MEDICAID EXPANSION

AAFP Position
As a result of its longstanding support of policies associated with expanding coverage to all Americans, the AAFP supports expanding Medicaid eligibility as a path to make health care available to all by increasing access to care and enabling physicians to provide cost efficient, quality care. Failure to expand Medicaid does not simply deny coverage to the most vulnerable individuals, it affects the entire community who feel the impact of treating the poor and seriously ill.

Background
Since its inception in 1965, Medicaid has grown to become the largest payer in the country and, when paired with the Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP), covers more than 70 million individuals.1 The Medicaid program’s unique federal-state structure allows states significant flexibility to tailor the program to their own needs, including what services to cover and how best to pay physicians and hospitals, as long as states abide by federal standards. In order to receive federal funding for their Medicaid programs, states are required to cover certain “mandatory” or “traditional” populations, including children, low-income pregnant women, and most seniors and disabled individuals who qualify for Supplemental Security Income (SSI).2 Because states have differing ways to measure these metrics, the qualifying thresholds may vary by state. Similarly, the federal funding contribution to each state’s Medicaid program, or federal matching assistance percentage (FMAP), varies by state based largely on the state’s per capita income and ranges from 50 percent (federal-state parity) to 78 percent.

Medicaid Expansion under the Affordable Care Act
Nearly 20 million people gained health insurance coverage following the enactment of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in 2010, including 12.7 million individuals newly eligible for Medicaid under the law’s Medicaid expansion provisions.3,4 The greatest declines in the number of uninsured post-ACA have been in the 36 states and DC that expanded Medicaid eligibility to include largely working adults without children with incomes up to 138 percent of the federal poverty level (FPL) for the first time. States expanding coverage to this population are eligible for an enhanced 90 percent FMAP, significantly higher than the FMAP for services offered to the non-expansion Medicaid populations.

Benefits of Medicaid Expansion
Since the ACA’s passage a decade ago, a significant body of research has supported the law’s central aims of expanding health care coverage, increasing access to services, and improving health outcomes.5 States that chose to expand their Medicaid programs have seen significant declines in the rate of uninsured individuals in their states across all populations, including minority and rural populations, especially compared to states that have not expanded.

Medicaid has also expanded access to care and utilization of health services for covered individuals, including greater increases in early-stage cancer diagnosis rates, an increase in new use of smoking
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cessation medication and devices, ad greater access to primary care services. Medicaid has allowed beneficiaries with substance use disorders (SUD) access to treatment, including a 113 percent increase in admissions to specialty treatment facilities that utilize medication-assisted treatment (MAT) and other effective strategies to address SUD. During public health crises, Medicaid dollars disproportionately benefit states that expanded; during the COVID-19 pandemic, these states received $1,755 in federal funding per person compared to $1,198 per person in states that didn't expand.

Furthermore, Medicaid expansion has been associated with better health outcomes, including better self-reported health. Expansion has led to a range of increased health measures, including improvements in cardiac surgery patient health and increased rates of tobacco cessation.

In times of economic uncertainty and joblessness, Medicaid provides a lifeline to health insurance coverage. Medicaid covers 35.8 percent of all unemployed adults in states that expanded Medicaid, more than double the rate of states that didn't expand. Medicaid expansion has also allowed for more certainty for hospitals, especially rural facilities; hospitals in expansion states were 84 percent less likely to close than their non-expansion state counterparts. Overall uncompensated care costs nationwide would decrease by $8 billion should all states expand.

**Consequences of not expanding Medicaid**

A July 2014 report by President's Council of Economic Advisers found that not expanding Medicaid could result in millions of citizens not experiencing the greater financial security and better health outcomes associated with insurance coverage. According to a July 2019 study by the National Bureau of Economic Research, more than 15,600 deaths could have been averted had non-expansion states expanded. Should the remaining 14 non-expansion states expand Medicaid, 4.4 million individuals would be newly eligible for coverage.

The Robert Graham Center found that states that haven't expanded Medicaid forego the opportunity to expand their primary care workforces by a total of 1,525 physicians. Increased demand from expansion states and a limited primary care physician pool may provide a pull across state lines to the disadvantage of non-expansion states. Evidence also suggests that primary care physicians generate up to $1.5 million in annual revenues and 23 new jobs per physician.
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