment recommendations are listed in *eTable A*. There is no evidence demonstrating the impact on complications or mortality for the newer agents described in this article.

## Lifestyle Management

Lifestyle and nutrition counseling is essential for patients with prediabetes or new-onset diabetes to slow the progression of type 2 diabetes.<sup>2-5</sup> Current ADA recommendations state that medical nutrition therapy should remain part of the treatment plan after

pharmacotherapy is initiated.<sup>2</sup> In a large, randomized, multicenter trial, patients were assigned to an intensive lifestyle intervention group or to a diabetes support and education group. The intensive intervention consisted of baseline diabetes education; frequent individual and group counseling from dietitians, behavioral psychologists, and exercise specialists; caloric restriction; and regular exercise. Participants in the intensive intervention group achieved an average weight loss of 8.6% of their initial body weight ( $P < .001$ ), which was associated with a statistically significant decrease in the number of diabetic and antihypertensive medications used at the end of the one-year follow-up period.<sup>3</sup> The intensive intervention group also achieved a statistically significant improvement in glycemic control, blood pressure, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglyceride levels compared with the control group.

## Noninsulin Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes

### SORT: KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE

Clinical recommendation	Evidence rating	References
Metformin should be used as first-line therapy to reduce microvascular complications, assist in weight management, reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, and reduce the risk of mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus.	A	7, 11
Patients with prediabetes or new-onset diabetes should undertake extensive lifestyle changes to slow the progression of type 2 diabetes.	A	2-5
Patients with existing cardiovascular disease, two or more cardiovascular disease risk factors, or duration of diabetes of 10 years or more should have higher A1C goals because of a lack of benefit and the potential for increased risk of mortality compared with lower A1C goals.	A	39-41
Self-monitoring of blood glucose levels for patients taking noninsulin therapies does not significantly affect glycemic control.	B	44, 45

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to <http://www.aafp.org/afpsort>.

A subsequent study analyzed the weight-loss strategies used by the patients in the intensive intervention group and found that weekly self-weighing, regular consumption of breakfast, and reduced intake of fast food were associated with a lower body mass index in overweight patients.<sup>4</sup> However, an analysis of this study showed that there was no difference in cardiovascular events between the intensive intervention group and the diabetes support and education group.<sup>6</sup> The ADA recommends that patients with prediabetes or diabetes receive individualized medical nutrition therapy, preferably from a registered dietitian, to achieve treatment goals.<sup>12</sup> This recommendation is based on studies showing that medical nutrition therapy decreases A1C level, weight, waist circumference, and triglyceride level, and increases health-related quality of life.<sup>5</sup>

### Management of Blood Glucose Levels

Medications for type 2 diabetes target multiple sites throughout the body to lower blood glucose levels (eTable B). The ADA recommends starting therapy with metformin, because it is the only medication shown to reduce mortality and complications in randomized controlled trials (RCTs).<sup>7</sup> Additional medications should be added in a patient-centered, individualized fashion, although there is no evidence from RCTs that

any of these medications reduce the risk of diabetes-related complications, cardiovascular mortality, or all-cause mortality.<sup>1</sup> A 2011 comparative effectiveness review showed that metformin, second-generation sulfonylureas, thiazolidinediones, and repaglinide (Prandin) lowered A1C levels by about 1% when used as monotherapy and that combination therapies showed an additive effect on A1C levels.<sup>8</sup> Table 1 lists common hypoglycemic agents for treating type 2 diabetes.<sup>19</sup> Table 2 lists typical doses and costs of common hypoglycemic agents.<sup>10</sup>

### BIGUANIDES

Metformin is the initial agent to reduce microvascular complications, assist in weight management, reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, and reduce the risk of mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes.<sup>1,7,11</sup> It may be considered in patients with diagnosed prediabetes, especially those with a body mass index greater than 35 kg per m<sup>2</sup>, those younger than 60 years, or women with a history of gestational diabetes. Metformin

is either weight neutral or induces moderate weight loss, and it reduces mortality and cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes.<sup>11</sup> Even if patients advance to insulin therapy, metformin use should be continued for these reasons. To combat potential gastrointestinal adverse effects, physicians should prescribe a lower dose initially and titrate slowly or use the extended-release formulation to improve tolerance. Patients should be counseled to take metformin with a meal to lessen the likelihood of adverse effects.<sup>12</sup>

