

The RUC Under Fire

Time may be running out for the group that helps divide the Medicare pie.

A few years ago, I suspect, most physicians were at best vaguely aware of the existence of the Relative Value Update Committee (RUC). Now, though, I hope most physicians know that this AMA committee of 29 physicians who spend hours arguing over tenths and hundredths of relative value units (RVUs) assigned to CPT codes plays a huge role in determining how much physicians are paid. (See “What Every Physician Should Know About the RUC,” <http://www.aafp.org/fpm/2008/0200/p36.html>.) With the current Medicare conversion factor of \$33.9764 per RVU, those tenths of RVUs are worth \$3.40 apiece. Multiply the number of office visit codes you submit in a year by \$3.40 to see how much even one tenth can mean to you.

For some time, the AAFP and other primary care physician organizations have contended that the RUC process is biased toward procedural specialties, thus perpetuating and worsening the income gap between specialties and contributing to the primary care shortage. Some have urged the primary care specialties to quit the RUC in protest, and while the AAFP has declined so far, it did send the RUC a letter in June urging more primary care representation and more seats for stakeholders from outside the house of medicine (<http://bit.ly/RUCLetter>); it also formed a task force to explore alternatives to the RUC.

Now, a group of Georgia physicians is suing the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) alleging that CMS has harmed them by its reliance on the RUC and asking for an injunction to interrupt the CMS/RUC relationship until the committee can be brought into compliance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, which requires balanced representation and transparent proceedings, among other things (<http://bit.ly/RUCSuit>).

Given the way the RUC allegedly overvalues procedural services, thereby encouraging continual growth in the volume of those services and driving up health care costs, I have to wonder if the fumbling efforts of Washington to reduce the deficit (or to reduce the annual increase in the deficit) won't bring the whole RUC process into question. Accountable care organizations (ACOs; see page 17) might offer a fairer process for dividing the pie. Of course, the state of the budget and the mood of Congress make it likely that there won't be as much pie to go around, so the interspecialty battles over what is available may simply reappear in the internal politics of ACOs. *Plus ça change ...*



Robert Edsall, Editor-in-Chief
fpmedit@aaafp.org

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Robert L. Edsall

MANAGING EDITOR

Leigh Ann Backer

SENIOR EDITOR

Brandi White

ASSOCIATE EDITOR

Lynn Hofeldt

ART DIRECTOR

Christine Schneider

BOARD OF EDITORS

Kenneth G. Adler, MD, MMM, Tucson, Ariz.

Kenny Lin, MD, Washington, D.C.

David A. Lynch, MD, Bellingham, Wash.

Karl Singer, MD, Exeter, N.H.

Christine A. Sinsky, MD, Dubuque, Iowa

William D. Soper, MD, MBA, Kansas City, Mo.

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS

Alice G. Gosfield, JD; Cindy Hughes, CPC;

David C. Kibbe, MD, MBA; Kent J. Moore

PUBLISHER

Stephanie Hanaway

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Marilyn Harvey

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR

Robert L. Edsall

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

Douglas E. Henley, MD

VICE PRESIDENT FOR PUBLICATIONS AND STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS

Craig Doane

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COORDINATOR

Melissa Lavenbarg

CIRCULATION DIRECTOR

Cathy L. Donahue

ASSISTANT CIRCULATION MANAGER

Carrie Burkin

CIRCULATION COORDINATOR

Rebecca M. Fuller

ASSOCIATE PUBLISHER/MARKETING DIRECTOR

Linda G. Doggett

ADVERTISING BUSINESS MANAGER

Brian W. Arbuckle

SENIOR PROJECT COORDINATOR

Amy Swift

PRODUCTION DIRECTOR

Bret Taylor

PRODUCTION GRAPHICS MANAGER

Stacey Herrmann

GRAPHIC ASSOCIATES

Bryan Colley; Debra Rodriguez

ADVERTISING COORDINATOR

Linda A. Porter

WEB TECHNICIAN

Stephanie Chapel

ASSOCIATE PUBLISHER/ADVERTISING SALES DIRECTOR

Dan Gowan

DIRECTOR OF ADVERTISING SALES

John Molluso

NATIONAL ACCOUNT MANAGER

Mickey Cotter