

Medical Management of Stable Coronary Artery Disease

MATTHEW PFLIEGER, DO, *Clinica Family Health Services, Denver, Colorado*

BRADFORD T. WINSLOW, MD, *Swedish Family Medicine Residency Program, Littleton, Colorado*

KYLE MILLS, PharmD, *Bend Memorial Clinic, Bend, Oregon*

IRA M. DAUBER, MD, *South Denver Cardiology Associates, Denver, Colorado*

All patients with stable coronary artery disease require medical therapy to prevent disease progression and recurrent cardiovascular events. Three classes of medication are essential to therapy: lipid-lowering, antihypertensive, and antiplatelet agents. Lipid-lowering therapy is necessary to decrease low-density lipoprotein cholesterol to a target level of less than 100 mg per dL, and physicians should consider a goal of less than 70 mg per dL for very high-risk patients. Statins have demonstrated clear benefits in morbidity and mortality in the secondary prevention of coronary artery disease; other medications that can be used in addition to statins to lower cholesterol include ezetimibe, fibrates, and nicotinic acid. Blood pressure therapy for patients with coronary artery disease should start with beta blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. If these medications are not tolerated, calcium channel blockers or angiotensin receptor blockers are acceptable alternatives. Aspirin is the first-line antiplatelet agent except in patients who have recently had a myocardial infarction or undergone stent placement, in which case clopidogrel is recommended. Anginal symptoms of coronary artery disease can be treated with beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, nitrates, or any combination of these. Familiarity with these medications and with the evidence supporting their use is essential to reducing morbidity and mortality in patients with coronary artery disease. (*Am Fam Physician*. 2011;83(7):819-826. Copyright © 2011 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

Stable coronary artery disease (CAD) is defined as an established pattern of angina pectoris, a history of myocardial infarction (MI), or the presence of plaque documented by catheterization.¹ CAD results when coronary artery plaque develops, reducing the oxygen supply to the myocardium. All patients with stable CAD require medical therapy to alleviate symptoms, prevent cardiovascular events, and

reduce mortality. Almost 17 million patients in the United States have stable CAD, and nearly 800,000 more will experience an initial event each year. Although CAD caused one out of five deaths in 2005, improved management of the disease has achieved a 34 percent decline in CAD mortality since 1995.¹ This article focuses primarily on optimal drug therapy for CAD; other aspects of CAD management, such as coronary revascularization and treatment of comorbidities (e.g., diabetes mellitus), will not be covered here, although lifestyle modifications are briefly summarized in *Table 1*.^{2,3}

Table 1. Lifestyle Modifications for Patients with Coronary Artery Disease

| |
|--|
| Tobacco cessation |
| Body mass index goal of 18.5 to 24.9 kg per m ² |
| Moderate-intensity activity for 30 to 60 minutes seven days a week |
| Alcohol consumption in moderation |
| Low-sodium diet |
| Two to three servings a day each of fruit and vegetables |
| Saturated fat less than 10 percent of daily calories |

Information from references 2 and 3.

Lipid Therapy

Statins limit the synthesis of cholesterol and increase the catabolism of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol. There is substantial evidence that statins can benefit patients with CAD, as shown in *Table 2*.⁴⁻⁷ Although serious adverse effects such as rhabdomyolysis are rare (less than 0.1 percent), myalgias are a relatively common adverse effect of statin therapy, with discontinuation rates

SORT: KEY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE

| <i>Clinical recommendation</i> | <i>Evidence rating</i> | <i>References</i> | <i>Comment</i> |
|---|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Statin therapy should be used to achieve a low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level of 70 to 100 mg per dL (1.81 to 2.59 mmol per L) in patients with coronary artery disease. | A | 3, 8 | Recommendations from evidence-based guidelines ³ and meta-analysis ⁸ |
| The low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level should be lowered before attempting to improve the triglyceride or high-density lipoprotein cholesterol level. | A | 2, 3, 13 | Evidence-based guidelines ^{2,3} and randomized controlled trial ¹³ |
| Beta blockers should be considered first-line antihypertensive agents in patients with coronary artery disease. | A | 20 | Recommendations from evidence-based guidelines |
| Angiotensin receptor blockers should be used only if an angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor is not tolerated. | A | 18, 28-30 | Evidence-based guidelines ¹⁸ and randomized controlled trials ²⁸⁻³⁰ |
| Aspirin shows a similar cardiovascular benefit with dosing at 50 mg versus 300 mg per day. | A | 37 | Meta-analysis |
| In patients with stable coronary artery disease, aspirin should be used instead of clopidogrel (Plavix) to prevent further cardiovascular events. | A | 38, 39 | Randomized controlled trials |

