ACTIVITY DISCLAIMER

The material presented here is being made available by the American Academy of Family Physicians for educational purposes only. Please note that medical information is constantly changing; the information contained in this activity was accurate at the time of publication. This material is not intended to represent the only, nor necessarily best, methods or procedures appropriate for the medical situations discussed. Rather, it is intended to present an approach, view, statement, or opinion of the faculty, which may be helpful to others who face similar situations.

The AAFP disclaims any and all liability for injury or other damages resulting to any individual using this material and for all claims that might arise out of the use of the techniques demonstrated therein by such individuals, whether these claims shall be asserted by a physician or any other person. Physicians may care to check specific details such as drug doses and contraindications, etc., in standard sources prior to clinical application. This material might contain recommendations/guidelines developed by other organizations. Please note that although these guidelines might be included, this does not necessarily imply the endorsement by the AAFP.
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Learning Objectives

1. Overview of the strengths and weaknesses of our current health care system.

2. Discuss major reform proposals being considered.

3. Compare the United States system to other countries.
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Executive Summary

- The American Academy of Family Physicians’ (AAFP) vision is to “…transform health care to achieve optimal health for everyone.”
- Policymakers and the public are looking for ways to address coverage, quality, and cost challenges within the U.S. health care system
- In 2018, the AAFP Congress of Delegates (COD) approved the “Health Care for All” policy—outlining seven principles and considerations for health reform
- The “Health Care for All” policy considered commissioned research on international health systems, historical policy positions, and feedback from chapters to support affordable, primary-care based health care for all

“Health Care for All” policy will guide AAFP’s assessment of and engagement on health reform proposals at the Federal and state levels and with the public.
AAFP Has a 30-Year Commitment to Ensuring All Americans Have Coverage

1989: AAFP adopts first policy on health care coverage for all Americans


1998: Support for Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP)

1992-93: Support for universal coverage during Clinton Health Plan

2008-2009: Support for Affordable Care Act (ACA)

2009: Support for public option during ACA debate

2017: Support for Medicare buy-in

2018: COD approves “Health Care for All Policy”

U.S. Health System Challenges
U.S. Health Care Spending Outpaces Most Other Countries, Projected to Grow

Total Health Care Spending Per Capita (US Dollars/Capita) 2018

- Health share of GDP in the U.S. was 17.9% in 2017 and is projected to increase to 19.4% by 2027.
- Health spending is expected to rise from $3.6 T in 2018 to almost $6 T by 2027.

2018 Adult Uninsured Rate Was Highest Since ACA Went Into Effect

Percentage of U.S. Adults Without Health Insurance 2008-2018

- Source: OECD. Health spending: total, government/compulsory, voluntary, US dollars/capita, 2018 or latest data available.
Number of Uninsured U.S. Children Increasing

Number of Uninsured Children in US (Millions) 2008-2017

* Change in coverage relative to previous year is significant at 90% confidence level.

Source: Aikens J, Pham O. Nation’s progress on children’s health coverage reverses course. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Health Policy Institute, Center for Children & Families; 2018. Based on 2008 to 2017 U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS) data.

U.S. Underperforms on Population Health Compared to Other High-Income Countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AUS</th>
<th>CAN</th>
<th>FRA</th>
<th>GER</th>
<th>NETH</th>
<th>NZ</th>
<th>NOR</th>
<th>SWE</th>
<th>SWIZ</th>
<th>UK</th>
<th>US</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>OVERALL RANKING</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care Process</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin. Efficiency</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Research Shows Access to Primary Care has Positive Impact on Spending, Quality, Outcomes

• Research has shown that states that rely more on primary care physicians to manage chronic illness are associated with:
  • Lower Medicare spending
  • Lower utilization of some services (e.g. physician visits, days in hospital and ICU)
  • Better quality of care

• Study analyzing the impact of having a regular source of primary care on quality and patient experience found:
  • Those with primary care were more likely to have had a routine preventative visit and fill more prescriptions over past year, received more high-value care, and reported better access and experience with care

• Study found that greater supply of primary care physicians was associated with longer life expectancy
  • Also associated with reduced mortality due to cardiovascular, respiratory diseases

• However, a 2019 study estimated that only 2-5 percent of Medicare spending is on primary care


Review of International Models with Foundational Primary Care
International Health Systems Discussion Paper
Examined Approaches to Enhance U.S. System

• In 2016, the AAFP Board of Directors commissioned a “Discussion Paper on Health Care Coverage and Financing Models”
  • Discussion paper was not adopted as AAFP policy, but supported development of the 2018 “Health Care for All” policy
• Analysis looked at international health systems and their impact on select system and population health indicators
  • Healthcare Coverage and Access
  • The Family and Primary Care Physician Workforce
  • Health Care Spending, and
  • Population Health

The Board examined the discussion paper and AAFP member feedback – and found that the most optimal system may be a combination of features from reviewed models that can address the unique needs of the U.S.

