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The authors applied a novel method to identify recent clinical studies that showed results consistent with the principles of the Choosing Wisely campaign. The method, based on crowdsourcing studies known as POEMs (patient-oriented evidence that matters), involved analyzing POEM ratings submitted by physician members of the Canadian Medical Association in the context of their continuing medical education. In the 251 unique POEMs delivered to these physicians in 2015, an average of 1,284 physician ratings were received per POEM. The authors then identified the POEMs that ranked highest on a single item in the rating questionnaire—namely, whether the POEM helps reduce overdiagnosis or overtreatment, which is the focus of the Choosing Wisely campaign. The result is a set of POEMs of original research that describe interventions that are not superior to other options, are sometimes more expensive, or place patients at increased risk of harm. Knowing the bottom line of these studies could help physicians and patients engage in better conversations when making decisions about clinical care. (Am Fam Physician. 2016;94(7):566-570. Copyright © 2016 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

Choosing Wisely is an initiative that asks medical specialty societies to identify at least five things their members should no longer do because they represent low-value patient care.1 This article highlights the top research studies from 2015 that are consistent with this philosophy.

An annual series in American Family Physician summarizes the top original research studies of the year for primary care.2-6 The studies are selected for their relevance to practice and assessed for validity by independent experts in primary care and research methodology. Approximately 250 articles meet these criteria each year, and they are evaluated in the POEMs continuing medical education (CME) program in Canada.7 The top research studies are then identified based on ratings of clinical relevance submitted by Canadian physicians. POEMs stands for patient-oriented evidence that matters,8 which relates to changes in a patient’s morbidity, mortality, or quality of life. It differs from disease-oriented evidence, which relates to changes in physiologic or surrogate markers of health, such as blood pressure, serum creatinine level, hemoglobin level, or neurotransmitters. These markers may or may not directly affect a patient’s morbidity, mortality, or quality of life.

A 2015 article described how data from the same POEMs CME program could help the Choosing Wisely campaign systematically identify new recommendations for consideration by expert panels from specialty societies.9 For this article, a novel method of crowdsourcing was used to identify the most primary care–relevant studies of 2015 consistent with the principles of Choosing Wisely. This involved analyzing ratings of POEMs submitted by thousands of physician members of the Canadian Medical Association, using a validated questionnaire.10 For 251 unique POEMs delivered during the calendar year of 2015, an average of 1,284 physician ratings per POEM were received. The POEMs that ranked highest on one item in the rating questionnaire, namely “this information will help to avoid unnecessary treatment, diagnostic procedures, preventive interventions, or a referral for this patient,” were identified. This questionnaire item was chosen because of its direct link to the objective of the Choosing Wisely campaign: reducing overdiagnosis or overtreatment.

The POEMs in this article identify opportunities for physicians to engage in conversation with their patients about low-value care in a way that is consistent with the principles of Choosing Wisely. Many of these
POEMs describe interventions that are not superior to other options, are sometimes more expensive, or place patients at increased risk of harm. To maintain a focus on research studies, POEMs about guidelines, such as those produced by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, were excluded. We also excluded 12 of the most relevant POEMs of 2015 that were discussed in a previous article. However, to highlight their relevance to the Choosing Wisely philosophy, these 12 POEMs are available online (eTable A).

**Musculoskeletal Disease**

Table 1 summarizes clinical actions to consider for the treatment of musculoskeletal disease, including meniscal tears, spinal stenosis, and knee degenerative joint disease.

