

This form is provided as a reference tool to investigate the possible causes of unsatisfactory proficiency testing results. Not all errors can be identified with one particular tool. Laboratories should consider the unique factors for each test system and expand its investigation when indicated. Complete the Proficiency Testing Corrective Action Form and attach to this checklist along with all records reviewed and other related documentation.

1. General

- a. Did more than one challenge in this event fail?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Did more than one analyte fail?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Are there previous trends/unsatisfactory results for this test?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Do the SDIs show a bias in the current event?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Was there low consensus for the analyte?  Yes  No  NA
- f. Provide the scores for the failed analytes from the three prior events (most recent first):

Year	Event	Score
20__	_____	_____
20__	_____	_____
20__	_____	_____

2. Administrative

- a. Were results submitted to AAFP-PT by the due date?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Did you print off the Data Submission Report?  Yes  No  NA

3. Clerical

- a. Were results transcribed correctly?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Verify that the decimal point and units of measure are correct.  Yes  No  NA
- c. Was the correct instrument/reagent kit in PT Central?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Were calculations performed correctly (even if automated)?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Do the values on Data Submission Report match the Evaluation report?  Yes  No  NA

4. Specimen Handling

- a. Was kit refrigerated immediately upon arrival?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Were contents of kit correct and in good condition?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Were specimen handling instructions followed?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Was testing performed within seven to 10 days of receipt?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Was sample at room temperature when tested?  Yes  No  NA
- f. Was sample mixed well before testing?  Yes  No  NA
- g. Was sample diluted properly, if required?  Yes  No  NA

5. Quality Control

- a. Were quality control materials within the acceptable range on the date of PT testing?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Were there unacceptable QC during the month previous to the day of testing?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Were there unacceptable QC during the month following the day of testing?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Any evidence of trends or shifts in the periods just before and just after PT was tested?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Does QC demonstrate an even distribution (above/below) the mean?  Yes  No  NA

6. Calibration

- a. Does the instrument require calibrations and/or calibration verifications?  Yes  No  NA
  - i. Was calibration or calibration verification performed when it was due?  Yes  No  NA
  - ii. When was the last calibration performed? \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_
  - iii. When was the last calibration verification performed? \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_
  - iv. Were any calibration problems noted?  Yes  No  NA

7. Instrument

- a. Was daily maintenance performed on the date of PT testing?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Was special maintenance (ex: annual PM) performed just prior to PT testing?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Were instrument problems noted when PT was performed?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Were results within reported linearity for instrument?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Does the sample demonstrate a “matrix effect”?  Yes  No  NA
- f. Have you contacted your instrument manufacturer for assistance?  Yes  No  NA

8. Reagents/Kit

- a. Were new reagents or calibrators recently introduced at or near the time PT was performed?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Are reagents/kit within expiration dates?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Verify that open stability of reagents/kits was not exceeded.  Yes  No  NA
- d. Were reagents/kit components reconstituted properly according to manufacturer package insert?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Were kit components substituted from other kits?  Yes  No  NA
- f. Was reagent/kit log checked for notation of any recent problems?  Yes  No  NA
- g. Has there been changes in manufacturer formulary of reagents/kit?  Yes  No  NA
- h. Were procedure versus manufacturer’s most current package insert reviewed for any changes or updates?  Yes  No  NA

9. Testing Personnel

- a. Date of last competency assessment for testing personnel. \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Were assay procedure and proficiency sample preparation instructions reviewed to ensure instructions were followed?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Did you review with testing personnel how samples were loaded to rule out misidentification or transposition of samples?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Was retraining of testing personnel required and if so is this completed?  Yes  No  NA

10. Repeat Testing

- a. Repeat testing result: \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Is result now acceptable?  Yes  No  NA

11. Microbiology specific

- a. Was QC acceptable for
- i. The media used?  Yes  No  NA
  - ii. The identification system?  Yes  No  NA
  - iii. Other biochemical testing?  Yes  No  NA
  - iv. Susceptibility testing?  Yes  No  NA
  - v. Stains used?  Yes  No  NA
- b. Was the correct culture media selected for inoculation?  Yes  No  NA
- c. Were the growth conditions acceptable (temp, CO<sub>2</sub>, humidity)?  Yes  No  NA
- d. Were the cultures mixed?  Yes  No  NA
- e. Were adequate isolation techniques used by the personnel?  Yes  No  NA
- f. Was the McFarland standard acceptable?  Yes  No  NA
- g. Did the organism demonstrate a typical biochemical reaction pattern?  Yes  No  NA
- h. Were purity plates OK?  Yes  No  NA
- i. Did the lyophilized organism demonstrate typical characteristics?  Yes  No  NA

**Additional Notes**

---