According to prescribing information, metformin is contraindicated in men with a serum creatinine level of 1.5 mg per dL (133  $\mu$ mol per L) or greater and in women with a serum creatinine level of 1.4 mg per dL (124  $\mu$ mol per L) or greater. However, the 2012 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes guidelines state that metformin use should be continued in patients with an estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of 45 mL per minute per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> or greater; its use should be evaluated in patients with an estimated GFR of 30 to 44 mL per minute per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>; and it should be discontinued in patients with an estimated GFR less than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.<sup>13,14</sup> A Cochrane review pooling data from 347 trials revealed no cases of lactic acidosis in more than 70,000 patient-years of metformin use.<sup>15</sup>

**Table 1. Hypoglycemic Agents for Treating Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus**

Medication	Average A1C reduction	Potential adverse effects	Precautions/contraindications
Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors Acarbose (Precose) Miglitol (Glyset)	0.5% to 0.8%	Flatulence, diarrhea, abdominal bloating	Avoid when creatinine clearance < 25 mL per minute per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> (0.42 mL per second per m <sup>2</sup> ) Most effective when given with a starchy, high-fiber diet Reverse hypoglycemia with glucose, not sucrose
Biguanides Metformin	1.0% to 1.3%	Nausea, diarrhea, abdominal bloating Extended-release preparations have fewer gastrointestinal adverse effects	Estimated GFR 30 to 44 mL per minute per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> : review use of metformin Estimated GFR < 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> : discontinue use Discontinue during acute illness or procedure that could predispose patient to lactic acidosis
Dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors Alogliptin (Nesina)* Linagliptin (Tradjenta)* Saxagliptin (Onglyza)* Sitagliptin (Januvia)*	0.5% to 0.9%	Headache, pancreatitis (rare)	Linagliptin does not require dosage adjustment in renal insufficiency Saxagliptin dosage adjustment required when administered with concomitant CYP3A4 inhibitors
Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists Albiglutide (Tanzeum)* Dulaglutide (Trulicity)* Exenatide (Byetta, Bydureon)* Liraglutide (Victoza)*	0.8% to 2.0%	Nausea, vomiting, sense of fullness Weight loss of 1 to 4 kg (2.2 to 8.8 lb) is likely Pancreatitis (rare)	Exenatide is not recommended if creatinine clearance < 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> (0.50 mL per second per m <sup>2</sup> ) Boxed warning for personal or family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma; patients with multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2
Meglitinides Nateglinide (Starlix)* Repaglinide (Prandin)	0.5% to 1.0%	Hypoglycemia	Metabolized primarily by the liver (CYP3A4 and CYP2C9)
Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors Canagliflozin (Invokana)* Dapagliflozin (Farxiga)* Empagliflozin (Jardiance)*	0.5% to 0.9%	Increased urinary tract and genital infections, increased low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level Weight loss of 0.7 to 3.5 kg (1.5 to 7.7 lb) is typical	Dosage adjustment required in renal insufficiency
Sulfonylureas Glimepiride (Amaryl) Glipizide (Glucotrol) Glyburide	0.4% to 1.2%	Hypoglycemia, weight gain	Dosage adjustment required in renal insufficiency Administer with meals
Thiazolidinediones Pioglitazone (Actos) Rosiglitazone (Avandia)	0.5% to 1.4%	Weight gain, edema	Contraindicated in patients with New York Heart Association class III or IV congestive heart failure Decrease concomitant insulin dose at initiation

GFR = glomerular filtration rate.

\*—Drug available only as brand name in the United States.

Information from references 1 and 9.

## Noninsulin Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes

### SULFONYLUREAS

Glimepiride (Amaryl), glipizide (Glucotrol), and glyburide are insulin secretagogues with common adverse effects of hypoglycemia and weight gain.<sup>1,16</sup> These medications should be administered with meals. As a patient's disease progresses, the effectiveness and durability of these medications decrease as pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell function decreases.<sup>1,16</sup> One advantage to using sulfonylureas as add-on therapy to metformin is cost. All second-generation sulfonylureas are available as generic formulations and are relatively inexpensive.<sup>9</sup> Since the publication of the University Group Diabetes Program results,<sup>17</sup> controversy remains over whether sulfonylureas increase

mortality in patients with diabetes.<sup>18</sup> Observational and randomized studies have shown conflicting results.<sup>18</sup> One review concluded that there is insufficient evidence from RCTs on the safety of sulfonylurea monotherapy.<sup>19</sup>

### DIPEPTIDYL-PEPTIDASE-4 INHIBITORS

Alogliptin (Nesina), linagliptin (Tradjenta), saxagliptin (Onglyza), and sitagliptin (Januvia) are members of a newer class of oral diabetes medications. When used as monotherapy or in conjunction with metformin, dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors have a low risk of hypoglycemia.<sup>1,20</sup> When used in conjunction with sulfonylureas or insulin, it may be necessary to adjust the dosage of the existing therapy to prevent hypoglycemia. These medications are weight neutral and generally well tolerated. Although their use has been associated with pancreatitis, a cause and effect relationship has not been established. Physicians should monitor for signs and symptoms of pancreatitis, and discontinue therapy if pancreatitis occurs.<sup>20</sup> Dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors are less potent in terms of lowering A1C (0.5% to 0.9%) and more expensive compared with other generically available agents.<sup>9</sup>

