



Liability: Joint and Several Liability

AAFP State Government Relations

Issue

Qpg qh vj g i tgevo { vj u qh vj g o gf lecnrkdckrk\ kpuwtcepeg etkuku ku vj cvkku f wg vq kpeqo r gvgpv f qevtu0 Cu engctn\ ucvgf d\ vj g CCHR O gf lecnrkdckrk\ Utknng Hqteg. o quvgttqtu ctg *system failures*, rather than failures of individual physicians. However, many states' laws and judicial u\ugvo u f q pqvtgeqi pl\ g vj g f khtgpeg dgvy ggp tqrg kp c u\ugvo cpf uqrg tgr qpukdkrk\0 Cu uwej . kp o cp\ rkdckrk\ vqtu. c r j {ulekcp vpi gpvcm\ eqppgevgf vq vtgcvo gpvqhc r cvkpvvj cv tguwngf kp c dcf qweqo g o c\ dg hqwpf gs wcm\ cu tgr qpukdrg cu c r j {ulekcp o qtg f ktgevn\ eqppgevgf vq vj g qweqo g0 Vj ku kuwng eqo o qpn\ ku tghgtgf vq cu lqkpvcpf ugxtcnrkdckrk\0

Considerations

CCHR eqpukwgpvej cr vgtu j cxg o cf g i tgevr tqi tguu kp cf xcpel\pi vj ku egpvcn vpgvqh ghgevkxgn\ cff tgu\kpi vj g rkdckrk\ kpuwtcepeg etkuku0 Ej cr vgtu uj qwf eqpvkpwg vq gf wecvg ucvg ngi kurvqtu. r ctvkwctn\ . ctqwpf vj g ko r qtcepeg qh vj ku kuwng0 Ugr ctv\kpi lqkpvcpf ugxtcnrkdckrk\ ku c ng\ utcvgi { vq gpuwtkpi hctpguu kp rkdckrk\ vqt0 Rtr qt\kpcnrkdckrk\ r tqvgeu vj g kpf k\kf wcn r j {ulekcp y j kng uko wncpgqwn\ cpf vcekn\ cenpqy ngf i kpi . vq c f gi tgg. vj cvgttqtu ctg hckntgu qh vj g u\ugvo 0

Qr gp\kpi c f kuewukqp qh vj g rkdckrk\ etkuku vq kpenw\ g vj g pqv\qp qh u\ugvo hckntgu cmjy u ej cr vgtu to engage in a discussion of system improvements and the Academy's continued commitment to ko r tqxkpi vj g uchgv\ qhcmr cvkpwu0 Cff k\kqpcn\ . uqo g uwf kgu uj qy vj ku vq dg cp guugpvkcnr kgeg qh vj g rkdckrk\ kpuwtcepeg r tgo kwo u r w\ | ng0 Hqt gzco r ng. c Lwpg 4227 Wall Street Journal ctvknng kpf kecvgf vj cvcpguj gukqni kuv r c\ nguu hqt o cir tceveg kpuwtcepeg vqf c\ . kp eqpucpvf qmctu. vj cp vj g\ f kf 42 {gctu ci q0Vj g ctvknng ekvgf vj g f gekukp qh vj g cpguj gukqni kuv vq hqewu qp ko r tqxkpi r cvkpvuchgv\ cu vj g tgeuqp hqt vj gkt tgrv\kgn\ ngy kpuwtcepeg r tgo kwo u

State Activity

Cu qh Qevdgt 4227. 58 ucvgu *CM C\ . CT. EC. EQ. EV. HN. I C. J K\K. IC. M\ . NC. OG. OK OP. OU. OQ. OV. PG. PX. PJ . PL PO. P\ . PF. QJ . QM QT. UF. VZ. WW. Y C. Y X. Y K Y [+ugr ctv\gf lqkpvcpf ugxtcnrkdckrk\ . gpcev\pi r tr qt\kpcnrkdckrk\0 Hqwtvggp ucvgu *CN. FG. KN. R. MU. OF. OC. PE. RC. TKUE. VP. XV. XC+j cxg pq ugr ctv\qp qh lqkpvcpf ugxtcn rkdckrk\ . y kj Rgppu\ rkcplc cpf Vgppguugg eqwtu f ger\kpi uwej ugr ctv\kpu wpeqpukw\kpcr0

AAFP Policy

Vj g CCHR Rtqhgu\kpcn0 gf lecnrkdckrk\ r qrle\ o c\ dg xlgy gf "[qprkpg0](#)

Vj g CCHR *Medical Liability Strike Force Report* o c\ dg xlgy gf cv [j wr <lo go dgtu<clr Qti lo go dgtuRtgdw\kveqpi tguadqctf tgr qt\k0 f h](#) *Members Only+

