## **U.S.** Preventive Services Task Force

Recommendation Statement

# Screening for Idiopathic Scoliosis in Adolescents: Recommendation Statement

U.S. PREVENTIVE SERVICES TASK FORCE



This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for evidence-based continuing medical education (EB CME). EB CME is clinical content presented with practice recommendations supported by evidence that has been systematically reviewed by an AAFP-approved source. The practice recommendations in this activity are available online at http://www.ahrg.gov/ clinic/3rduspstf/scoliosis/ scolioup.htm.

This is one in a series excerpted from the Recommendation Statements released by the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF). These statements address preventive health services for use in primary care clinical settings, including screening tests, counseling, and chemoprevention. The complete statement is available in HTML and PDF formats through the AFP Web site at http://www. aafp.org/afp/20050515/ us.html. This statement is part of AFP's CME. See "Clinical Quiz" on page

This statement summarizes the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendations on screening for idiopathic scoliosis in adolescents and the supporting scientific evidence and updates the 1996 recommendations contained in the Guide to Clinical Preventive Services, 2d ed.1 In 1996, the USPSTF found insufficient evidence to recommend for or against routine screening of asymptomatic adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis (I recommendation).1 Since then, the USPSTF criteria to rate the strength of the evidence have changed. Therefore, the recommendation statement that follows has been updated and revised based on the current methodology and rating of the strength of the evidence.<sup>2</sup> Explanations of the current USPSTF ratings and of the strength of overall evidence are given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The complete information on which this statement is based is contained in the brief update "Screening for Idiopathic Scoliosis in Adolescents" at the USPSTF Web site (http://www.preventiveservices.ahrq.gov). The recommendation statement and brief update also are available from the AHRQ Publications Clearinghouse (telephone: 1-800-358-9295; e-mail: ahrqpubs@ahrq.gov). The recommendation statement also is available through the National Guideline Clearinghouse (http://www.guideline.gov).

### **Summary of Recommendation**

The USPSTF recommends against the routine screening of asymptomatic adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis. **D recommendation.** 

The USPSTF did not find good evidence that screening asymptomatic adolescents detects idiopathic scoliosis at an earlier stage than detection without screening. The accu-

# TABLE 1 USPSTF Recommendations and Ratings

The USPSTF grades its recommendations according to one of five classifications (A, B, C, D, or I) reflecting the strength of evidence and magnitude of net benefit (benefits minus harms).

- **A.** The USPSTF strongly recommends that clinicians provide [the service] to eligible patients. The USPSTF found good evidence that [the service] improves important health outcomes and concludes that benefits substantially outweigh harms.
- **B.** The USPSTF recommends that clinicians provide [the service] to eligible patients. *The USPSTF* found at least fair evidence that [the service] improves important health outcomes and concludes that benefits outweigh harms.
- **C.** The USPSTF makes no recommendation for or against routine provision of [the service]. The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that [the service] can improve health outcomes but concludes that the balance of benefits and harms is too close to justify a general recommendation.
- **D.** The USPSTF recommends against routinely providing [the service] to asymptomatic patients. *The USPSTF found at least fair evidence that [the service] is ineffective or that harms outweigh benefits.*
- **1.** The USPSTF concludes that the evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against routinely providing [the service]. *Evidence that [the service] is effective is lacking, of poor quality, or conflicting, and the balance of benefits and harms cannot be determined.*

USPSTF = U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.

#### TABLE 2

## **USPSTF Strength of Overall Evidence**

The USPSTF grades the quality of the overall evidence for a service on a three-point scale (good, fair, or poor).

**Good:** Evidence includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative

populations that directly assess effects on health

outcomes.

**Fair:** Evidence is sufficient to determine effects on

health outcomes, but the strength of the evidence is limited by the number, quality, or consistency of the individual studies; generalizability to routine practice; or indirect nature of the evidence on

health outcomes.

**Poor:** Evidence is insufficient to assess the effects on health outcomes because of limited number or power of studies, important flaws in their design.

power of studies, important flaws in their design or conduct, gaps in the chain of evidence, or lack of information on important health outcomes.

USPSTF = U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.

racy of the most common screening test (i.e., the forward bending test with or without a scoliometer) in identifying adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis is variable, and there is evidence of poor follow-up of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis who are identified in community screening programs.

The USPSTF found fair evidence that treatment of idiopathic scoliosis during adolescence leads to health benefits (decreased pain and disability) in only a small proportion of persons. Most cases detected through screening will not progress to a clinically significant form of scoliosis. Scoliosis needing aggressive treatment, such as surgery, is likely to be detected without screening.

The USPSTF found fair evidence that treatment of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis detected through screening leads to moderate harms, including unnecessary brace wear and unnecessary referral for specialty care. As a result, the USPSTF concluded that the harms of screening adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis exceed the potential benefits.

#### **Clinical Considerations**

• Screening adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis usually is done by visual inspection of the spine to look for asymmetry of the shoulders, scapulae, and hips. A scoliometer can be used to measure the curve. If idiopathic scoliosis is suspected, radiography can be used to confirm the diagnosis and to quantify the degree of curvature.

- The health outcomes of adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis differ from those of adolescents with secondary scoliosis (i.e., congenital, neuromuscular, or early onset idiopathic scoliosis). Idiopathic scoliosis with onset in adolescence may have a milder clinical course.<sup>4</sup>
- Conservative interventions to treat curves detected through screening include spinal orthoses (braces) and exercise therapy, but they may not significantly improve back pain or the quality of life for adolescents diagnosed with idiopathic scoliosis.
- The potential harms of screening and treating adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis include unnecessary follow-up visits and evaluations due to false positive test results and psychological adverse effects, especially related to brace wear. Although routine screening of adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis is not recommended, clinicians should be prepared to evaluate idiopathic scoliosis when it is discovered incidentally or when the adolescent or parent expresses concern about scoliosis.

#### **Cost and Research Considerations**

- Although the USPSTF did not consider costs in making its recommendation and did not find high-quality studies of the cost-effectiveness of screening, the USPSTF concludes that the costs of a screening program would include the time of primary care clinicians, specialty evaluation, treatment with braces, and follow-up costs.
- Careful surveillance should accompany screening program activities to evaluate the long-term benefits and harms of treating adolescents for idiopathic scoliosis.

Address correspondence to Ned Calonge, M.D., M.P.H., Chair, U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, c/o Program Director, USPSTF, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 540 Gaither Rd., Rockville, MD 20850 (e-mail: uspstf@ahrq.qov).

The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommendations are independent of the U.S. government. They do not represent the views of the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or the U.S. Public Health Service.

#### **REFERENCES**

- U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Guide to Clinical Preventive Services. 2d ed. Washington, D.C.: Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. 1996.
- Harris RP, Helfand M, Woolf SH, Lohr KN, Mulrow CD, Teutsch SM, et al. Current methods of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force: a review of the process. Am J Prev Med 2001;20(suppl 3):S21-35.
- Screening for idiopathic scoliosis in adolescents: update of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2003. Accessed online March 4, 2005, at: http:// www.preventiveservices.ahrq.gov.
- Weinstein SL, Dolan LA, Spratt KF, Peterson KK, Spoonamore MJ, Ponseti IV. Health and function of patients with untreated idiopathic scoliosis: a 50-year natural history study. JAMA 2003;289:559-67.