### THIAZOLIDINEDIONES

Pioglitazone (Actos) and rosiglitazone (Avandia) are in the thiazolidinedione class of diabetes medications. Both medications are metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes in the liver, so precautions should be taken when given concomitantly with other cytochrome P450 inducers or inhibitors. These medications commonly cause weight gain and edema, which is especially troublesome in patients with congestive heart failure; therefore, these medications are contraindicated in patients with New York Heart Association class III or IV heart failure.<sup>9</sup> In November 2013, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) removed the prescribing restrictions associated with rosiglitazone following the release of the RECORD study, which showed that patients taking rosiglitazone were not at higher risk of heart attack or death compared with patients treated with other medications (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.99; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.85 to 1.16).<sup>21</sup>

**Table 2. Typical Dosing Range and Monthly Cost of Hypoglycemic Agents**

Medication	Typical dosing range	Cost*
<b>Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors</b>		
Acarbose (Precose)	25 to 100 mg three times daily	\$80 to \$105
Miglitol (Glyset)	25 to 100 mg three times daily	\$160 to \$210
<b>Biguanides</b>		
Metformin	500 to 1,000 mg twice daily	\$4 to \$35
<b>Dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors</b>		
Alogliptin (Nesina)	25 mg daily	\$340
Linagliptin (Tradjenta)	5 mg daily	\$400
Saxagliptin (Onglyza)	2.5 to 5 mg daily	\$350
Sitagliptin (Januvia)	50 to 100 mg daily	\$360
<b>Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists</b>		
Exenatide (Byetta, Bydureon)	Byetta: 5 to 10 mcg twice daily Bydureon: 2 mg once weekly	\$500 \$520
Liraglutide (Victoza)	1.2 to 1.8 mg daily	\$705
<b>Meglitinides</b>		
Nateglinide (Starlix)	120 mg three times daily	\$155
Repaglinide (Prandin)	0.5 to 4 mg three times daily	\$300 to \$660
<b>Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors</b>		
Canagliflozin (Invokana)	100 to 300 mg daily	\$375
Dapagliflozin (Farxiga)	5 to 10 mg daily	\$375
<b>Sulfonylureas</b>		
Glimepiride (Amaryl)	1 to 8 mg daily	\$10 to \$70
Glipizide (Glucotrol)	5 to 15 mg daily	\$10 to \$30
Glyburide	1.25 to 20 mg daily	\$10 to \$90
<b>Thiazolidinediones</b>		
Pioglitazone (Actos)	15 to 45 mg daily	\$210 to \$350
Rosiglitazone (Avandia)	4 to 8 mg daily	\$140 to \$255

\*—Estimated cost of one month's treatment based on generic or brand availability, rounded to the nearest \$5.

Information from reference 10, with additional information from <http://www.goodrx.com> (accessed June 3, 2015).

In 2011, the FDA warned against the use of pioglitazone in patients with active bladder cancer and cautioned against its use in patients with a history of bladder cancer.<sup>22</sup> These warnings came after data from two cohort studies showed an increased risk of bladder cancer in patients exposed to pioglitazone. The first study reported an increased risk of bladder cancer in patients who took pioglitazone for more than 24 months compared with patients who had never taken it (HR = 1.4; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.0).<sup>23</sup> A second study reported bladder cancer incidence rates of 49.4 cases per 100,000 person-years for patients exposed to pioglitazone vs. 42.8 cases per 100,000 person-years for those with no exposure (adjusted HR = 1.22; 95% CI, 1.05 to 1.43).<sup>24</sup>

Additionally, thiazolidinediones have a boxed warning for an increased risk of bone fractures in women, especially of the distal upper and lower limbs.<sup>25-28</sup> As a result, these medications should generally be avoided in women with concomitant osteoporosis or osteopenia.

