

Implementing AHRQ Effective Health Care Reviews

Helping Clinicians Make Better Treatment Choices

Interventions to Improve Antibiotic Prescribing for Uncomplicated Acute RTIs

Practice Pointers by AARON SAGUIL, MD, MPH, *Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland*



More online at <http://www.aafp.org/afp>.

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) conducts the Effective Health Care Program as part of its mission to produce evidence to improve health care and to make sure the evidence is understood and used. A key clinical question based on the AHRQ Effective Health Care Program systematic review of the literature is presented, followed by an evidence-based answer based upon the review. AHRQ's summary is accompanied by an interpretation by an AFP author that will help guide clinicians in making treatment decisions. For the full review, clinician summary, and consumer summary, go to <http://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/antibiotics-RTI>.

This series is coordinated by Kenny Lin, MD, MPH, Associate Deputy Editor for AFP Online.

CME This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz Questions on page 868.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

Key Clinical Issue

What are the effectiveness and adverse consequences of strategies for reducing antibiotic use in adults and children with uncomplicated acute respiratory tract infections (RTIs)?

Evidence-Based Answer

Procalcitonin point-of-care testing reduces antibiotic prescribing in adults (Strength of recommendation [SOR]: A, based on consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence), but increases antibiotic prescribing and adverse consequences in children. (SOR: B, based on inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence.) Rapid streptococcal antigen point-of-care testing, viral polymerase chain reaction testing (adults only), and C-reactive protein testing reduced antibiotic prescribing, but studies of adverse consequences were lacking. Delayed prescribing practices reduced antibiotic prescribing, but reduced patient satisfaction and increased symptom length. (SOR: A, based on consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence.) Electronic decision supports reduced antibiotic prescribing without affecting the risk of complications.

Practice Pointers

Antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections lead to approximately 23,000 deaths in the United States each year.¹ Inappropriate antibiotic prescribing is the leading cause of resistance and accounts for one-third of all antibiotic prescriptions each year.² Clinical, system-level, or educational interventions that reduce inappropriate prescribing (*eTables A and B*) have the potential to dramatically decrease deaths and slow the progression of resistant organisms.

This Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality review considered multiple approaches to antibiotic overprescribing. Although individual studies varied with respect to practice setting, the combination of interventions, and the selection of RTIs for which effectiveness was shown, point-of-care testing generally reduced overprescribing. The addition of rapid streptococcal antigen testing decreased overall antibiotic prescribing by 20% to 52% and decreased inappropriate prescribing by 33% over usual care. Procalcitonin testing reduced antibiotic prescribing in adults by 12% to 72%, but led to a 22% increase in antibiotic prescriptions in children. C-reactive protein testing also reduced prescribing by 1.9% to 33.5%, but was associated with increased hospitalizations at one month (1.1% of those triaged with C-reactive protein testing were hospitalized as opposed to 0.2% of those who received usual care).¹

Delayed prescribing (e.g., providing a prescription and instructing the patient to delay filling it) reduced antibiotic use by 34% to 76%, but was associated with reduced patient satisfaction and increased persistence of moderate to severe symptoms. Electronic decision support tools produced more modest reductions in overall prescribing (5% to 9%) with no difference in complications, whereas educational interventions targeting physicians, patients, or parents showed some promise (4% to 28% decline in overall prescriptions based on a mix of modalities used), without changing the rate of complications.¹

Multiple effective interventions exist to help physicians be good stewards of antibiotics. The Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement does not recommend antibiotics for RTIs that are suspected to be viral, and recommends

Clinical Bottom Line: Clinical and System-Level Interventions That Improve or Reduce Antibiotic Prescribing for Acute RTIs*

