



The presenting  
problem is often  
just the tip of the iceberg.

CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT:

# Using Technology to Identify Patients' Unmet Needs

William McLeod, BS, Robert Eidus, MD, MBA, and Elizabeth Stewart, PhD, MBA

**D**oes this sound familiar? You glance down at the chart for your next patient visit and see "Mary Jones, 56-year-old female, congestion and drainage for one week." You cannot remember the last time you saw Mrs. Jones. Upon entering the exam room, you quickly address her chief complaint. Then, trying to squeeze in some preventive care, you ask if she remembers when she last had a mammogram and a colonoscopy. She confirms she had both "a few years ago." After searching in several possible locations, you can't find documentation of the colonoscopy in the electronic health record (EHR), and when you finally locate documentation of the mammogram, you see that she's four years overdue. She's also two years overdue for blood work for her hyperlipidemia and previous elevated glucose levels. All this EHR detective work has taken several minutes and dozens of mouse clicks, and all the while Mrs. Jones has sat waiting, coughing, and wanting relief for her immediate problem.

### Identifying unmet needs

Visits like Mrs. Jones' demonstrate the three types of needs evident at almost every visit:

1. The immediate problem (Mrs. Jones' congestion),
2. Unmet chronic care needs (Mrs. Jones' overdue lipid profile),
3. Unmet preventive needs (Mrs. Jones' overdue mammogram and possibly colonoscopy).

When a patient is sitting in front of us, we typically see only the tip of the iceberg, the immediate need, as opposed to the full set of needs. Furthermore, we only see the tip of the iceberg for those patients seeing us that day, never mind the hundreds of other patients on our panel who may not be seen for weeks, months, or even years. In the ideal practice, we would be able to view the entire iceberg, but how?

Clinical decision support systems are designed to help us do just that. They augment the EHR with a medical knowledge base (such as evidence-based guidelines) and an inference engine that together generate patient-specific advice and population-level reports.<sup>1</sup> Thus, if a patient with diabetes comes in with a sore throat, the clinical decision support system might link the underlying data points and realize that this patient also needs a flu shot. The system is blind to why the patient is coming to the doctor at that moment – the tip of the iceberg – but has the ability to see every other need the patient has. Used correctly, a clinical decision support system can advance your EHR from a glorified word processor to a sophisti-

cated tool that helps you aggregate and synthesize data at the patient and population levels.

This article presents advice for selecting, implementing, and optimizing a clinical decision support system based on the experiences of users participating in the DARTNet collaborative. (See page 24 for more information on DARTNet.)

### Picking and implementing a system

As EHRs become more sophisticated, many are providing additional embedded clinical decision support functions with each upgrade. However, many physicians prefer not to use EHR-based systems or wait for the upgrade to their system because EHRs typically require the end user to develop and maintain the knowledge-base algorithms and may not be as robust as stand-alone systems designed to interface with an EHR. (See the list of products on page 25.) If you're in the market for a decision-support system, we suggest you look for a product that offers, at a minimum, the following features:

**1. Point-of-care reports.** These individualized, patient-specific reports (see page 26) not only summarize the patient's active diagnoses, medications, labs, risk factors, etc., but also outline the patient's unmet preventive and chronic care needs such as diabetic foot checks, flu shots, and mammograms. Most of these reports, when viewed on screen, can be designed to display pop-up reminders for patients with specific characteristics. For example, for all patients who have a diagnosis of diabetes, a pop-up reminder might prompt the physician to discuss details of an upcoming group visit.

**2. Overdue reports.** These reports (see page 27) identify patients who have not been seen in the office recently and are overdue for chronic care or preventive services. They serve as a tickler file that prompts the practice to contact these patients and get them in for a visit.

**3. A population registry.** The registry (see page 27) takes the overdue reports one step further by offering a more comprehensive view of the patient panel. Using specific diseases and markers, practices can identify patients with unmet needs and measure clinical performance for quality improvement (QI) purposes.

**4. A direct interface with the EHR system.** Every time patient data are updated in the EHR, the clinical decision support system should automatically update as well. This can be helpful for managing medication refills, for example.