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to <http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml>.

of 5.3 to 7.8 percent in clinical trials (Table 3).^{8,9} The National Cholesterol Education Program's Adult Treatment Panel III recommends using statins to achieve LDL levels of less than 100 mg per dL (2.59 mmol per L) in patients with CAD.² The American College of Cardiology

recommends that patients with CAD have a target LDL measurement of less than 100 mg per dL, and for those at very high risk, a goal of less than 70 mg per dL (1.81 mmol per L) or treatment with intensive statin therapy should be considered.^{3,8}

Table 2. Number Needed to Treat to Prevent One Death from Coronary Artery Disease Using Statins for Secondary Prevention

| <i>Study</i> | <i>Patient population</i> | <i>Years of follow-up</i> | <i>Number needed to treat</i> |
|--|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cholesterol and Recurrent Events Trial ⁴ | Mean age: 59 years 86 percent male United States, Canada | 5 | 37 |
| Heart Protection Study ⁵ | Mean age: 62 years 70 percent male United Kingdom | 5 | 66 |
| Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin ⁶ | Mean age: 62 years 83 percent male Australia, New Zealand | 6.1 | 29 |
| Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study ⁷ | Mean age: 58 years 81 percent male Norway | 5.4 | 31 |

Information from references 4 through 7.

Several studies have examined optimal LDL levels in patients with CAD. In one trial, patients given intensive therapy with atorvastatin (Lipitor) in a dosage of 80 mg per day had significantly lower mortality 30 days after MI than those given standard therapy of pravastatin (Pravachol) in a dosage of 40 mg per day (number needed to treat [NNT] = 45 over two years).¹⁰ Another study assessed patients with CAD who had an initial LDL level of 130 mg per dL (3.37 mmol per L) or greater and were treated with atorvastatin to a goal of less than 70 mg per dL or less than 100 mg per dL. To avoid the combined outcome of cardiovascular death or MI, the NNT was 30 over five years in favor of the group with a goal of less than 70 mg per dL.¹¹ In addition, a meta-analysis addressed whether a goal of less than 70 mg per dL or less than 100 mg per dL was better, and found that more intensive treatment resulted in an NNT of 20 over one to five years to prevent one MI or cardiovascular death.⁸

A reduction in LDL cholesterol, regardless of the specific number, is important as

Table 3. Adverse Effect Rate with Moderate-Dosage Statin Therapy* vs. High-Dosage Intensive Statin Therapy†

| Adverse effect | Effect rate with moderate daily dosage (%) | Effect rate with high daily dosage (%) |
|---|--|--|
| Rhabdomyolysis | < 0.1 | < 0.1 |
| Elevated creatine kinase > 10 times normal | 0 to 1.8 | 0.53 to 2.2 |
| Elevated liver function tests to > three times normal | 0.4 | 1.3 to 1.5 |
| Myalgia | 1.4 to 2.8 | 1.5 to 3.3 |
| Discontinuation rate (caused by adverse effects) | 5.3 | 7.8 |

*—Simvastatin (Zocor; 20 or 40 mg daily), pravastatin (Pravachol; 40 mg daily), and atorvastatin (Lipitor; 10 mg daily).

†—Simvastatin (80 mg daily) and atorvastatin (80 mg daily).

Information from references 8 and 9.

well. Another meta-analysis showed that a reduction of at least 40 mg per dL (1.04 mmol per L) yielded a 23 percent decrease in CAD mortality that was sustained over five years.¹²

Reducing triglyceride levels and increasing high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels also should be considered in the management of CAD. However, these are secondary interventions to incorporate after the LDL goal has been achieved.^{2,3,13} Nicotinic acid can be added to statin therapy when HDL levels are low or triglyceride levels are above goal. Nicotinic acid prevents the synthesis of very-low-density lipoproteins and LDL, and it alters LDL composition to promote its elimination. Nicotinic acid also raises HDL levels by 15 to 30 percent.^{2,14} The National Cholesterol Education Program's Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines recommend adding nicotinic acid to statin therapy when triglyceride levels remain above 200 mg per dL (2.26 mmol per L) or HDL cholesterol is less than 40 mg per dL.²