Outcome	Absolute change	Relative effect/result	Number of studies	Strength of evidence
Procalcitonin point-of-care testing vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing (adults only)	-12% to -72%	Greater reduction with procalcitonin testing Acute RTI: OR = 0.14 (95% CI, 0.09 to 0.22) Acute bronchitis: OR = 0.15 (95% CI, 0.10 to 0.23)	1 systematic review of 4 RCTs	●●○
Adverse consequences	—	No difference in number of days of limited activity, missed work, or continuing symptoms at 28 days for upper or lower RTI in primary care	1 RCT	●○○
	—	No difference in hospitalizations or combined adverse effects/lack of efficacy outcome	1 RCT	●○○
	—	No difference in mortality or treatment failure at 30 days for acute bronchitis/upper RTIs in primary care or emergency department care and for upper or lower RTIs in primary care	5 RCTs	●○○
Rapid streptococcal antigen point-of-care testing vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	-20% to -52%	Greater reduction with rapid streptococcal antigen testing	3 RCTs	●●○
Inappropriate prescribing	-33%	Greater reduction with rapid streptococcal antigen testing	1 RCT	●○○
Adverse consequences	—	No evidence regarding adverse consequences	—	○○○
Rapid viral point-of-care testing (multiviral polymerase chain reaction) vs. usual care in adults				
Overall prescribing	-7.8%; <i>P</i> < .01	Greater reduction with multiviral polymerase chain reaction	1 RCT	●○○
Adverse consequences	—	No evidence regarding adverse consequences	—	○○○
C-reactive protein point-of-care testing vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	-1.9% to -33.5%	Greater reduction with C-reactive protein testing: RR = 0.73 (95% CI, 0.60 to 0.90)	7 RCTs	●●○
Adverse consequences	—	Greater reconsultation rate within 4 weeks with C-reactive protein testing	3 RCTs	●●○
	—	Potential increased risk of hospitalization at 30 days with C-reactive protein testing	7 RCTs	●○○
	—	No effect on symptom resolution	4 RCTs	●○○
Delayed vs. immediate prescribing				
Overall prescribing	-34% to -76%	Greater reduction with delayed prescribing: OR = 0.00 to 0.12	6 RCTs	●●○
Other benefits	—	Reduced multidrug resistance for streptococcal pneumonia strains in acute otitis media with delayed prescribing	1 RCT	●○○
	—	Reduced diarrhea in acute otitis media with delayed prescribing	2 RCTs	●○○
Adverse consequences	—	No difference in reconsultation	4 RCTs	●●○
	—	Reduced satisfaction with delayed prescribing	5 RCTs	●●○
	—	Increased persistence of moderate to severe symptoms with delayed prescribing	2 RCTs	●○○

continues

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RR = relative risk; RTI = respiratory tract infection.

*—All populations are adults and children with acute RTIs unless otherwise specified.

reserving antibiotics for acute sinusitis when decongestants are ineffective or patients have complications.³ The American Academy of Pediatrics suggests observation, rather than immediate antibiotic prescription, as an option in children 24 months or older with nonsevere acute otitis media (mild otalgia for less than 48 hours and a temperature less than 102.2°F [39°C]).⁴ Despite this, the

longer physicians are in practice, the less likely they are to follow the guidelines.⁵ Many physicians feel that patient expectations and time constraints make it difficult to explain to a patient why they do not need an antibiotic.^{6,7} Of the point-of-care modalities tested, rapid streptococcal antigen testing seems the most helpful in guiding antibiotic prescribing and is recommended by the Infectious

Clinical Bottom Line: Clinical and System-Level Interventions That Improve or Reduce Antibiotic Prescribing for Acute RTIs* (continued)

Outcome	Absolute change	Relative effect/result	Number of studies	Strength of evidence
Electronic decision support vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing (systems with ≥ 50% use)	−5% to −9%	Greater reduction with decision support: RR = 0.73 (95% CI, 0.58 to 0.92)	2 RCTs	●●○○
Inappropriate prescribing (for acute bronchitis and acute otitis media)	−3% to −24%	Greater reduction with decision support	2 RCTs	●●○○
Adverse consequences	—	No difference in health care utilization or complications	1 RCT	●○○○

Strength of evidence scale

High: ●●●● There are consistent results from good-quality studies. Further research is very unlikely to change the conclusions.

Moderate: ●●○○ Findings are supported, but further research could change the conclusions.

Low: ●○○○ There are very few studies, or existing studies are flawed.

Insufficient: ○○○○ Research is either unavailable or does not permit estimation of a treatment effect.

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RR = relative risk; RTI = respiratory tract infection.

*—All populations are adults and children with acute RTIs unless otherwise specified.

Adapted from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Effective Health Care Program. Improving antibiotic prescribing for uncomplicated acute respiratory tract infections. Clinician research summary. Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2016. <https://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/ehc/products/561/2112/antibiotics-respiratory-infection-report-160128.pdf>. Accessed June 27, 2016.