Although it takes significant time to interview different vendors and host them for on-site training, do not skimp



Article Web Address: <http://www.aafp.org/fpm/2012/0300/p22.html>

# Choosing a clinical decision support system is like hiring a new member of the practice.

A clinical decision support system can identify chronic or preventive care needs that need to be addressed in addition to the presenting problem.

A smooth implementation will require researching vendors, gaining staff buy-in, reviewing the clinical content, and identifying workflow changes.

A clinical decision support system can empower staff because it provides them with better information about patients' needs.

on this process. Choosing a clinical decision support system is like hiring a new member of the practice. You will need to interview this new "member" carefully, perhaps multiple times, do a test-run, and discuss the workflow integration with others.

You will also need to gain buy-in from the other members of your practice. "You need a core group dedicated to the idea," said Brian Webster, MD, of Wilmington Health in Wilmington, N.C., and a DARTNet participant. "They are critical to winning over skeptics. And you need some nonphysicians in this group, because everyone in the practice will be affected."

Eventually, this core group will champion the system by simply going about its daily work and demonstrating the utility of the system and the reports it can generate.

Doug Golding, MD, medical director of Lifetime Health Medical Group in Buffalo, N.Y., and a DARTNet participant, stressed the importance of finding pockets of time to meet with this core group to decide on the clinical content of the application before implementing it. "Our error was rolling out our system without an 'all eyes on the protocol' approach," he said. "You really need to review the content of the tool up front – look at the guidelines as a group, and then decide together how to implement them." For example, if the clinical decision support system's

guidelines for mammograms are based on the 2009 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations, the group needs to decide whether to default to those guidelines or customize them.

Golding cautions that a tremendous shift happens the first day the clinical decision support system is on the job. "In one day, you go from being the doctor whose brain alone knows what to do, to now having a tool that tells you what you should be doing all the time," he said. "It's like this piece of paper is another member of the care team, and you have to figure out how to integrate this new member with the nurses and medical assistants."

Although doctors may feel uncomfortable with the idea of a piece of paper telling them what to do, the truth is that the doctor is still in control and must exercise medical decision making. The clinical decision support system is just that – a supporting tool.

Used correctly, a clinical decision support system can enable medical assistants to move from a role of simply processing patients for the physicians to participating in true patient care. "In their old role, the MAs in our group just checked patients in and took their vitals," said Webster. "Now they are taking some of the history, verifying dates of procedures, requesting reports, and documenting. All of this has also empowered the check-in staff to do more and has allowed them to feel more of a part of the health care team, not just a service desk."

One critical issue that often gets overlooked at the outset is data storage. For example, most clinical decision support systems cannot read scanned images, so when a document is scanned, the practice will need to enter the data so that the system can later retrieve it. As with any EHR-related component, there will be frustrating

## DARTNET COLLABORATIVE

DARTNet is a federated research and quality improvement (QI) collaborative that links electronic health record data so that researchers and member practices can work together to answer research questions and provide feedback for QI projects and shared learning. DARTNet is a private-public partnership through the AAFP National Research Network, the University of Colorado, and other academic and health care organizations. See <http://www.dartnet.info>.



glitches as you implement the new system, so anticipate them and keep your eye on the end result, which is better care for your patients.

### Optimizing the point-of-care reports

As discussed earlier, point-of-care reports are one of the most useful features of clinical decision support systems. The reports consolidate multiple EHR screens onto a single page, reducing mouse clicks and time spent squinting at the screen instead of looking at the patient. However, this new piece of paper will require the care team to change parts of its workflow.

If your practice team has worked hard to go paperless, nothing will make the team angrier than unexpectedly dropping a new piece of paper in their laps. Explain that the point-of-care report is intended as a one-time snapshot of the patient; it is not part of the permanent medical record. Then tackle the following questions:

**Will every physician in the practice use the point-of-care report the same way, or will the practice allow wide variation in workflow depending on physician preference?** Jamie Reedy, MD, MPH, medical director at Paramount Medical Group in N. J., says her group took a mixed approach. “We were rolling out the clinical decision support system in multiple practices in our system. We had to be vigilant about the way the data were entered, so we wanted to allow some flexibility in how the point-of-care reports were used,” she said.

