

Daniel Bluestein, MD, Ryan Diduk-Smith, MPH, Laura Jordan, RN,
Kelsie Persaud, MD, and Travonia Hughes, PhD

MEDICARE ANNUAL WELLNESS VISITS: How to Get Patients and Physicians on Board



Patients are more likely to schedule a wellness visit if their physician recommends it, but that won't happen without staff support.

Most family physicians would agree that Medicare annual wellness visits (AWVs) are a good idea. They let physicians develop personalized preventive care plans, review and update medical histories, reconcile medication lists, identify other providers involved in the patient's care, and summarize the patient's acute care.¹ As a longer visit – often an hour – the AWV lets clinicians escape the “tyranny of the urgent” and develop a proactive, coordinated care plan, which is rarely possible during short, illness-focused office visits.²

In addition, the AWV is well reimbursed. The 2017 Medicare allowances for HCPCS codes G0438 (initial AWV) and G0439 (subsequent AWV) are \$173.70 and \$117.71, respectively. By comparison, the rate for CPT code 99214 (level 4, established-patient office visit) is \$108.74.

Despite these advantages, relatively few patients get an AWV. In 2012, following the first full year in which AWVs were available, less than 10 percent of eligible patients had one.³ Although the completion rate has surpassed 25

percent in some areas, rates in most of the country remain at approximately 10 percent or less.⁴ This under-use is all the more surprising given that the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) waived the copay for the AWV, making the visit itself essentially free for patients.

The Department of Family and Community Medicine at the Eastern Virginia Medical School was concerned by the low rates of AWV completion. At our two residency-faculty practices, which serve a diverse urban population, only 153 of our 2,164 Medicare patients age 65 and older, or 7.1 percent, completed an AWV in 2015.

To change this, we launched a quality improvement initiative that ultimately increased patient recruitment and more than doubled our AWV completion rate.

A quick literature review: Why don't patients get AWVs?

We wanted to know why patients in general were not getting AWVs and, in late 2015, began reviewing the literature. There is not a great deal of published material, but several

themes emerge. First, some patients have a negative attitude toward preventive care in general (e.g., “I got the flu shot then got the flu.”).⁵ Negative news coverage may also be a factor. For example, the AWW benefit was launched amid controversy over so-called “death panels,” or the belief of some critics that giving physicians incentives to discuss end-of-life care with patients was designed to limit life-sustaining treatment for older and sicker patients. Although the death panel furor has waned, it may point to a general distrust, which reduces acceptance of the AWW.⁶

Experience with other preventive benefits fully covered by Medicare, such as the “Welcome to Medicare” visit, indicated that eliminating out-of-pocket costs is insufficient. Most patients are simply unaware of these benefits, and most providers do not advocate their use.⁷

A recent, qualitative study of AWW usage revealed similar themes.⁸ Many patients said they had not heard of the AWW and did not understand how it differed from an annual physical or regular office visit. Some patients who had received an AWW said their needs had not been met, especially if there was no physical exam or attention to illness concerns. They were also confused when copays for services such as lab tests, immunizations, and chronic disease management were added to their “free” visit.

Physicians themselves were ambivalent; they saw value in preventive discussions, which they believed they already provided regularly, but felt obliged to provide both wellness and regular care at the AWW – which became overwhelming. They also complained of complex documentation and billing requirements.

Even with these deterrents, many patients who have had AWWs say they did so at the urging of their physician.⁹

Surveying our patients and providers

To assess patient and provider perceptions in our own practices, we designed two surveys for patients 65 and older with traditional, fee-for-service Medicare – one survey for patients who had had an AWW and one for patients who had not. We did not include our Medicare Advantage patients as they are mostly younger than 65 and their plans require an AWW. During the first two months of 2016, nursing staff distributed the surveys to patients to complete while waiting for their clinician and collected the surveys at checkout. Physicians completed

an online survey during the same period. All responses were voluntary and anonymous.