Diseases Society of America.⁸ There may be a role for procalcitonin and C-reactive protein testing, but major clinical practice guidelines do not endorse their routine use.⁹⁻¹¹

EDITOR'S NOTE: American Family Physician SOR ratings are different from the AHRQ Strength of Evidence (SOE) ratings.

The opinions and assertions contained herein are the private views of the author and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the U.S. Army Medical Department or the U.S. Army Service at large.

Address correspondence to Aaron Saguil, MD, MPH, at asaguil@usuhs.mil. Reprints are not available from the author.

REFERENCES

- Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Effective Healthcare Program. Improving antibiotic prescribing for uncomplicated acute respiratory tract infections. Clinician research summary. Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2016. <https://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/ehc/products/561/2112/antibiotics-respiratory-infection-report-160128.pdf>. Accessed June 27, 2016.
- Fleming-Dutra KE, Hersh AL, Shapiro DJ, et al. Prevalence of inappropriate antibiotic prescriptions among US ambulatory care visits, 2010-2011. *JAMA*. 2016;315(17):1864-1873.
- Snellman L, Adams W, Anderson G, et al. Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. Diagnosis and treatment of respiratory illness in children and adults. Updated January 2013. https://www.icsi.org/_asset/1wp8x2/Respllness.pdf. Accessed May 22, 2016.
- Lieberthal AS, Carroll AE, Chonmaitree T, et al. The diagnosis and management of acute otitis media [published correction appears in *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(2):346]. *Pediatrics*. 2013;131(3):e964-e999.
- Tell D, Engström S, Mölstad S. Adherence to guidelines on antibiotic treatment for respiratory tract infections in various categories of physicians: a retrospective cross-sectional study of data from electronic patient records. *BMJ Open*. 2015;5(7):e008096.
- Marc C, Vrignaud B, Levieux K, Robine A, Gras-Le Guen C, Launay E. Inappropriate prescription of antibiotics in pediatric practice: analysis of the prescriptions in primary care [published ahead of print April 18, 2016]. *J Child Health Care*. <http://chc.sagepub.com/content/early/2016/04/13/1367493516643421.long> (subscription required). Accessed August 12, 2016.
- Gidengil CA, Mehrotra A, Beach S, Setodji C, Hunter G, Linder JA. What drives variation in antibiotic prescribing for acute respiratory infections? *J Gen Intern Med*. 2016;31(8):918-924.
- Shulman ST, Bisno AL, Clegg HW, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and management of group A streptococcal pharyngitis: 2012 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America [published correction appears in *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014;58(10):1496]. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012;55(10):1279-1282.
- The Management of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Working Group. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Washington, DC: Dept. of Veterans Affairs, Dept. of Defense; December 2014. <http://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/CD/copd/VADoDCOPDCPG.pdf>. Accessed June 13, 2016.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Procalcitonin testing for diagnosing and monitoring sepsis (ADVIA Centaur BRAHMS PCT assay, BRAHMS PCT Sensitive Kryptor assay, Elecsys BRAHMS PCT assay, LIAISON BRAHMS PCT assay and VIDAS BRAHMS PCT assay). London, UK: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence; October 2015. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/dg18>. Accessed June 13, 2016.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2012. *Crit Care Med*. 2013;41(2):580-637. ■

eTable A. Categories of Interventions to Reduce Antibiotic Prescribing

<i>Category</i>	<i>Explanations and examples</i>
Clinical	Point-of-care tests to rapidly determine the likelihood that a patient has a specific infection (e.g., rapid streptococcal antigen test of a throat swab sample, multiviral polymerase chain reaction, or an influenza-specific test of throat or nasopharyngeal secretions) or has a bacterial infection instead of a viral one (e.g., blood procalcitonin, blood C-reactive protein); tympanometry to aid in diagnosing acute otitis media; delayed antibiotic prescribing (e.g., giving prescriptions to patients with instructions to delay filling, leaving prescriptions for patient collection, postdating prescriptions, requesting recontact with physician); clinical scoring tools based on combinations of signs and symptoms
System-level	Electronic decision support (computer-aided, evidence-based prescribing recommendations); paper-based physician reminders about prescribing; physician audit plus feedback; financial or regulatory incentives for physicians or patients; antimicrobial stewardship programs
Educational	Clinic-based patient or parent education about when antibiotics may be appropriate (e.g., videos, pamphlets, verbal education, waiting room posters); public education campaigns (e.g., billboards, bus advertisements, radio and television advertisements); clinician education about current treatment guidelines; communication skills training programs for physicians
Multifaceted	Combination of interventions from one or more categories