**Will the report be used as a form of standing orders? If so, how will staff interpret those orders?** In the sample report shown on page 26, the section titled “Action Items” includes reminders and standing orders for the medical assistants, such as “Document advanced directives status” and “Document/administer flu vaccine, if applicable.” Although everyone needs time to get used to a system like this, it allows care team members to function at the highest point of their

### CLINICAL DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM PRODUCTS

Many EHR systems provide clinical decision support functions; however, stand-alone products are also available.

#### CDS Plus from Anvita Health

<http://www.anvitahealth.com/cdsplus/>

#### CINA's Point-of-Care Clinical Decision Support Tool

<http://www.cina-us.com/clinicaldecisionsupport.html>

#### Clinical Xchange from Shared Health

<http://www.sharedhealth.com/>

#### Crimson Care Registry from The Advisory Board Company

<http://www.advisory.com/Technology/Crimson-Care-Registry>

#### Micromedex from Thomson Reuters

<http://www.micromedex.com/>

#### smartConsult from DiagnosisOne

<http://www.diagnosisone.com/Products/smartConsult.aspx>

licenses. Of course, every state has different guidelines on what registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, or medical assistants can do with standing orders versus direct physician orders, so someone on the care team should be responsible for confirming the rules in your state and communicating this to everyone.

**Where will the piece of paper end up – in the physician's hand, the patient's hand, or the shredder?** In Reedy's group, many of the physicians ended up sending the reports home with their patients. “We found patients enjoy seeing their health data in one place, and it encourages them to be more proactive about their own health,” she said.

Practices should consider whether to standardize the use of point-of-care reports or allow variation based on physician preference.

### Population management & quality of care

Although the point-of-care report helps identify the unmet needs of the patient in front of you, it doesn't address the unmet needs of all the unseen patients in your practice. This is where the power of the overdue report and the registry report come into play. ►

Staff can be trained to use the point-of-care report as a form of standing orders.

### About the Authors

William McLeod is a fourth-year DO/MBA candidate at Kansas City University of Medicine and Biosciences and Rockhurst University. Dr. Eidus is a family physician in private practice in Cranford, N.J., a member of the American Academy of Family Physicians' National Research Network (NRN), and a participant in DARTNet. His practice also participated in the TransforMed National Demonstration Project. Elizabeth Stewart is director of evaluation for the NRN in Leawood, Kan. Author disclosure: no relevant financial affiliations disclosed.

**Overdue reports:** As described above, these reports identify patients who are overdue for a needed test, screening, procedure, or follow-up appointment. (See page 27.) Some systems even allow you to notify patients who are overdue for services with minimal additional work, but you will still need to develop a plan for handling these patients and getting

them seen. If a patient receives an automatically generated letter that says she is overdue for a bone density test and calls your office to ask about this, you want the front desk to be prepared to handle the call. One strategy might be to post a short script that front-desk staff can follow to answer common clinical questions the overdue reports may spark. ➤

## SAMPLE POINT-OF-CARE REPORT

This report provides a one-page snapshot of a patient's care, including a summary of unmet preventive and chronic care needs that may require the physician's action.

The point-of-care report consolidates multiple EHR screens to provide a snapshot of the patient's care and highlight needed services.

The overdue report lists patients who need a certain test, screening, procedure, or follow-up appointment.

The registry report lists patients whose clinical values are out of range or otherwise require attention.

## SAMPLE CINA PATIENT REPORT

### Patient Recommendation Report

13385 SAMPLE, FEMALE 1 DOB: 07/25/1938 Age: 71 Sex: F Seen By: TEST MD, 1  
Appointment Date: 10/13/2009 Report Date: 10/14/2009 PCP: TEST MD, 2