The survey for patients who had received an AWW asked whether they did so at the suggestion of their doctor, whether the visit met all of their needs, whether there were unexpected costs, whether they would recommend the AWW to others, and how helpful specific AWW components were. The survey for patients who had not

As a longer visit, the annual wellness visit lets clinicians escape the “tyranny of the urgent.”

received an AWW asked whether they had heard of the AWW, whether they had heard bad press about the AWW, whether they were concerned about costs, and whether they saw various AWW components as important. Both surveys inquired about age, race, and gender, but no other demographics were requested. The survey for providers asked about the perceived value of the AWW as well as potential barriers, such as time, training, competing agendas, understanding billing requirements, and level of confidence in carrying out various AWW elements. Respondents could also provide written comments.

We did not track how many patient surveys we distributed, but we received 99 back, including 29 from patients who had had an AWW and 70 from those who had not. The mean age was 74 years; respondents were 50 percent female, 33 percent African-American, 53 percent white, and 13 percent “other.” These results did not vary by site or whether the patient had had an AWW.

Of the providers, 38 of the 64 we contacted online responded (59 percent). Approximately half were residents; the others included family physician faculty members and one physician assistant.

We learned the following:

- 90 percent of patients who had received an AWW said they did so at the recommendation of their physician,
- 61 percent of patients who had not received an AWW said they had never heard of it,
- 14 percent of providers said they saw value in the

About the Authors

Dr. Bluestein is a professor of family and community medicine at Eastern Virginia Medical School (EVMS) in Norfolk, Va. Ryan Diduk-Smith is the grants program manager for the Department of Family and Community Medicine at EVMS. Laura Jordan is a care manager for the Department of Family and Community Medicine at EVMS. Dr. Persaud is chief resident for geriatrics at EVMS’s Ghent Family Medicine program. Dr. Hughes is an assistant professor at Hampton University School of Pharmacy in Hampton, Va. Author disclosures: The authors’ work was supported by grants from the Health Resources and Services Administration and The Atlantic Philanthropies. No other relevant financial affiliations disclosed.

AWV but were deterred by billing and documentation complexities,

- 16 percent of providers said they were deterred by competing demands.

We found little evidence that patients in our practices devalued preventive care in general or the AWW specifically. We also found no evidence that bad press, unmet needs, or unexpected costs were deterrents.

Our survey also yielded an important and unforeseen benefit: The survey itself acted as a recruiting tool. Many respondents who had not heard of the AWW asked to have one scheduled. Several reported in the comments section that being asked to fill out the survey by an interested and enthusiastic staff member encouraged their interest.

Our solution

Following the survey, we decided in April 2016 to begin leveraging physician recommendation of the AWW with personalized recruitment of patients. A nurse care manager at one of our practices reviewed the daily schedule to identify Medicare patients eligible for a wellness visit. She would then remind the physicians to recommend that their patients get an AWW and ask the medical assistants or other nurses to introduce her to these patients so she could speak to them first-hand. She explained to patients that, for an AWW, they would not see their physician for a physical exam but, at the physician's request,

would instead sit down with the care manager for a discussion of wellness and other related topics. As a result, the care manager in the first month recruited 24 patients who completed AWWs with her. This was far ahead of the usual AWW completion rate of about a dozen per month.

Given this initial success, the care manager increased her efforts to three "recruitment days" at one practice and two days at the

In 2012, following the first full year in which AWWs were available, less than 10 percent of eligible patients had one.

other. She also worked with other clinic staff, such as licensed practical nurses and medical assistants, so they could recruit patients for AWWs as well. (See "Medicare annual wellness visit recruitment strategies.")

In fact, the care manager's AWW caseload increased to the point that other practice nurses, including our three part-time care managers, began performing AWWs also. We did not have to hire additional staff.

According to CMS, many practice staff members can perform AWWs with a supervising physician on site, including nurses, pharmacists, dietitians, health educators, and others. Nurse practitioners and physician assistants can actually bill for the visit although medical students and residents require physician-faculty supervision.