Fibrates also lower non-HDL cholesterol (i.e., total cholesterol minus HDL) and triglyceride levels. Fibrates increase the production of proteins that transport and promote catabolism of fatty acids and triglycerides.¹⁴ Evidence supporting the use of fibrates for secondary prevention in patients with CAD has been mixed. Gemfibrozil (Lopid) reduced nonfatal MI and cardiovascular death in patients with CAD (NNT = 22), but bezafibrate was unable to reproduce significant benefits unless

triglyceride levels were more than 200 mg per dL.^{9,15} One study compared fenofibrate (Tricor) and placebo in patients with CAD who were already taking statins, and no difference was detected in cardiovascular outcomes. However, the patients with triglyceride levels higher than 200 mg per dL and HDL levels lower than 35 mg per dL (0.91 mmol per L) after statin therapy did have reduced cardiovascular outcomes with added fenofibrate.¹³ American Heart Association guidelines recommend adding fibrates if triglyceride levels are 200 mg per dL or higher after a statin trial in patients with CAD.³

Ezetimibe (Zetia) can lower LDL levels in patients who have not achieved their goal despite maximal statin dosing and those in whom statins are not tolerated. However, the effect of ezetimibe on cardiovascular events in patients with CAD has not been studied, and a reduction in intermediate outcomes, such as carotid-intima thickness, has not been noted.¹⁶

Antihypertensive Agents

The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure recommends lowering blood pressure to 140/90 mm Hg or less for patients with CAD; however, the American Heart Association recommends a goal of 130/80 mm Hg or less, just as for patients with diabetes or chronic kidney disease.^{17,18}

According to observational studies, for every increase of 20 mm Hg in systolic blood pressure or 10 mm Hg in diastolic blood pressure above the goal of 130/80 mm Hg, the risk of CAD mortality doubles. These data apply to patients 40 to 89 years of age, supporting blood pressure reduction in older patients with hypertension.¹⁹ The reduction should be limited to a minimum diastolic pressure of 55 mm Hg.¹⁷ Besides reducing blood pressure, antihypertensive drugs improve mortality in patients following MI and can relieve anginal symptoms. Antihypertensive treatment works by decreasing myocardial oxygen demand (which is increased in patients with CAD because of atherosclerosis), lowering left ventricular ejection fraction, and preventing left ventricular hypertrophy.^{17,18}

BETA BLOCKERS

Beta blockers are first-line antihypertensive agents for patients with CAD²⁰; if tolerated, beta blockers are also indicated for patients who do not have hypertension (Table 4¹⁴). These drugs block β_1 and β_2 adrenergic receptors, causing a decrease in heart rate, an increase in diastolic filling time, and a decrease in cardiac contractility. This negative inotropic and chronotropic effect

Stable CAD

decreases myocardial oxygen demand.¹⁷ Cardioselective beta blockers, or those that affect only β_1 receptors, are preferred to minimize adverse effects, especially the bronchoconstriction that can be caused by beta₂ antagonism^{18,21} (Table 4¹⁴). In one meta-analysis that evaluated beta-blocker therapy in patients with CAD, investigators found a 23 percent reduction in the risk of death (NNT = 42 over two years to avoid one additional death).²² A recent meta-analysis with 464,000 patients confirmed that beta blockers should be first-line therapy in patients with CAD. In the first two years after MI, beta blockers can double the reduction in cardiovascular events compared with all other antihypertensive agents.²³ Some beta blockers also possess intrinsic sympathomimetic activity, which can produce an increase in sympathetic activity at rest and may not effectively lower heart rate; these drugs should be avoided¹⁸ (Table 4¹⁴).

Beta blockers are also beneficial for patients with anginal symptoms because they decrease cardiac oxygen demand.²⁴ When being treated for angina, the patient should have a goal resting heart rate of 50 to 60 beats per minute.³

ANGIOTENSIN-CONVERTING ENZYME INHIBITORS

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors should be used in patients with CAD following MI, those who have diabetes, or those with left ventricular dysfunction.