Active Diagnoses		Risk Factors	
HYPERTENSION, ESSENTIAL BENIGN (40) HYPERCHOLESTEROLEMIA (272.0) OSTEOPENIA OF THE ELDERLY (733.90) ESOPHAGEAL REFLUX (530.81) MENOPAUSAL SYNDROME (627.2) MURMUR (785.2)		CHD 10yr Risk 10-20% CHD Risk Factors: 2+ HTN Risk: CoMorbid Dx (DM, Renal Dz or Dec Renal Function) DM Risk: Mod (Metabolic Syndrome)	
		Colon CA (age 50-80) Breast CA (Age 40-80) Influenza (age > 50 or Risk Dx) Pneumonia (Age > 64 OR Risk Dx) Osteoporosis or Osteoporosis Risk	
Active Meds		Goals	
Hydrochlorothiazide 12.5 QD 10/21/08 Lipitor 20 MG HS 10/21/08 Omeprazole 20 MG two ti 01/12/09		Goal not met: CrCl < 60 Goal not met: BP >= 130/80 Goal not met: Bld Glucose 100-125 (Check Fasting Status) Goal not met: LDL >100 Goal met: BMI < 30 Nonsmoker	
Labs		Action Items	
Trig 53 mg/dL 6/08/08 Chol 182 mg/dL 6/08/08 LDL 109 mg/dL 6/08/08 LDL Direct HDL 62 mg/dL 6/08/08 Gluc, Fasting Gluc, Random 103 mg/dL 10/12/09 HbA1c MicroAlb/Cr INR		Document Advanced Directives status Document last Mammogram, if applicable Document / administer Flu vaccine (q yr), if applicable Document / administer Pneumococcal vaccine, due to age or risk Dx	
		DOC: Consider evaluating for Diabetes due to Blood glucose 100-125 DOC: Consider adding Metabolic Syndrome Dx (Dysmetabolic Syndrome X) to Problem List due to 3/5 criteria met (see criteria below)	
		MED: Change / titrate Lipid lowering therapy due to LDL goal not met MED: Start ACE or ARB for BP goal not met due to CoMorbid Dx (DM or Renal Dz).	
		LAB: Order Lipid panel (every 12 mos) for Mod risk with Hyperlipidemia Dx. Recommendations based on most recent labs in prior 24 mos.	
		PROC: Refer for Mammogram (q 12 mos) for age 40-80	
		VAC: Consider Zoster vaccination, unless contraindicated	
Measures / Calculations		GLUC GLUC	
BP 170/80 1/12/09 148/70 9/22/08 CHD Risk 11% BMI (Wt) 29 (145lb) 1/12/09 Ideal Wt. 99-133 Est. CrCl 59.65 10/12/09		PREV PREV	
Diagnostic Testing		CHOL HTN	
Bone Density 2/19/08 Colonoscopy 11/04/08 Mammogram 9/30/08 PAP 4/03/03 Chlamydia		LAB: Order Lipid panel (every 12 mos) for Mod risk with Hyperlipidemia Dx. Recommendations based on most recent labs in prior 24 mos.	
		PROC: Refer for Mammogram (q 12 mos) for age 40-80	
		VAC: Consider Zoster vaccination, unless contraindicated	
Vaccine		PREV PREV	
Tetanus 10/15/02 Tdap Pneumoccal Flu 10/09/07 HPV Herpes Zoster		Metabolic Syndrome Criteria - BP > 130/85, OR Dx: Hypertension, OR AntiHTN Med - Triglycerides > 150 - HDL < 40 Men, < 50 Women - Glucose > 100 - BMI > 26	
		*Unless contraindicated	

© 2007-2008, Clinical Integration Networks of America, Inc. Used with permission.

## SAMPLE OVERDUE REPORT

This report lists patients who are overdue for a specific test, screening, procedure, or follow-up appointment (in this example, it identifies patients who are overdue for breast cancer screening).