### GLUCAGON-LIKE PEPTIDE-1 RECEPTOR AGONISTS

Albiglutide (Tanzeum, once weekly), dulaglutide (Trulicity, once weekly), exenatide (Byetta, twice daily; Bydureon, once weekly), and liraglutide (Victoza, once daily) are injectable medications that affect fasting and postprandial glucose levels. They should be avoided in patients with gastroparesis and in those with severe renal impairment, defined as creatinine clearance less than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> (0.50 mL per second per m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>9</sup> There is a boxed warning for albiglutide, dulaglutide, extended-release exenatide, and liraglutide regarding the occurrence of medullary thyroid carcinoma in rats, although a causal relationship has not been established in humans. These medications are contraindicated in patients with active multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 or with a personal or family history of medullary thyroid carcinoma. Additionally, this medication class may increase the risk of pancreatitis; proper monitoring is recommended and alternate therapy is required if pancreatitis is confirmed.<sup>9,29</sup>

### MISCELLANEOUS

Other therapies have been approved for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, but are less widely used and are not included in the 2014 ADA treatment algorithm.<sup>1</sup> These medications include the alpha-glucosidase inhibitors acarbose (Precose) and miglitol (Glyset); the meglitinides nateglinide (Starlix) and repaglinide; the bile acid sequestrant colesevelam (Welchol); and the dopamine agonist bromocriptine (Cycloset). These medications are typically expensive, not well tolerated, and only minimally effective.

The amylin analogue pramlintide (Symlin) may be used to treat type 1 or type 2 diabetes. It has a boxed warning for the risk of severe hypoglycemia.<sup>30</sup> The newest class of medications, the sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors canagliflozin (Invokana), dapagliflozin (Farxiga), and empagliflozin (Jardiance), increase urinary glucose excretion by interfering with the reabsorption of glucose in the proximal renal tubule. Despite adverse effects of increased urination, increased genital mycotic infections (in women more often than in men), and increased urinary tract infections, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors are associated with minimal hypoglycemia.<sup>31</sup>

### Approach to the Patient

Guidelines from the ADA and the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists recommend a comprehensive, patient-centered approach for achieving and maintaining glycemic control. *Figure 1* provides multiple pharmacotherapy options based on A1C levels, fasting or postprandial glucose control, weight loss, and adverse effects.<sup>1,12,32</sup> Although glycemic control is important, addressing other cardiovascular risk factors, such as hyperlipidemia and hypertension, is at least as important but is beyond the scope of this article.

### A1C GOALS

The ADA recommends an A1C goal of less than 7% for many nonpregnant adults, but this recommendation does not apply to all persons with diabetes.<sup>1</sup> This recommendation is based on pathophysiologic reasoning, expert opinion, and the reduction in microvascular complications shown in the U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS).<sup>7,33,34</sup> Since that time, however, the study design, interpretation of results, and reporting of results from the UKPDS have been criticized, particularly because tight glycemic control did not result in fewer cardiovascular events or lower mortality in the primary analysis.<sup>35-37</sup> Secondary analyses have shown a possible benefit to tighter control, but this must be balanced against several large and more recent trials of tight control in the United States that showed either no benefit or adverse effects.<sup>38-41</sup> Furthermore, a systematic review and meta-analysis of 14 clinical trials showed that intensive glucose control had no significant effect on all-cause mortality in patients with type 2 diabetes compared with conventional control.<sup>42</sup> It also showed that intensive glucose control increased the relative risk of severe hypoglycemia by 30%.

The ADA states that an A1C goal of less than 6.5% may be reasonable for patients with a short duration of

## Management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus

A1C  $\geq$  6.5%  
 or  
 Fasting plasma glucose  $\geq$  126 mg per dL (7.0 mmol per L)  
 or  
 Random plasma glucose  $\geq$  200 mg per dL (11.1 mmol per L) with symptoms of hyperglycemia  
 Two-hour plasma glucose  $\geq$  200 mg per dL during an oral glucose tolerance test

Initial drug monotherapy: metformin

If necessary to reach A1C target after three months (in no particular order):

Two-drug combinations with metformin:

- SU
- TZD
- DPP-4 inhibitor
- GLP-1 receptor agonist
- Insulin (basal)

If necessary to reach A1C target after three months (in no particular order):

Add a third drug not already part of the patient's regimen from the following list:

- SU
- TZD
- DPP-4 inhibitor
- GLP-1 receptor agonist
- Insulin (basal)

If necessary to reach A1C target after three months:  
 More complex insulin strategies

**Figure 1.** Algorithm for the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus. (DPP-4 = dipeptidyl-peptidase-4; GLP-1 = glucagon-like peptide-1; SU = sulfonylurea; TZD = thiazolidinedione.) Information from references 1, 12, and 32.

diabetes, a long life expectancy, and no significant cardiovascular disease.<sup>1</sup> This is based on the UKPDS and a subsequent epidemiologic analysis of the UKPDS, which has also been criticized.<sup>33,37,38</sup> However, intensive