Comparison of Health Care Coverage & Access Across Health Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Percent of Population Covered</th>
<th>Percent Experiencing Cost Barriers</th>
<th>Percent Waiting 2+ Months for Specialist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bismarck Model</td>
<td>Coverage likely to increase</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown, but some opt-out of statutory system for private coverage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Option</td>
<td>Coverage levels could increase</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Payer Model</td>
<td>Coverage likely to increase</td>
<td>Access barriers likely to be reduced</td>
<td>Wait times may increase modestly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countries with single payer or Bismarck models have universal coverage and more limited cost barriers to access, but may have longer wait times for specialty care.
Comparison of Health Systems on Family and Primary Care Physicians

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Admin. Burden</th>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Workforce</th>
<th>Caseload</th>
<th>Physician Satisfaction and Burnout</th>
<th>Autonomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bismarck Model</td>
<td>Likely to decline</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>May not change</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>May not change</td>
<td>May decline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Option</td>
<td>Could increase</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Payer Model</td>
<td>Likely to decline</td>
<td>May be reduced - depends on payment levels and structure</td>
<td>Ratio of primary care physicians to specialists could increase</td>
<td>Unlikely to change</td>
<td>Likely to improve</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Counties with single-payer and Bismarck models generally have stronger, primary-care based health systems with higher ratios of primary care physicians to specialists and rates of physician satisfaction, and lower administrative burden.

Comparison of Health Spending Across Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Personal &amp; Government Expenditures</th>
<th>Variability in Spending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bismarck Model</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Out-of-pocket expenditures increasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Option</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Payer Model</td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Personal – Unclear, Gov. – Increase</td>
<td>Greater emphasis on primary care, increase spending towards practice-based primary care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impacts of models on total health expenditures difficult to distinguish. However, single-payer and Bismarck models have lower levels of health care spending as a percentage of GDP compared to the U.S.
Comparison of Health System Impact on Population Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Population Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bismarck Model</td>
<td>Likely to improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Option</td>
<td>Likely to improve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Payer model</td>
<td>Likely to improve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Population health is likely to improve under all models. Generally, health outcomes in both single-payer and Bismarckian countries are better than in the U.S.—likely due to focus on prevention and potentially greater investment in social programs.

Policy Priorities & Guardrails to Guide Engagement on Health Reform
Moving Towards the Goals of Coverage for All and a System Foundational in Primary Care

**AAFP's Principles for Health Reform Proposals**
- Coverage
- Primary Care-Based Health System
- Insurance Reforms
- Primary Care Payment
- Workforce
- Reduced Barriers for Primary Care
- Patient and Physician Choice

**Guardrails for Assessing Reform Proposals**
- Alignment With AAFP’s Policy Priorities and Strategic Objectives
- Environmental Considerations (e.g., bipartisan, public support)
- Political and Economic Conditions (e.g., political and fiscal viability)
- Achievement of Changes Consistent With AAFP’s Mission

Support for health system reform that aligns with AAFP’s principles, is feasible within the context of guardrails, and that results in coverage for all and a system for which primary care is foundational.

Standing Together

**What States Can Do**

*How Family Physicians Can Organize Ourselves, Our Colleagues and Our Communities To Build The Health Care System America Needs and Perhaps Preserve Democracy in the Process -- Block By Block, Neighborhood by Neighborhood, and Town by Town.*
Health Care in the US is an expensive failure

• WE SPEND
  $3.65 Trillion
  Almost three times what nations with the best health outcomes spend

But compared to other advanced countries we get poor health outcomes

• Their costs: 50 percent less
• Their outcomes:
  Infant mortality rates a third of ours.
  Life expectancy 4 years longer
  (our life expectancy is falling. We now rank 64th in the world)
• Huge health disparities
One third to half of what we spend is unnecessary or wasted

• We waste $1.7 to $1.8 trillion a year, most of which is profit for someone

The money we waste is money we aren’t investing in social programs that actually improve health
Most advanced countries provide primary care to everyone

• Finland
• Denmark
• Israel
• Cuba
• Spain
• The United Kingdom

Most advanced nations provide primary care to everyone

• They spend *three times* what we spend on primary care (20% vs 6%)
• *Half* of all their doctors are primary care doctors
• They provide primary care *to everyone*, in *every* neighborhood and community
But in the US, little primary care: we haven’t seen what primary care can do

• 43 percent of Americans had any primary care visit in the last year

• Only 52 to 54 percent of insured Rhode Islanders had a preventive examination in the last two years
  − That includes kids (so the proportion of adults is likely much higher)

• 45 percent of millennials can not identify a primary care clinician at all

You don’t change a $3.6 Trillion industry by just asking it to change
You change a $3.6 trillion dollar industry by building a national movement to change it

Five Things States Can Do

• Teach clinicians to talk about health care cost and outcomes in the exam room

• Primary care spend legislation/regulation

• Make Medicaid and Public Employee Direct Primary Care

• Red States: Medicaid and Public Employee Insurance via HSA

• Blue States: Primary Care Trusts
Questions?

www.aafp.org/hcdelivery
Questions