Patient Detail by Measure										Counts of Not Met							
ACO_ID	CDR_ID	EMR_ID	Last	First	DOB	Meas_Name	Den	Suspect	Excl	Invalid	CalcDen	Met	NotMet	ACO_ID	CDR_ID	EMR_ID	NotMet
450	3351	ZZZZZ200318				BreastCA_Scr	58	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	5085	ZZZZZ2003UU	1
318	802	ZZZZZ2002QC				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	9	4942	ZZZZZ20039K	1
324	5445	ZZZZZ200458				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	36	2618	ZZZZZ2001XJ	1
713	3594	ZZZZZ20020H				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	44	4257	ZZZZZ20038G	1
373	3614	ZZZZZ2002P3				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	61	4057	ZZZZZ20031O	1
233	2575	ZZZZZ2001WD				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	93	1846	ZZZZZ2001CF	1
177	522	ZZZZZ2000EH				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	107	4150	ZZZZZ20034C	1
3	5005	ZZZZZ2003UJ				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	108	2715	ZZZZZ200215	1
410	5039	ZZZZZ2003TL				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	110	2937	ZZZZZ20026C	1
315	4665	ZZZZZ2003JK				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	121	2426	ZZZZZ200153	1
280	4533	ZZZZZ2003F7				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	130	2309	ZZZZZ2002CH	1
553	3285	ZZZZZ2002FY				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	152	4635	ZZZZZ2004HN	1
362	2926	ZZZZZ2002ZS				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	160	5670	ZZZZZ2004B0	1
110	2937	ZZZZZ20026C				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	176	2500	ZZZZZ2001U9	1
674	5026	ZZZZZ2003T6				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	177	522	ZZZZZ2000EH	1
303	5659	ZZZZZ2004BE				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	203	657	ZZZZZ20001G	1
152	4635	ZZZZZ2004HN				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	228	6222	ZZZZZ200418	1
203	697	ZZZZZ2000IG				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	233	2515	ZZZZZ2001WD	1
467	3696	ZZZZZ2002RG				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	242	2453	ZZZZZ2002W4	1
242	2453	ZZZZZ2002W4				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	252	5452	ZZZZZ20045F	1
160	5670	ZZZZZ2004BO				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	254	3499	ZZZZZ200350	1
254	3499	ZZZZZ200350				BreastCA_Scr	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	262	5263	ZZZZZ20032X	1

## SAMPLE REGISTRY REPORT

The registry report (below) is similar to the overdue report but lists patients whose clinical values are out of range or otherwise require attention, for example, patients with an A1C that is more than 9 percent. These reports stem from a quality metrics report (right) that enables a practice to track its performance in key areas.

Quality Metrics													
Summary Quality Measures													
Meas_Name	Den	Exclud	Invalid	CalcDen	Met	Reqd	Delta	Pct	NotMet	Status	Goal	ACO_ID	Individ
BMI	161	1	44	116	113	47	66	97.4	3	Met	40		
CRCCa_Scr	189	0	1	188	153	102	51	81.4	35	Met	54		
HTN_Mgmt	86	0	3	83	61	54	7	73.5	22	Met	64		
DM_LDL_Less_100	23	0	1	22	15	10	5	68.2	7	Met	45		
Osteo_Scr	19	0	0	19	16	13	3	84.2	3	Met	68		
PCV	19	0	0	19	15	14	1	78.9	4	Met	69		
A1c_Less_8	23	0	1	22	15	14	1	68.2	7	Met	60		
A1c_Over_9	23	0	1	22	1	0	1	4.5	21	Met	0		
Asthma_Med	2	0	0	2	2	2	-2	100.0	0	Met	93		
CAD_LDL_Less_100	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0	-	0	NotMet	57		
Osteo_Mgmt_Post_FX	0	0	0	0	0	0	-0	-	0	NotMet	19		
Depress_Scr	197	0	72	125	62	63	-1	49.6	63	NotMet	50		
DM_Nephro_Scr	23	0	1	22	17	18	-1	77.3	5	NotMet	80		
DM_Eye_Exam	23	0	1	22	10	12	-2	45.5	12	NotMet	51		
Tob_Cess	122	117	2	3	0	2	-2	0.0	3	NotMet	52		
DM_BP_Less_130_80	23	0	1	22	11	15	-4	50.0	11	NotMet	64		
BreastCA_Scr	161	0	1	160	102	111	-9	63.7	58	NotMet	69		
CervCa_Scr	271	5	2	264	187	204	-17	70.8	77	NotMet	77		