A few practices have reported that some patients consider the dementia screening included in the AWW, which generally takes the form of a memory test, insulting or even stressful. Our care manager explains to the patient that Medicare requires a memory screen and that while the process may seem simplistic, it is a well-supported tool. So far, we have had one complaint of the test being "insulting" and another patient with low health literacy being upset by it.

During the nine months we conducted the AWW recruitment project, we completed 344 AWWs at our two practices, compared with 153 AWWs in all of 2015. (See "Medicare annual wellness visit study results.") Assum-

■ Annual wellness visits (AWVs) are an effective and well-paid way to provide preventive care to Medicare patients.

■ Lack of awareness of the benefit is the main factor limiting the number of patients who request an AWW.

■ Advocacy by physicians combined with recruitment by nurse care managers boosted AWW adoption by patients.

MEDICARE ANNUAL WELLNESS VISIT RECRUITMENT STRATEGIES

After describing the visit, try to identify what most appeals to the patient.

Focus on patient priority rather than clinical importance.

Emphasize that "Your doctor wants you to get this done. It really helps your doctor help you."

Explain that the visit allows the patient and physician (or care manager) to talk longer than during a typical office visit.

Do not try to "sell" the visit.

Give the patient a health risk appraisal to bring back; this gives the patient a sense of what to expect.

Brainstorm potential solutions to barriers such as transportation, time, and care of other family members.

Be knowledgeable, caring, and passionate while not overwhelming the patient.

ing that trend continues, we would complete 458 AWWs during a full year, or a 300 percent annual increase. This would increase the completion rate among our eligible population to 21 percent. Although there is clearly room for further improvement, this percentage approaches the “best” rates reported elsewhere.⁴

The clinical benefits of AWWs are paramount, but we must also consider financial performance. During fiscal year 2015, which ended June 30, 2015, our two practices received \$26,720 in reimbursement for AWWs. Our practices factor in a 12 percent “supply cost” to any clinical encounter, reducing the profit to \$23,513. During the first nine months of our project, and adjusting for the supply cost, our practices generated \$38,357, on track for \$51,143 for the full year. That means an annual estimated profit gain of \$27,630 over 2015. Note that this figure does not include secondary revenue from immunizations, lab draws, and other billable services related to the AWW.

What we learned

Our quality improvement initiative to expand AWWs followed the “plan-do-study-act” quality improvement model. We started with a literature search that helped us design surveys that identified patient and provider attitudes and perceptions of wellness visits. Then we piloted an intervention linking physician recommendation with the personalized advocacy of our care manager, an informed and engaging champion. We studied the results of this successful pilot and acted further, engaging our staff as advocates, keeping track of eligible patients, reminding providers to suggest an

LEARN MORE ABOUT THE ANNUAL WELLNESS VISIT

“Medicare Annual Wellness Visit: Getting Paid for What We Do Best”

Webinar sponsored by the American Academy of Family Physicians (\$30 for members, \$110 for non-members, \$15 for residents)

April 4, 2017, 1 p.m. CST (available on-demand after April 4, 2017)

<http://www.aafp.org/getting-paid-awv/>

MEDICARE ANNUAL WELLNESS VISIT STUDY RESULTS

In 2015, we completed a total of 153 AWWs. After launching our intervention in April 2016, the number of AWWs increased, as shown below.

Quarter	Apr-Jun 2016	Jul-Sep 2016	Oct-Dec 2016	Total
Total number of visits* completed	112	101	131	344
Visits completed by physicians or physician assistants	39	10	23	72
Visits completed by care managers	73	91	108	272

* 69 percent of Medicare annual wellness visits were initial visits billed with code G0438.

AWV, and using personalized support and encouragement to encourage AWW scheduling and completion.

As a result, our practice culture has changed so that we discuss AWWs more often and make them easier to schedule and complete. This translated to a vastly improved completion rate (increasing from 7.1 percent to 21 percent) among eligible Medicare patients in the first year.