They also should be considered a treatment for hypertension in all other patients with CAD once beta-blocker therapy has been established.³ These agents block the conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II, reducing vasoconstriction and peripheral vascular resistance and decreasing blood pressure.¹⁴ ACE inhibitors also have cardiovascular benefits by preventing ventricular dilation that can occur in patients following MI.¹⁷

In one trial, ramipril (Altece) decreased the likelihood of MI or cardiovascular death (NNT = 27 for four years) compared with placebo in patients with normal left ventricular function.²⁵ In another study, perindopril (Aceon) reduced the risk of cardiovascular death or MI (NNT = 50 over four years).²⁶ Researchers conducting a meta-analysis found that after three years of treatment with ACE inhibitors in patients following MI, cardiovascular mortality was reduced compared with placebo (NNT = 17).²⁷

ANGIOTENSIN RECEPTOR BLOCKERS

Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) are alternatives to ACE inhibitors. ARBs inhibit angiotensin II receptors, thereby decreasing vasoconstriction and the release of aldosterone.¹⁴ Although the likelihood of cough is somewhat lower with ARBs than with ACE inhibitors, the risk of other adverse effects is similar.^{14,24-26}

Table 4. Beta Blockers for the Treatment of Coronary Artery Disease

| Beta blocker | Receptors affected | Typical dosage | Typical cost per month (brand)* | In retail discount programs† |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Atenolol (Tenormin) | β_1 | 25 to 100 mg per day | \$15 (\$61) | ✓ |
| Bisoprolol (Zebeta) | β_1 | 2.5 to 10 mg per day | \$36 (\$105) for 30 5-mg tablets | Only available in discounted pharmacy lists in combination with hydrochlorothiazide |
| Carvedilol (Coreg) | $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2$ | 6.25 mg to 25 mg twice per day | \$30 (\$143) | ✓ |
| Labetalol | $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2$ | 200 to 800 mg twice per day | \$29 | ✓ |
| Metoprolol | β_1 | 50 to 100 mg twice per day | \$13 (\$110) | ✓ |
| Propranolol (Inderal) | β_1, β_2 | 40 to 160 mg twice per day | \$14 | ✓ |
| Timolol | β_1, β_2 | 20 to 40 mg twice per day | \$66 | |

NOTE: Acebutolol (Sectral) and pindolol have intrinsic sympathomimetic action and should be avoided in patients with coronary artery disease.

*—Estimated retail price of one month's treatment based on information obtained from <http://www.drugstore.com> (accessed December 15, 2010).

†—May be available at discounted prices (\$10 or less for one month's treatment) at one or more national retail chains.

Information from reference 14.

The American Heart Association recommends using ARBs instead of ACE inhibitors only if a patient is intolerant to the latter, because no additional benefit of ARBs has been demonstrated.¹⁸ This was confirmed in several trials.^{18,28-30} One trial compared losartan (Cozaar) and captopril (Capoten) in patients with CAD and found similar outcomes for all-cause mortality and cardiovascular death.²⁸ In the Valsartan in Acute Myocardial Infarction (VALIANT) trial, valsartan (Diovan) was as effective as an ACE inhibitor in reducing mortality rates.²⁹ In addition, the Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in Combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial (ONTARGET) showed a nonsignificant difference between telmisartan (Micardis) and ramipril in cardiovascular death and nonfatal MI.³⁰ In both ONTARGET and the VALIANT trial, the combination of an ACE inhibitor and an ARB caused more renal adverse effects than an ARB or ACE inhibitor alone and conferred no mortality benefit.^{29,30}

CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS

Calcium channel blockers are an acceptable alternative if beta blockers are not tolerated, although beta blockers more effectively alleviate anginal symptoms and improve exercise tolerance.²⁴ The two classes of calcium channel blockers are dihydropyridines (i.e., amlodipine [Norvasc], nifedipine [Procardia], and felodipine) and nondihydropyridines (i.e., verapamil and diltiazem [Cardizem]). Both classes cause coronary vasodilation, reduce myocardial oxygen demand, and relieve symptoms of angina.¹⁴

One study found that short-acting nifedipine was associated with a dose-related increase in mortality and, therefore, should be avoided. Long-acting calcium channel blockers, however, have a therapeutic role in patients with CAD.³¹ Another study found that amlodipine reduced cardiovascular events (NNT = 16) compared with placebo, and showed similar improvements in cardiovascular events when compared with enalapril (Vasotec).³² Investigators comparing amlodipine with valsartan found similar cardiovascular outcomes, although amlodipine significantly reduced the risk of heart failure.³³

NITRATES

Nitrates can be used when a patient continues to have anginal symptoms despite using a beta blocker, calcium channel blocker, or both. Nitrates relax vascular smooth muscle and primarily cause venodilation, reducing preload and decreasing myocardial oxygen demand.¹⁴ Nitrates do not play a role in the treatment of hypertension. Randomized trials evaluating the effects of nitrates on CAD outcomes have not been conducted.

