

Editorials

AFP's Acceptance Rates and Timelines to Publication

JAY SIWEK, MD, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia

In this issue, we begin what will be a regular report documenting the acceptance rates of our articles and timelines to publication. We are doing this to inform readers and authors of some behind-the-scenes details of how we craft the journal and to set benchmarks for continually improving our processes (*Tables 1 and 2*). An extended version of this editorial, including expanded tables, is available in our Authors' Guide (<http://www.aafp.org/journals/afp/authors/acceptance-rates.html>). A few points of context:

Acceptance Rates

To ensure comprehensive coverage of a curriculum of topics, we solicit most of the articles we publish. Authors who propose topics go through a formal approval process before submitting a manuscript for consideration. Only about 10% of proposed topics are approved. For both solicited and proposed topics, we provide authors with detailed

guidance on the focus of the article. This guidance includes links to previously published material in *American Family Physician* (AFP), a set of links to relevant evidence on the topic, and recommended sources of high-quality evidence.

For all of these reasons, the acceptance rates for solicited manuscripts and approved proposals are high: about 90% for solicited manuscripts and about 75% for proposed manuscripts in 2015.

Manuscripts that are not solicited or preapproved are rarely deemed acceptable. Common reasons are: (1) the topic does not fit AFP's desired curriculum; (2) the topic has recently been covered or is currently in production (hence, our request that prospective authors contact us before starting to write); or (3) the format is not appropriate (e.g., original research reports, case reports on rarely encountered conditions, or non-standard review articles).

Timelines

Our timelines to publication are longer than most journals because a lot goes into putting our articles together. However, we are ►

Table 1. Acceptance Rates by Type of Submission

Type of submission	Acceptance rates by type of submission		
	2013	2014	2015*
Solicited manuscript (about 90% of solicited manuscripts are actually submitted)	90% (10% rejected)	87% (10% rejected; 3% withdrawn†)	93% (6% rejected; 1% withdrawn†)
Approved proposed manuscript (only about 10% of proposed manuscripts are approved for submission; about 90% of approved proposed manuscripts are actually submitted)	90% (10% rejected)	42% (50% rejected; 8% withdrawn†)	77% (23% rejected)
Unsolicited manuscript (no preapproval process)	7% (93% rejected)	4% (96% rejected)	0% (100% rejected)

*—In 2015, there were 104 submissions: 73 were solicited, 13 were proposals submitted after preapproval, and 18 were unsolicited and submitted without preapproval.

†—The author withdrew the manuscript from consideration.

working on reducing timelines, which have gotten shorter over the past couple of years, to be as current as possible. In addition, we provide timely information to our readers by publishing selected content online ahead of print, and through platforms such as the *AFP* Community Blog and *AAFP News: AFP Edition*, which have shorter timelines to publication.

Table 2. Average Days to Publication, Excluding Unsolicited Manuscripts

Stage in production process	2013	2014	2015	Goal
From submission to acceptance	181	135	177	150
From acceptance to publication	396	208	192	180
Total time from submission to publication	577	343	369	330

Among the steps we have taken to shorten these timelines are expediting the peer review process; asking authors to complete their revision in two months rather than four; and cutting the time from acceptance to publication in half: from thirteen months in 2013, to nine months in 2014, to six and a half months in 2015.

All in all, the changes we have implemented have reduced the total time from submission to publication by seven months. We welcome comments from readers and authors about ways to continue to improve our publishing processes.

EDITOR'S NOTE: Dr. Siwek is Editor of *American Family Physician*.

Address correspondence to Jay Siwek, MD, at siwekj@georgetown.edu. Reprints are not available from the author.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations. ■



The AAFP goes where you go.

Download the AAFP's mobile app and get enhanced access to AAFP services and benefits.



New! Drug and Nutrient Interactions

Get resources to speak with patients about potential interactions between nutrients, common supplements, and prescription medications.

This content was independently developed and distributed with support from



CME Reporting

Use your mobile device to report your CME, when and wherever you choose. Exclusive to AAFP members.



Board Review

Assess your medical knowledge and get CME credit with more than 1,000 Board prep questions. Exclusive to AAFP members.



AAFP News and Blogs

Stay informed about family medicine with the latest news from *AAFP News* and insights from your peers and specialty leaders in *AAFP* blogs.



AMERICAN ACADEMY OF
FAMILY PHYSICIANS



Apple, the Apple logo and iPad are trademarks of Apple Inc., registered in the U.S. and other countries. App Store is a service mark of Apple Inc. Google Play is a trademark of Google Inc.