### Table 1. Musculoskeletal Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POEM title</th>
<th>Clinical actions to consider for Choosing Wisely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arthroscopy not beneficial in degenerative knee pain(^\text{11})</td>
<td>In middle-aged or older patients with knee pain and degenerative knee disease, do not recommend arthroscopic meniscectomy and/or debridement before a trial of exercise therapy to reduce pain and improve physical functioning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No long-term benefit of arthroscopic surgery for meniscal tears in middle-aged persons(^\text{12})</td>
<td>In middle-aged patients with a meniscal tear and little or no osteoarthritis, a trial of conservative management is recommended over arthroscopic surgery for the outcomes of improved long-term pain or function.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregabalin = placebo in neurogenic claudication from spinal stenosis(^\text{13})</td>
<td>In patients with spinal stenosis, pregabalin (Lyrica) is no more effective than active placebo (low-dose diphenhydramine [Benadryl]; an active placebo). In a separate trial, gabapentin (Neurontin) titrated to between 1,800 and 3,600 mg per day was as effective as an epidural steroid injection in the short-term (three months). These results could indicate that steroid injections and gabapentin are modestly effective but short-lived, that neither are effective and provide a placebo effect, or that the study lacked power to...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin = epidural steroid for radicular pain(^\text{14})</td>
<td>In patients with lumbosacral radicular pain due to a herniated disk or spinal stenosis, prescribe a trial of gabapentin (Neurontin) before requesting an epidural injection of methylprednisolone with a local anesthetic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal stenosis: physical therapy before surgery(^\text{15})</td>
<td>In patients with image-confirmed lumbar stenosis, a trial of six weeks of physical therapy is recommended before decompression surgery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet-rich plasma injections are not superior to hyaluronic acid for knee degenerative joint disease(^\text{16})</td>
<td>In patients with symptomatic knee degenerative joint disease, do not prescribe platelet-rich plasma injections over hyaluronic acid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroid injection does not improve response to exercise therapy for knee osteoarthritis(^\text{17})</td>
<td>In patients with knee osteoarthritis who are not morbidly obese, do not routinely inject methylprednisolone before a trial of exercise therapy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information from references 11 through 17.
demonstrate that epidural injections are superior to gabapentin. Given the similarities between pregabalin and gabapentin, one wonders if both drugs reduce the neuropathic pain of spinal stenosis via a placebo effect. Until we have more data on the best conservative therapies for spinal stenosis, the most reasonable option may be a trial of gabapentin, physical therapy, or both before requesting an epidural injection.15

KNEE DEGENERATIVE JOINT DISEASE

In symptomatic patients with knee degenerative joint disease, those who received platelet-rich plasma injections were no better off in terms of symptoms and function score compared with those who received hyaluronic acid.16 Although the study concluded that both treatments are effective, previous studies question the benefit of hyaluronic acid injections.18 Another study found that injections of methylprednisolone cannot be routinely recommended to enhance patients’ ability to participate in exercise therapy.17

Cardiovascular Disease

Table 2 summarizes clinical actions to consider for the treatment of cardiovascular disease, including beta-blocker therapy, dual antiplatelet therapy, and screening for coronary artery disease in patients with diabetes mellitus.19-22

BETA BLOCKERS AFTER MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION

Although recommended by guidelines and used as a quality indicator of hospital care, the use of beta blockers after myocardial infarction, when combined with optimal contemporary acute and chronic treatment, does not provide a further survival benefit. In a meta-analysis, the early use of beta blockers reduced subsequent reinfarction and angina symptoms, but these benefits began to wane within 30 days.19 Thus, in the era of statins, antiplatelet agents, and stents, beta blockers do not appear to confer a benefit beyond 30 days.

DUAL ANTIPLATELET THERAPY AFTER DRUG-ELUTING STENTS

Among patients treated with drug-eluting stents who do not experience a coronary event during the first year, continuing dual antiplatelet therapy beyond one year provides no additional benefit. When the data from this study are pooled with those from other studies, they not only show a lack of benefit but also demonstrate more frequent serious bleeding.20

CORONARY ARTERY DISEASE

Diabetes is an important risk factor for cardiovascular events and mortality. However, screening for coronary artery disease in asymptomatic adults with diabetes (types 1 or 2) using coronary computed tomography (CT) angiography does not improve outcomes vs. standard care.21 A study evaluating the safety of sitagliptin (Januvia) added to the drug regimen of patients with a mean A1C level of 7.2% revealed that sitagliptin did not increase cardiovascular events, but, more importantly, it did not decrease their risk of such events either.22

Anticoagulation and Venous Thromboembolism

Table 3 summarizes clinical actions to consider for managing bridging anticoagulation and screening for occult malignancy in patients with venous thromboembolism.23-26

BRIDGING ANTICOAGULATION

Does the inconvenience of complicated bridging anticoagulation regimens really improve outcomes? An increasing body of literature questions the value of bridging or finds net harm. In 2015, two observational

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Cardiovascular Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POEM title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-MI beta blockers do not decrease mortality19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one year of dual antiplatelet therapy after stent more harmful than beneficial20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No benefit to screening with CT angiography for asymptomatic CAD in adults with diabetes11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adding sitagliptin does not reduce or increase the risk of cardiovascular outcomes22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD = coronary artery disease; CT = computed tomography; MI = myocardial infarction.