Patient Detail by Measure										Counts of Not Met							
ACO_ID	CDR_ID	EMR_ID	Last	First	DOB	Meas_Name	Den	Suspect	Excl	Invalid	CalcDen	Met	NotMet	ACO_ID	CDR_ID	EMR_ID	NotMet
183	2075	ZZZZZ2001IP				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	10	1764	ZZZZZ2001AB	
255	1814	ZZZZZ2003W1				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	94	3321	ZZZZZ2002H0	
236	758	ZZZZZ2000K0				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	96	5057	ZZZZZ2003U2	
669	1474	ZZZZZ2003U4				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	136	5623	ZZZZZ2004AH	
588	2754	ZZZZZ200216				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	183	2075	ZZZZZ2001IP	
287	589	ZZZZZ2000FJ				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	236	758	ZZZZZ2000K0	
512	1742	ZZZZZ200195				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	255	1814	ZZZZZ2003W1	
702	3985	ZZZZZ20022P				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	287	589	ZZZZZ2000FJ	
467	3696	ZZZZZ2002RG				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	317	2156	ZZZZZ2001KT	
10	1764	ZZZZZ2001AB				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	355	6179	ZZZZZ2004OP	
507	3346	ZZZZZ2002HN				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	360	4317	ZZZZZ20038X	
94	3321	ZZZZZ2002H0				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	467	3696	ZZZZZ2002KG	
136	5623	ZZZZZ2004AH				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	507	3346	ZZZZZ2002HN	
581	183	ZZZZZ200052				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	512	1742	ZZZZZ200195	
624	1726	ZZZZZ20019F				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	533	4762	ZZZZZ2003LP	
96	5057	ZZZZZ2003U2				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	581	183	ZZZZZ200052	
533	4762	ZZZZZ2003LP				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	588	2754	ZZZZZ200216	
317	2156	ZZZZZ2001KT				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	624	1726	ZZZZZ20019F	
360	4317	ZZZZZ20038X				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	669	1474	ZZZZZ2002U4	
355	6179	ZZZZZ2004QP				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	693	828	ZZZZZ2000LN	
693	828	ZZZZZ2000LN				A1c_Over_9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	702	3985	ZZZZZ2002ZP	

# How many of your patients with diabetes have A1C levels under control?

**Registry reports:** How many of your patients with diabetes have A1C levels under control? How many of your patients age 65 or older received a flu shot this year? Retrospective reports can answer these types of questions and enable you to track quality of care. (See page 27.) The reports don't happen by magic; you will need to spend time with the vendor and determine your variables of interest and how you want the data presented. However, the time saved in the long run and the wealth of data you will have at your fingertips can make the exercise worth it.

Eventually, use of these reports should result in an increase in the rate of screenings and immunizations in your practice. "The rate of mammograms in eligible patients in my practice now tops 90 percent," said Webster. "Typically it's closer to 40 percent in some of the practices in the area."

Likewise, Reedy's practice saw marked improvement in 10 out of 13 quality measures in a year-long diabetes QI project.

■ Data collected by a clinical decision support system can help a practice assess its performance in key clinical areas.

■ The average cost of a clinical decision support system is between \$2,000 and \$4,000, far less than an EHR.

■ A clinical decision support system enables better care not only for the current patient but also for patients who aren't on the schedule.

A clinical decision support system can actually increase practice revenues, as it has done for one of the authors (RE) through a combination of the following: The overdue reports help to increase visits and services – all evidence-based and billable. The point-of-care reports facilitate smoother and faster workflow, increasing the practice's capacity to offer expanded services in the same amount of time. The registry reports help increase profitable participation in pay-for-performance programs. Screen shots of these reports can serve as documentation to help obtain patient-centered medical home recognition from the National Committee for Quality Assurance, for example, which brings increased reimbursement from some payers.

## Chipping away at the iceberg

No system is perfect, but a clinical decision support system can help you chip away at the iceberg of patient needs. The next time you see Mrs. Jones, she will already have completed part of her history with the medical assistant, and all preventive services will be covered. You can quickly note whether her chronic care needs are up-to-date and then use any newly found time to have a conversation about lifestyle change and community resources that can support her efforts. When her visit is over, you will have enough time to consider all the other Mrs. Joneses that are not on your schedule that day and how you can better help them.

Rather than being another EHR distraction, a clinical decision support system allows you to focus more on the relationship piece that likely drew you to family medicine in the first place. **FPM**

Send comments to  
**fpmedit@aafp.org**

1. Osheroff JA, Pifer EA, Teich JM, et al. *Improving Outcomes With Clinical Decision Support: An Implementers' Guide*. Chicago: HIMSS; 2005.

## IMPROVING PRACTICE THROUGH RESEARCH

This article is part of a series from the AAFP National Research Network and its affiliates, a national collaboration of primary care practice-based research networks. This series is designed

to help family physicians put research results to use in their practices.

**AAFP national research network**