### BEST PRACTICES IN ENDOCRINOLOGY – RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CHOOSING WISELY CAMPAIGN

Recommendation	Sponsoring organization
Do not recommend daily home finger glucose testing in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus not using insulin.	Society of General Internal Medicine
Avoid routine multiple daily self-glucose monitoring in adults with stable type 2 diabetes on agents that do not cause hypoglycemia.	The Endocrine Society/American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists

Source: For more information on the Choosing Wisely Campaign, see <http://www.choosingwisely.org>. For supporting citations and to search Choosing Wisely recommendations relevant to primary care, see <http://www.aafp.org/afp/recommendations/search.htm>.

glucose-lowering therapy has no effect on cardiovascular outcomes and has been shown to increase the risk of mortality in patients with long-standing diabetes and risk factors for or established cardiovascular disease<sup>39-41</sup> (eTable A). Additionally, a retrospective cohort study showed that patients taking oral medications for type 2 diabetes with an A1C level in the lowest decile (median = 6.4%; interquartile range, 6.1% to 6.6%) or highest decile (median = 10.5%; interquartile range, 10.1% to 11.2%) had a significantly higher risk of all-cause mortality compared with patients who had a median A1C level of 7.5%.<sup>43</sup> Based on these studies, a higher A1C goal such as less than 7.5% to 8.0% is appropriate for patients with a short life expectancy, cardiovascular disease, two or more cardiovascular disease risk factors, or duration of disease of 10 years or more.<sup>39-41</sup>

#### INITIAL MANAGEMENT

Metformin should be used as initial therapy if there are no contraindications (Figure 1<sup>1,12,32</sup>). If A1C levels remain above goal after three months of therapy, a second agent should be added (e.g., sulfonylurea, thiazolidinedione, dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitor, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist, insulin). Dual therapy may be considered if the A1C level is 9% or greater at initial presentation. Progression to triple therapy is recommended if the A1C level is above goal after three months of dual therapy. If a patient presents with severe hyperglycemia or an A1C level of 10% or greater, the ADA recommends that insulin therapy be strongly considered as initial therapy.<sup>1,12</sup> These recommendations are largely based on expert opinion, because of a lack of comparative effectiveness data.<sup>1,12</sup>

## MONITORING GLUCOSE LEVELS

To help guide treatment decisions, physicians have traditionally recommended self-monitoring of blood glucose levels.<sup>1,44</sup> However, recent RCTs have called into question whether this has any effect on glycemic control. A meta-analysis of 12 RCTs found that patients who had diabetes for more than one year and who were randomized to self-monitoring of blood glucose had no additional A1C lowering at 12 months compared with control groups.<sup>44</sup> An individual patient-level meta-analysis had similar findings.<sup>45</sup> Although self-monitoring of blood glucose levels may be helpful in patients who are ill or symptomatic or in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes, it does not result in lower glycemic levels and should not be used on its own to guide treatment.<sup>1,44</sup>

**Data Sources:** We searched PubMed using the following terms: type 2 diabetes treatment, prediabetes treatment, hypoglycemic agents, nutrition and diabetes, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. We also used an evidence summary from the online medical reference Essential Evidence Plus. Search dates: April and May 2014, and May 1, 2015.

## The Authors

CHRISTA M. GEORGE, PharmD, BCPS, BCACP, is an associate professor of clinical pharmacy and family medicine at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center College of Pharmacy in Memphis.

LUCY L. BRUIJN, MD, MPH, FFAFP, is an assistant professor of family medicine at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center.

KAYLEY WILL, PharmD, BCACP, is an assistant professor of clinical pharmacy at Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center School of Pharmacy in Abilene.

AMANDA HOWARD-THOMPSON, PharmD, BCPS, is an associate professor of clinical pharmacy and family medicine at the University of Tennessee Health Science Center College of Pharmacy.

*Address correspondence to Christa M. George, PharmD, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, College of Pharmacy, 881 Madison Ave., Memphis, TN 38163 (e-mail: cgeorge1@uthsc.edu). Reprints are not available from the authors.*

## REFERENCES

- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2014. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37(suppl 1):S14-S80.
- Evert AB, Boucher JL, Cypress M, et al. Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2014; 37(suppl 1):S120-S143.
- Pi-Sunyer X, Blackburn G, Brancati FL, et al.; Look AHEAD Research Group. Reduction in weight and cardiovascular disease risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes: one-year results of the look AHEAD trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(6):1374-1383.
- Raynor HA, Jeffery RW, Ruggiero AM, Clark JM, Delahanty LM; Look AHEAD Research Group. Weight loss strategies associated with BMI in overweight adults with type 2 diabetes at entry into the Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes) trial. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(7):1299-1304.
- Evert AB, Boucher JL, Cypress M, et al.; American Diabetes Association. Nutrition therapy recommendations for the management of adults with diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(11):3821-3842.