Information from references 19 through 22.
studies reported associations between bridging therapy and an increased risk of bleeding and cardiovascular events. Moreover, withholding bridging therapy is not associated with an increased risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism, suggesting that bridging anticoagulation should not be routinely recommended for patients with venous thromboembolism, especially those at low risk of recurrence. In patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation, bridging anticoagulation was associated with a higher risk of bleeding complications and cardiovascular events.

Observational studies such as these do not allow for causal inference. For this reason, the best evidence to date comes from a trial of adults with chronic atrial fibrillation and at least one CHADS2 risk factor (congestive heart failure, hypertension, age 75 years or older, diabetes, and stroke or transient ischemic attack). Patients who underwent an elective invasive procedure were randomized to bridging anticoagulation with dalteparin (Fragmin) or placebo. Patients with a mechanical heart valve, recent stroke or embolism, impaired renal function, or thrombocytopenia were excluded. Bridging anticoagulation worsened outcomes for patients, resulting in more episodes of major bleeding and no difference in the rate of stroke or venous thromboembolism. Of note, the patients were largely undergoing minor surgical procedures with low bleeding risk; patients at very high risk of thromboembolism or stroke were not represented in this study.

Table 3. Anticoagulant Therapy/Venous Thromboembolism

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POEM title</th>
<th>Clinical actions to consider for Choosing Wisely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More harm than good with bridge therapy in low-risk venous thromboembolism patients23</td>
<td>In patients with venous thromboembolism, especially those already at low risk of recurrence, do not prescribe bridge anticoagulant therapy during the warfarin (Coumadin) interruption period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridging anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation associated with more cardiovascular events and bleeding24</td>
<td>In patients with atrial fibrillation who are receiving anticoagulation therapy and are undergoing a surgical procedure, do not provide bridging anticoagulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative bridging anticoagulation unhelpful for invasive procedures25</td>
<td>In patients with atrial fibrillation who undergo an elective invasive procedure, do not prescribe bridging anticoagulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine CT scans for occult malignancy not useful in patients with unprovoked venous thromboembolism26</td>
<td>In patients with unprovoked venous thromboembolism, do not request CT of the abdomen and pelvis to detect occult malignancy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT = computed tomography.

Information from references 23 through 26.

SCREENING FOR OCCULT MALIGNANCY IN THE CONTEXT OF VENOUS THROMBOEMBOLISM

An example of overtesting comes from a trial of adults with an initial diagnosis of deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism. At each of nine centers, patients were randomized to receive a basic evaluation for occult malignancy (history and physical examination, basic blood tests, chest radiography, mammography for women older than 50 years, Papanicolaou test for sexually active women 18 to 70 years of age, and prostate cancer screening for men older than 40 years) or the same evaluation plus a comprehensive CT of the abdomen and pelvis. Overall, 33 patients (3.9%) had a new diagnosis of cancer during the first year of follow-up, with no significant difference between groups: 14 in the basic screening group and 19 in the group that also received a CT scan. The mean time to a new cancer diagnosis was 4.2 months for the patients who received basic screening and four months for those who also received CT. Therefore, there is no advantage to adding CT of the abdomen and pelvis to a basic screening protocol for occult malignancy in patients with unprovoked venous thromboembolism.26

Miscellaneous

VITAMIN D

In postmenopausal women (average age of 61 years) at low risk of falls, vitamin D supplementation—even in those with low levels of the vitamin—did not improve bone mineral density, muscle mass, or strength, and did not reduce the risk of falls (Table 4). Of note, the women took daily (800 IU) or twice-monthly (50,000 IU) vitamin D, supplements. Not routinely screening for bone mineral density, muscle strength, functional status, or risk of falls.

