

March 21, 2017

Seema Verma  
Administrator  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
Department of Health and Human Services  
Hubert H. Humphrey Building, Room 445-G  
200 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20201

Dear Administrator Verma:

The undersigned physician organizations welcome the Trump Administration's emphasis on reducing regulatory burdens. Congress recognized when it passed the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA) in an overwhelming bipartisan vote that the existing Medicare value-based purchasing programs affecting physicians—Meaningful Use (MU), Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS), and Value-based payment modifier (VM)—needed to be streamlined and aligned. As the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) implemented MACRA through the Quality Payment Program (QPP), we were grateful the agency recognized there were a number of challenges with the requirements of MU, PQRS, and VM. Consequently, we urge the Administration to take a series of steps to address these same challenges in MU, PQRS, and VM prior to their replacement by MACRA and minimize the penalties assessed for physicians who tried to participate in these programs. Clearly this would send a strong message to the physician community about the extensive regulations with which physicians have been dealing and the Administration's commitment to reduce the burden.

As directed by the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Cures Act, CMS must establish a strategy to relieve the electronic health record (EHR) documentation burden. To fulfill this legislative directive, we urge CMS to establish a new "Administrative Burden" category of hardship exemption for the 2016 MU performance year. Eligible providers should not be penalized for focusing on providing quality patient care rather than the arbitrary "check the box" requirements of MU. Creating an administrative burden hardship exemption would provide immediate relief for those impacted by the programs that predate MACRA.

We also urge CMS to create a hardship exemption for physicians who attempted to report PQRS in 2016 but were unsuccessful due to the complexity of the reporting requirements and the significant number of measures that were required. The AMA has heard from many physicians who tried to successfully report PQRS 2016, but were unable to find nine measures that were applicable and meaningful for their specialty. Physicians also reported difficulties with the requirements that one measure had to be a cross-cutting measure, and the nine measures had to cover three National Quality Strategy Domains. Therefore, we recommend that CMS create a hardship exemption that would allow physicians who successfully reported on any number of PQRS measures in 2016 to avoid the two percent penalty in 2018.

CMS recognized the difficulty of the reporting requirements and lack of applicable measures by reducing the requirements in the QPP to six measures and eliminating the domain and cross-cutting measure requirements.

In addition, CMS should take a number of steps to protect physicians from additional penalties of up to four percent under the VM. As a starting point, any physician who avoided the PQRs penalty in 2018 should be exempt from any VM penalties as well. These physicians would then all be eligible to participate in a voluntary quality-tiering program where positive, negative, or neutral payment adjustments would be distributed based on a comparison of performance on the applicable VM cost and quality measures for all tiering-eligible physicians, including those who chose not to enter the tiering process. In other words, physicians who met the nine-measure PQRs submission requirements or were eligible for a PQRs hardship exemption would not be penalized under the VM unless they voluntarily chose to compete and then scored poorly in the tiering process. Payment adjustments would be budget neutral, with bonuses for high performers financed by penalties for those who did not attempt to participate in PQRs or performed poorly in the tiering process. Practices of all sizes would receive a performance feedback report so that they could gain a better understanding of Medicare cost and quality measures and identify areas where their performance could be improved.

As indicated in the MACRA law and final regulations, policymakers in Congress and the Administration clearly understand that fair and accurate measurement of physicians' performance will not be possible until better tools become available. We are extremely appreciative of the efforts CMS has made to recognize and compensate for methodological shortcomings in MU, PQRs, and VM. We believe that the policies outlined above are consistent with the direction CMS is taking as we go forward with MACRA. We also believe the steps we have outlined are in keeping with President Trump's efforts to reduce regulatory burden.

We recognize that there might be other ways to achieve the same goal. We are open to discussing other options.

Sincerely,

American Medical Association  
Advocacy Council of the ACAAI  
American Academy of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology  
American Academy of Family Physicians  
American Academy of Hospice and Palliative Medicine  
American Academy of Neurology  
American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery  
American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation  
American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons  
American Association of Neurological Surgeons  
American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodagnostic Medicine  
American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology

American College of Emergency Physicians  
American College of Gastroenterology  
American College of Mohs Surgery  
American College of Physicians  
American College of Rheumatology  
American College of Surgeons  
American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists  
American Gastroenterological Association  
American Osteopathic Association  
American Psychiatric Association  
American Society for Radiation Oncology  
American Society for Surgery of the Hand  
American Society of Anesthesiologists  
American Society of Cataract and Refractive Surgery  
American Society of Clinical Oncology  
American Society of Dermatopathology  
American Society of Neuroradiology  
American Society of Plastic Surgeons  
American Society of Retina Specialists  
American Society of Transplant Surgeons  
American Urological Association  
Congress of Neurological Surgeons  
Endocrine Society  
Medical Group Management Association  
North American Spine Society  
Obesity Medicine Association  
Renal Physicians Association  
Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions  
Society of Critical Care Medicine  
Society of Hospital Medicine  
The Society of Thoracic Surgeons

Medical Association of the State of Alabama  
Alaska State Medical Association  
Arizona Medical Association  
Arkansas Medical Society  
California Medical Association  
Colorado Medical Society  
Connecticut State Medical Society  
Medical Society of Delaware  
Medical Society of the District of Columbia  
Florida Medical Association Inc  
Medical Association of Georgia  
Hawaii Medical Association

Idaho Medical Association  
Illinois State Medical Society  
Iowa Medical Society  
Kentucky Medical Association  
Louisiana State Medical Society  
Maine Medical Association  
MedChi, The Maryland State Medical Society  
Massachusetts Medical Society  
Michigan State Medical Society  
Minnesota Medical Association  
Mississippi State Medical Association  
Missouri State Medical Association  
Montana Medical Association  
Nebraska Medical Association  
Nevada State Medical Association  
New Hampshire Medical Society  
New Mexico Medical Society  
Medical Society of the State of New York  
North Carolina Medical Society  
North Dakota Medical Association  
Ohio State Medical Association  
Oklahoma State Medical Association  
Oregon Medical Association  
Pennsylvania Medical Society  
Rhode Island Medical Society  
South Dakota State Medical Association  
Tennessee Medical Association  
Texas Medical Association  
Vermont Medical Society  
Medical Society of Virginia  
Wisconsin Medical Society  
Wyoming Medical Society