- Wing RR, Bolin P, Brancati FL, et al.; Look AHEAD Research Group. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(19):1866]. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(2):145-154.
- Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 1998;352(9139):1558]. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9131):854-865.
- Bennett WL, Wilson LM, Bolen S, et al. Oral diabetes medications for adults with type 2 diabetes: an update. AHRQ Comparative Effectiveness Review, no. 27. AHRQ publication no. 11-EHC038-EF. Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; March 2011.
- Powers AC, D'Alessio D. Chapter 43. Endocrine pancreas and pharmacotherapy of diabetes mellitus and hypoglycemia. In: Brunton LL, Chabner BA, Knollmann BC, eds. *Goodman & Gilman's Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*. 12th ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2011.
- Lexicomp. Hudson, Ohio: American Pharmacists Association. <http://online.lexi.com/crlsql/servlet/crlonline>. Accessed January 3, 2015.
- Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359(15):1577-1589.
- Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of hyperglycemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach: position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) [published correction appears in *Diabetes Care*. 2013;36(2):490]. *Diabetes Care*. 2012;35(6):1364-1379.
- KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl*. 2013;3(1):1-150.
- Micromedex Solutions. Metformin hydrochloride. <http://www.micro-medexsolutions.com.ezproxy.uthsc.edu>. Accessed October 10, 2014.
- Salpeter SR, Greyber E, Pasternak GA, Salpeter EE. Risk of fatal and nonfatal lactic acidosis with metformin use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2010;(4):CD002967.
- Micromedex Solutions. Glyburide. <http://www.micromedexsolutions.com.ezproxy.uthsc.edu>. Accessed October 10, 2014.
- Meinert CL, Knatterud GL, Prout TE, Klimt CR. A study of the effects of hypoglycemic agents on vascular complications in patients with adult-onset diabetes. II. Mortality results. *Diabetes*. 1970;19(suppl 2):789-830.
- Holden SE, Currie CJ. Mortality risk with sulphonylureas compared to metformin. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2014;16(10):885-890.
- Hemmingsen B, Schroll JB, Lund SS, et al. Sulphonylurea monotherapy for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;4:CD009008.
- Micromedex Solutions. Sitagliptin phosphate. <http://www.micromedexsolutions.com.ezproxy.uthsc.edu>. Accessed July 7, 2014.
- Home PD, Pocock SJ, Beck-Nielsen H, et al.; RECORD Study Team. Rosiglitazone evaluated for cardiovascular outcomes in oral agent combination therapy for type 2 diabetes (RECORD): a multicentre, randomised, open-label trial. *Lancet*. 2009;373(9681):2125-2135.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: update to ongoing safety review of Actos (pioglitazone) and increased risk of bladder cancer. <http://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety/ucm259150.htm>. Accessed May 1, 2015.
- Lewis JD, Ferrara A, Peng T, et al. Risk of bladder cancer among diabetic patients treated with pioglitazone: interim report of a longitudinal cohort study. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(4):916-922.
- Neumann A, Weill A, Ricordeau P, Fagot JP, Alla F, Allemand H. Pioglitazone and risk of bladder cancer among diabetic patients in France: a population-based cohort study. *Diabetologia*. 2012;55(7):1953-1962.
- Kahn SE, Zinman B, Lachin JM, et al.; Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial (ADOPT) Study Group. Rosiglitazone-associated fractures in type 2 diabetes: an analysis from A Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial (ADOPT). *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(5):845-851.