Adapted from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Effective Health Care Program. Improving antibiotic prescribing for uncomplicated acute respiratory tract infections. Clinician research summary. Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2016. <https://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/ehc/products/561/2112/antibiotics-respiratory-infection-report-160128.pdf>. Accessed June 27, 2016.

eTable B. Educational Interventions That Improve or Reduce Antibiotic Prescribing for Acute RTI*

Outcome	Absolute change	Relative effect/result	Number of studies	Strength of evidence
Combined patient-parent public education campaign and physician education vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	-7.3% (95% CI, -4.0% to -10.6%)	Greater reduction with the combination intervention: OR = 0.56 (95% CI, 0.36 to 0.87) to 0.62 (95% CI, 0.54 to 0.75)	5 RCTs	●●○
Inappropriate prescribing				
Children with pharyngitis	-10.4%	Greater reduction with the combination intervention: OR = 0.62 (95% CI, 0.54 to 0.75)	2 RCTs	●○○
Adults with acute RTIs	-9.7%	Greater reduction with the combination intervention		
Adverse consequences	—	No difference in acute otitis media complications	1 observational study	●○○
	—	No difference in patient or parent satisfaction	2 RCTs	●○○
Clinic-based education of parents of children aged ≤ 14 years vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	-21.3% (1 RCT)	Greater reduction with clinic-based parent education: pooled OR = 0.39 (95% CI, 0.26 to 0.58)	2 RCTs	●●○
Adverse consequences	—	No difference in return visits	2 RCTs	●○○
Public education campaigns for parents vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing (children only)	Not reported	Greater reduction with public education campaigns Upper RTI: OR = 0.75 (95% CI, 0.69 to 0.81) Acute otitis media: OR = 0.65 (95% CI, 0.59 to 0.72) Pharyngitis: OR = 0.93 (95% CI, 0.89 to 0.97)	2 observational studies	●○○
Adverse consequences	—	No difference in the diagnosis of complications; subsequent visits were decreased with campaigns	1 observational study	●○○
Communication training for physicians vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	-9.2% to -26.1%	Greater reduction with communication training: RR = 0.17 to 0.69	5 RCTs	●●○
Adverse consequences	—	Slightly longer duration of symptoms with communication training	3 RCTs	●○○
Physician and patient education, practice profiling, and academic detailing vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	Acute bronchitis: -24% to -26%	Greater reduction with the combination intervention	3 observational studies	●○○
Adverse consequences	—	Insufficient evidence regarding return clinic visits	1 observational study	○○○
Provider communication training plus C-reactive protein point-of-care testing vs. usual care				
Overall prescribing	-28%	Greater reduction with the combination intervention: OR = 0.30 (95% CI, 0.26 to 0.36)	2 RCTs	●●○
Adverse consequences	—	Increased days of moderately severe symptoms with the combination intervention	1 RCT	●○○
	—	Potentially increased risk of hospital admissions with the combination intervention	2 RCTs	●○○
	—	No difference in reconsultation, diagnostic testing use, or days off work	1 RCT	●○○

Strength of evidence scale

High: ●●● There are consistent results from good-quality studies. Further research is very unlikely to change the conclusions.

Moderate: ●●○ Findings are supported, but further research could change the conclusions.

Low: ●○○ There are very few studies, or existing studies are flawed.

Insufficient: ○○○ Research is either unavailable or does not permit estimation of a treatment effect.

CI = confidence interval; OR = odds ratio; RCT = randomized controlled trial; RR = relative risk; RTI = respiratory tract infection.

*— All populations are adults and children with acute respiratory tract infections unless otherwise specified.

Adapted from the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Effective Health Care Program. Improving antibiotic prescribing for uncomplicated acute respiratory tract infections. Clinician research summary. Rockville, Md.: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; January 2016. <https://www.effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/ehc/products/561/2112/antibiotics-respiratory-infection-report-160128.pdf>. Accessed June 27, 2016.