State	Joint and Several Liability
Alabama	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Alaska	§09.17.080. Defendants are proportionally liable for damages awarded according to percentage of fault.
Arizona	§12-2506. Defendants are proportionally liable for damages awarded according to percentage of fault, unless defendant acted in concert with another person.
Arkansas	§16-55-201. Defendants are proportionally liable for damages awarded according to percentage of fault.
California	Civil Code §1431.2. Defendants are proportionally liable for noneconomic damages according to percentage of fault, but jointly and severally liable for economic damages.
Colorado	§13-21-111(5). Defendants are proportionally liable for damages awarded according to percentage of fault, unless act proved deliberate.
Connecticut	§52-572h. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded.
Delaware	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Florida	§768.81. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, monetary limits in liability according to percentage as level of fault increases.
Georgia	Enacted 2005: §51-12-33. Multiple defendants liable for apportioned damages according to percentage of fault of each person. Damages reduced by court in proportion to percentage of fault if plaintiff is found partially responsible for injury. Plaintiff not entitled to receive any damages if found 50% or more responsible for injury.
Hawaii	§671.18. Arbitration tolls statute until 60 days after panel's decision is delivered.
Idaho	§6.803. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except in cases of intentional act.
Illinois	§735 5/2-1117. <i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Indiana	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Iowa	§668.4. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault. Several liability not granted for economic damages when defendant is found more than 50% at fault.
Kansas	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Kentucky	§411.182. When court apportions percentage of fault, defendant is only liable for comparable share of damages.
Louisiana	CC §2324. Defendants are liable only for percentage of fault unless conspiracy of intentional or willful act.
Maine	Enacted 2005: §14.156-A. In action involving multiple defendants, damage liability if several only for amount of damages in proportion to percentage of fault. Joint liability for defendants in case of acting in concert.

State	Joint and Several Liability
Maryland	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Massachusetts	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Michigan	§600.2925a. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except when uncollectible shares are reallocated among solvent defendants.
Minnesota	§604.02. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except when defendant is assessed greater than 50% of fault, or proven to have intentional malice.
Mississippi	§85.5.7. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except when defendant is proven to have intentional malice.
Missouri	Amended 2005: §537.067. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded; jointly liable if found more than 51% at fault.
Montana	§27.1.703. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except when defendant is assessed greater than 50% of fault.
Nebraska	§25.21,185.10. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for noneconomic damages awarded, and jointly liable for economic damages.
Nevada	§41A.045. Defendants proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for economic and noneconomic damages awarded.
New Hampshire	§507:7-d. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded.
New Jersey	§2A:15-5.2. Defendants only responsible for share of fault if less than 60% . Defendants found more than 60% at fault subject to modified rule.
New Mexico	§41.3A.1. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except when defendant is proven to have intentional malice.
New York	§16-1601. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for noneconomic damages awarded, unless found more than 50% at fault. Defendants may be held jointly liable for economic damages.
North Carolina	§1B-7. <i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
North Dakota	§32.03.2.02. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, except when defendant is proven to have intentional malice.
Ohio	§2307.22. Defendants are proportionally liable for economic damages according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, unless found more than 50% at fault. Severally liable only for noneconomic damages.
Oklahoma	§23-15. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, unless found more than 50% at fault or guilty of willful misconduct or reckless disregard.

State	Joint and Several Liability
Oregon	§31.610. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded.
Pennsylvania	July 2005: <i>Commonwealth Court declared separation of joint and several liability unconstitutional based on germane standard of legislation enacted in 2002. (Statute §42.71.7102.)</i>
Rhode Island	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
South Carolina	§15-38-10. <i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
South Dakota	§15-8-15.1. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault; defendants found less than 50% liable not jointly liable for more than twice percentage of fault allocated.
Tennessee	<i>Joint and several liability provisions in statute declared unconstitutional by State Supreme Court.</i>
Texas	Civil Practice §33.013. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, unless found more than 50% at fault.
Utah	§78.27.40. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded.
Vermont	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Virginia	<i>No separation of joint and several liability.</i>
Washington	§4.22.070. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, unless found to be deliberately acting in concert with others.
West Virginia	§55.7B.9. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded.
Wisconsin	§895.045.(2). Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded, unless found to be deliberately acting in concert with others or found more than 50% at fault.
Wyoming	§1.1.109. Defendants are proportionally liable according to percentage of fault for damages awarded.

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures. *State Medical Malpractice Laws: Section 1.* (<http://www.ncsl.org/standcomm/sclaw/statelaws1.htm>, accessed 13 October 2005.)