## Noninsulin Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes

26. Loke YK, Singh S, Furberg CD. Long-term use of thiazolidinediones and fractures in type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. 2009;180(1):32-39.
27. Aubert RE, Herrera V, Chen W, Haffner SM, Pendergrass M. Rosiglitazone and pioglitazone increase fracture risk in women and men with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2010;12(8):716-721.
28. Bazelier MT, Gallagher AM, van Staa TP, et al. Use of thiazolidinediones and risk of osteoporotic fracture: disease or drugs? *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf*. 2012;21(5):507-514.
29. Micromedex Solutions. Exenatide. <http://www.micromedexsolutions.com.ezproxy.uthsc.edu>. Accessed July 9, 2014.
30. Micromedex Solutions. Pramlintide acetate. <http://www.micromedexsolutions.com.ezproxy.uthsc.edu>. Accessed July 8, 2014.
31. Micromedex Solutions. Canagliflozin. <http://www.micromedexsolutions.com.ezproxy.uthsc.edu>. Accessed July 9, 2014.
32. Garber AJ, Abrahamson MJ, Barzilay JI, et al.; American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists. AACE comprehensive diabetes management algorithm 2013. *Endocr Pract*. 2013;19(2):327-336.
33. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 1999;354(9178):602]. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9131):837-853.
34. Implications of the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study. American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care*. 1998;21(12):2180-2184.
35. Ewart RM. The case against aggressive treatment of type 2 diabetes: critique of the UK prospective diabetes study. *BMJ*. 2001;323(7317):854-858.
36. McCormack J, Greenhalgh T. Seeing what you want to see in randomised controlled trials: versions and perversions of UKPDS data. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7251):1720-1723.
37. Shaughnessy AF, Slawson DC. What happened to the valid POEMs? A survey of review articles on the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *BMJ*. 2003;327(7409):266.
38. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. *BMJ*. 2000;321(7258):405-412.
39. Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, et al.; Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(24):2545-2559.
40. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, et al.; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(24):2560-2572.
41. Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T, et al.; VADT Investigators. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(10):1028]. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;360(2):129-139.
42. Hemmingsen B, Lund SS, Gluud C, et al. Intensive glycaemic control for patients with type 2 diabetes: systematic review with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis of randomised clinical trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d6898.
43. Currie CJ, Peters JR, Tynan A, et al. Survival as a function of HbA(1c) in people with type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9713):481-489.
44. Malanda UL, Welschen LM, Riphagen II, Dekker JM, Nijpels G, Bot SD. Self-monitoring of blood glucose in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus who are not using insulin. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;(1):CD005060.
45. Farmer AJ, Perera R, Ward A, et al. Meta-analysis of individual patient data in randomised trials of self monitoring of blood glucose in people with non-insulin treated type 2 diabetes. *BMJ*. 2012;344:e486.



## Noninsulin Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes

**eTable A. Selected Major Trials That Form the Treatment Rationale for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus**

<i>Trial</i>	<i>Study design</i>
UKPDS 33 <sup>A1</sup>	Multicenter RCT comparing sulfonylureas, insulin, and dietary intervention in 3,867 participants with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes mellitus; mean age = 54 years
UKPDS 34 <sup>A2</sup>	Randomized embedded trial comparing metformin vs. dietary intervention in 753 participants with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes; BMI at randomization > 120% of ideal
UKPDS 80 <sup>A3</sup>	Posttrial monitoring of 3,277 participants (78% of original UKPDS cohort); 1,010 from sulfonylurea/insulin intensive therapy group; 379 from conventional therapy group; 136 from metformin intensive therapy group Annual visits for five years in UKPDS clinics with collection of outcome measures and two questionnaires Clinical examinations once every three years Collection of questionnaires only in years 6 to 10
Diabetes Prevention Program <sup>A4</sup>	Multicenter RCT comparing metformin, placebo, and intensive lifestyle intervention, which included 150 minutes of weekly exercise and a goal of 7% weight loss 3,234 participants at least 25 years of age with BMI ≥ 24 kg per m <sup>2</sup> ; fasting glucose level = 95 to 125 mg per dL (5.3 to 6.9 mmol per L); two-hour postglucose load = 140 to 199 mg per dL (7.8 to 11.0 mmol per L)
ACCORD <sup>A5</sup>	Multicenter RCT comparing intensive therapy with target A1C < 6% vs. standard therapy with target A1C of 7% to 9% 10,251 participants; mean age = 62.2 years; median duration of diabetes = 10 years with CVD or at least two risk factors for CVD; median A1C = 8.1%
ADVANCE <sup>A6</sup>	Multicenter, factorial RCT comparing intensive therapy with target A1C ≤ 6.5% vs. standard therapy with target A1C based on local guidelines 11,140 participants; mean age = 66 years; median duration of diabetes = 8 years, with history of major macro- or microvascular disease
VADT <sup>A7</sup>	Randomized, open-label trial; intensive therapy group treatment goal of absolute reduction of 1.5 percentage points in A1C level 1,791 participants; mean age = 60.4 years; mean duration of diabetes = 11.5 years; mean A1C = 9.4%; 40% had a history of cardiovascular events

ACCORD = Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes; ADVANCE = Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicon Modified Release Controlled Evaluation; BMI = body mass index; CVD = cardiovascular disease; RCT = randomized controlled trial; UKPDS = U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study; VADT = Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial.

Adapted with permission from Ripsin CM, Kang H, Urban RJ. Management of blood glucose in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Am Fam Physician*. 2009;79(1):30-31, with additional information from:

A1. Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 1999;354(9178):602]. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9131):837-853.

A2. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 1998;352(9139):1558]. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9131):854-865.