Still, we identified limits to the effectiveness of this initiative:

- We only recruited from patients accessing our practices, and it is likely that people who do not come to the office may be more ill and frail and more in need of AWW assessment and subsequent care. We should direct future recruitment efforts to these patients.
- Our results contain an inherent “response bias” in that patients who respond to surveys may not represent the practice population as a whole.
- A quarter of our patients who scheduled AWW appointments either canceled or did not show up, indicating additional barriers that we have not addressed.

In our model, the care manager assumed a central role not only in recruitment and staff training but also in the completion of AWWs. This has several implications. First, conducting the wellness visits helped the nurse form in-person relationships, especially with more vulnerable patients. As a result, these patients have been far more open to follow-up care management telephone calls. Second, because we let patients know ahead of time that a nurse would perform the AWW and that it did not include

■ Nurses, pharmacists, dietitians, and other office staff can perform AWWs.

■ The case manager recruiters increased the number of scheduled AWWs and level of reimbursement.

■ Physicians in the practice now discuss AWWs more often and scheduling is easier, leading to greater rates of completion.

Care managers who provided AWVs formed relationships with patients that made them more open to receiving follow-up calls regarding their care.

Physicians appreciated being relieved of the complexity of AWVs to focus on other care.

a physical exam – although the care manager could call in a physician to assess unanticipated acute concerns such as chest pain or febrile illnesses – we did not observe any resulting patient dissatisfaction, a phenomenon reported elsewhere. Third, revenues generated by the additional AWVs equal about a 0.4 full-time equivalent (FTE), which corresponds fairly well to the time our care manager and other nurses dedicate to AWVs, thereby paying for the effort. Fourth, this model was well received by physicians, almost all of whom appreciated relief from the complexity of the AWV and valued the nurses' perspectives.

We are a small, university-affiliated practice, so it is unknown how well our findings apply to other practice settings. However, we hope our experiences help other practices seeking to encourage wellness visits. **FPM**

1. Hain DJ. The CMS annual wellness visit: bridging the gap. *Nurse Pract.* 2014;39(7):18-26.
2. Moore LG. Escaping the tyranny of the urgent by delivering planned care. *Fam Pract Manag.* 2006;13(5):37-40.
3. Escobedo M. How does it feel? Not as good as it should. The John A. Hartford Foundation website. April 24, 2012. <http://bit.ly/2eEs747>. Accessed Nov. 3, 2016.

4. Bynum JPW, Meara E, Chang CH, Rhoads JM. *Our Patients Ourselves: Health Care for an Aging Population*. Lebanon, NH: The Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy & Clinical Practice; 2016:26. www.dartmouthatlas.org/downloads/reports/Our_Parents_Ourselves_021716.pdf. Accessed Oct. 27, 2016.

5. Xu WY, Dowd B. Lessons from Medicare coverage of colonoscopy and prostate-specific antigen test. *Med Care Res Rev.* 2015;72(1):3-24.

6. Dalen JE, Waterbrook K, Alpert JS. Why do so many Americans oppose the Affordable Care Act? *Am J Med.* 2015;128(8):807-810.

7. Salloum RG, Jensen GA, Biddle AK. The "Welcome to Medicare" visit: a missed opportunity for cancer screening among women? *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2013;22(1):19-25.

8. Beran MS, Craft C. Medicare annual wellness visits. Understanding the patient and physician perspective. *Minn Med.* 2015;98(3):38-41.

9. Tetuan TM, Ohm R, Herynk MH, Ebberts M, Wendling T, Mosier MC. The Affordable Health Care Act annual wellness visits: the effectiveness of a nurse-run clinic in promoting adherence to mammogram and colonoscopy recommendations. *J Nurs Adm.* 2014;44(5):270-275.

Send comments to fpmedit@aafp.org, or add your comments to the article at <http://www.aafp.org/fpm/2017/0300/p12.html>.

Family Medicine Certification CME

LIVE | SELF-STUDY

Easily Meet Your Family Medicine Certification Requirements

Developed specifically for family physicians, AAFP CME prides itself on providing relevant, high-quality, evidence-based education in formats that work best for your individual learning style. Key learnings are easily applied directly into your practice—improving both your patient care and patient outcomes.

This is your CME. Claim it.