Table 4. Miscellaneous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POEM title</th>
<th>Clinical actions to consider for Choosing Wisely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treating low vitamin D levels is ineffective in postmenopausal women27</td>
<td>In typical community-dwelling postmenopausal women younger than 75 years, do not prescribe vitamin D to improve bone mineral density, muscle strength, functional status, or risk of falls.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information from reference 27.
low vitamin D levels will make it easier to avoid ineffective supplementation.
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eTable A. Additional Top POEMs from 2015 Consistent with the Principles of the Choosing Wisely Campaign

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical question</th>
<th>Bottom-line answer</th>
<th>Clinical actions to consider for Choosing Wisely</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does early imaging of older adults with back pain improve outcomes?</td>
<td>Among adults 65 years or older who present to primary care clinicians for a new episode of back pain, imaging before six weeks resulted in no improved outcomes at one year, but increased overall health care costs by almost 30%.</td>
<td>In older patients with new onset back pain, do not routinely order early imaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is acetaminophen effective for the treatment of low back pain or osteoarthritis?</td>
<td>Although acetaminophen was hoped to be a safer alternative to nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioids for the treatment of common musculoskeletal problems, on average it provides only minimal pain relief and improvement in function for patients with low back pain or osteoarthritis. Some persons may benefit with full dosages, but most will not.</td>
<td>In patients with low back, hip, or knee osteoarthritis pain, do not routinely tell patients to expect a clinically important effect on pain and disability from acetaminophen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is the optimal medication regimen for treating adults with acute low back pain?</td>
<td>Naproxen alone is as effective as naproxen plus oxycodone/acetaminophen or naproxen plus cyclobenzaprine (Flexeril) in reducing pain and improving function in adults with acute musculoskeletal low back pain without radicular symptoms. Adverse events were significantly more common in patients additionally treated with muscle relaxants or opioids. Exclusion criteria included radicular pain below the gluteal folds, direct trauma to the back within the previous month, pain duration longer than two weeks, and recent history of more than one episode of low back pain per month.</td>
<td>In patients with acute low back pain and no history of trauma, do not routinely prescribe naproxen plus oxycodone/acetaminophen or naproxen plus cyclobenzaprine over naproxen alone as first-line treatment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is early physical therapy more effective than usual care in treating adults with acute low back pain?</td>
<td>Early referral to physical therapy (within 72 hours of study enrollment) compared with usual care for adults with recent-onset low back pain is minimally, if at all, effective for reducing measures of disability and pain or for improving quality of life. Compared with usual care, patients randomized to physical therapy had a three-point greater improvement on a 100-point scale, which was judged to be clinically unimportant.</td>
<td>In patients with low back pain for less than 16 days (and in those who were not treated for low back pain in the past six months), do not routinely provide early referral to physical therapy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For adults with chronic low back pain, is a prescribed walking program as effective as physical therapy?</td>
<td>Giving patients a pedometer, a walking diary, and instructions to walk at least four days per week, then gradually increase the walk’s duration and intensity, leads to improvement in pain and disability similar to usual physical therapy or to a group exercise program. Patient satisfaction and days lost from work are similar, and patients are more likely to continue treatment for at least one year. One option is to give an inexpensive pedometer to patients who have low back pain and other problems that would benefit from some get-up-and-go.</td>
<td>In adults with chronic low back pain, do not routinely refer patients for standard physical therapy in place of a program of gradually increased walking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do negative rapid strep test results need to be confirmed by culture?</td>
<td>Although rheumatic heart disease caused by a group A streptococcal infection has all but disappeared in wealthy countries, some countries, like the United States, still go to great lengths to test for streptococcal throat infections. As a result, we spend more than $8 million per each additional case of rheumatic heart disease prevented. This meta-analysis found that the rapid antigen tests widely in use are highly effective in identifying and excluding strep. The sensitivity of these tests is 86% and the specificity is 96%, both overall and in children. The authors of this analysis argue convincingly that the sensitivity is high enough, and the likelihood of rheumatic heart disease low enough, to abandon the practice of confirming negative antigen test results with culture.</td>
<td>In patients with acute pharyngitis who have a negative rapid strep test, do not routinely perform a throat culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are there subgroups of adults with acute lower respiratory tract infection who may benefit from antibiotics?</td>
<td>This British study excluded patients with clinically suspected pneumonia and included patients who would commonly be diagnosed with acute bronchitis in the United States. Patients with green sputum or cardiopulmonary comorbidities experience a slightly shorter duration and intensity of symptoms with amoxicillin use. That must be balanced against the harms of antibiotics on the individual and population levels, and the fact that none of the subgroups saw a benefit in terms of preventing a worsening of illness.</td>
<td>In patients with acute bronchitis and green sputum or cardiopulmonary comorbidities, do not routinely prescribe antibiotics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTE: These POEMs were not discussed in this article because they previously appeared in “Top 20 Research Studies of 2015 for Primary Care Physicians” (http://www.aafp.org/afp/2016/0501/p756.html).
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