## Noninsulin Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes

<i>Results</i>	<i>Clinical contributions</i>
Treatment reduced the risk of microvascular end points by 25%; reduced mortality occurred with improved blood pressure and lipid control but not glycemic control	Blood pressure and lipid control significantly reduce cardiovascular mortality rates in patients with diabetes Tight blood glucose control reduces retinal microvascular complications but not other outcomes
36% reduction in all-cause mortality with metformin, and 42% reduction in diabetes-related death vs. conventional therapy	Metformin should be the medication of choice in patients with type 2 diabetes, especially in those with obesity
Relative risk reductions persisted at 10 years: Sulfonylurea/insulin group: any diabetes-related end point (9%); microvascular disease (24%); myocardial infarction (15%); death from any cause (13%) Metformin group: any diabetes-related end point (21%); myocardial infarction (33%); death from any cause (27%)	Despite early loss of differences in glycemic control between groups, risk reductions for microvascular complications persisted and risk reductions for myocardial infarction and death from any cause emerged during 10 years of follow-up
Average weight loss: Placebo: 0.1 kg (0.2 lb) Metformin: 2.1 kg (4.6 lb) Lifestyle: 5.6 kg (12.3 lb)	Incidence of diabetes: 11 per 100 person-years for placebo; 7.8 per 100 person-years for metformin; and 4.8 per 100 person-years for lifestyle changes Number needed to treat to prevent one new case of diabetes in three years: Metformin: 13.9 Lifestyle: 6.9
Trial stopped early because of increased mortality in the intensive therapy group; median A1C in intensive therapy group = 6.4%, in usual therapy group = 7.5%	Patients with diabetes and established CVD or numerous CVD risk factors and with longer duration of diabetes have higher mortality risk using target A1C < 6% (one extra death for every 95 patients treated for 3.5 years)
Intensive therapy significantly reduced incidence of combined major macro- and microvascular events; significantly reduced microvascular events primarily from significant reduction of nephropathy Intensive therapy had no significant effect on the incidence of macrovascular events, death from any cardiovascular causes, or death from any cause	Intensive therapy had no significant effect on cardiovascular events or mortality
Intensive therapy had no significant effect on occurrence of cardiovascular events, death from any cause, or microvascular events	Intensive therapy had no significant effect on cardiovascular events or mortality

A3. Holman RR, Paul SK, Bethel MA, Matthews DR, Neil HA. 10-year follow-up of intensive glucose control in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359(15):1577-1589.

A4. Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, et al.; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346(6):393-403.

A5. Gerstein HC, Miller ME, Byington RP, et al.; Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes Study Group. Effects of intensive glucose lowering in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358(24):2545-2559.

A6. Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, et al.; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358(24):2560-2572.

A7. Duckworth W, Abraira C, Moritz T, et al.; VADT Investigators. Glucose control and vascular complications in veterans with type 2 diabetes [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(10):1028]. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360(2):129-139.

## Noninsulin Therapies for Type 2 Diabetes

**eTable B. Mechanisms of Action of Medications for Treating Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus**

<i>Medication class</i>	<i>Mechanism of action</i>
Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors	Inhibit enzyme at intestinal brush border; slow absorption of carbohydrates
Biguanides	Decrease hepatic glucose production; increase insulin sensitivity peripherally; and decrease intestinal absorption of carbohydrates
Dipeptidyl-peptidase-4 inhibitors	Increase glucagon-like peptide-1; increase insulin secretion from $\beta$ -cells and decrease glucagon secretion from $\alpha$ -cells in the pancreas
Glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists	Increase insulin secretion from $\beta$ -cells and decrease glucagon secretion from $\alpha$ -cells in the pancreas; suppress hepatic glucose production; delay gastric emptying
Meglitinides	Close potassium channels in $\beta$ -cells; stimulate release of insulin from the pancreas
Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors	Lower renal threshold for glucose and reduce reabsorption of filtered glucose from tubular lumen; increase urinary glucose excretion
Sulfonylureas	Bind to potassium channels in $\beta$ -cells; stimulate release of insulin from the pancreas
Thiazolidinediones	Increase hepatic glucose uptake; decrease hepatic glucose production; increase insulin sensitivity in the muscle, adipose tissue

*Information from:*

American Diabetes Association. *Standards of medical care in diabetes—2014*. *Diabetes Care*. 2014;37(suppl 1):S14-S80.

Powers AC, D'Alessio D. Chapter 43. *Endocrine pancreas and pharmacotherapy of diabetes mellitus and hypoglycemia*. In: Brunton LL, Chabner BA, Knollmann BC, eds. *Goodman & Gilman's Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics*. 12th ed. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill; 2011.