Other antihypertensive drugs and drug classes, such as hydralazine, aldosterone antagonists, and diuretics, should be considered based on comorbidities such as heart failure in patients with CAD. However, these agents will not be discussed further in this article because they have not been found to decrease morbidity and mortality from CAD end points.

Antiplatelet Agents

Antiplatelet therapy is an important component of CAD management because platelet aggregation at atherothrombotic plaque sites can produce clinically significant thrombosis and resultant MI.³⁴ The most common antiplatelet agents used in the United States are aspirin and clopidogrel (Plavix). Aspirin inhibits cyclooxygenase 1 and 2, reducing prostaglandin and thromboxane-A production and preventing platelet aggregation.¹⁴ Clopidogrel inhibits adenosine diphosphate receptors, thereby preventing platelet aggregation. Both agents irreversibly inhibit platelet activation.¹⁴

The benefit of aspirin in the secondary prevention of CAD is well defined by numerous studies and is reflected in international guidelines.^{3,35} In the Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration Study, researchers demonstrated that patients with a history of MI who were treated with aspirin for a mean of 27 months had fewer nonfatal MIs, strokes, and vascular deaths (NNT = 35).³⁶ A recent meta-analysis further clarified the benefit of aspirin at the dosage range currently recommended by international guidelines, finding that 30 patients needed to be treated for a mean of 33.3 months with aspirin at a dosage of 50 to 300 mg per day to prevent one cardiovascular event (nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and cardiovascular death). The NNT for individual cardiovascular events was 33 for vascular death, 25 for stroke, 14 for any cause of cardiovascular death, and 12 for nonfatal MI.³⁷

Aspirin is associated with an increased risk of hemorrhagic events.^{36,37} Data on adverse effects associated with aspirin therapy from long-term prevention trials involving patients with stable CAD are limited.³⁶ In the above meta-analysis, one major hemorrhage occurred for every 111 patients with CAD who took aspirin for a mean of 33.3 months.³⁷

Clopidogrel is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of acute coronary syndrome, recent MI, stroke, and peripheral arterial disease. In a study of patients with a recent MI, there was no benefit of clopidogrel over aspirin in the prevention of fatal or nonfatal cardiovascular events.³⁸ In another trial, patients deemed to be at high risk of atherothrombotic events were randomized to aspirin (75 to 162 mg per day)

Stable CAD

plus clopidogrel (75 mg per day) or aspirin alone. No difference in cardiovascular events was found except for a reduction in ischemic stroke, with an increased risk of bleeding in the treatment group.³⁹ Thus, except for the significant benefit clopidogrel may provide following

acute coronary syndrome or stent placement, it should not be added to aspirin therapy in patients with stable CAD to prevent future MI.

Medications for the treatment of stable CAD are summarized in *Table 5*.^{3,19,21-23,27,32}

Table 5. Medications for the Treatment of Stable Coronary Artery Disease

| <i>Medication/ medication class</i> | <i>Use</i> | <i>Comments</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Antihypertensive agents | | |
| ACE inhibitors | All patients with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, or left ventricular dysfunction ³ | Decrease mortality ²¹⁻²³ |
| Angiotensin receptor blockers | All patients with hypertension, diabetes, chronic kidney disease, or left ventricular dysfunction, and in whom ACE inhibitors are not tolerated ³ | No additional benefit compared with ACE inhibitors; may be considered in combination with ACE inhibitors for heart failure with left ventricular dysfunction ³ |
| Beta blockers | All patients with history of MI, acute coronary syndrome, or left ventricular dysfunction, unless contraindicated ³ | Decrease mortality ¹⁹ ; avoid beta ₂ selective agents and agents with intrinsic sympathomimetic properties |
| Calcium channel blockers | Patients in whom beta blockers are not tolerated | Avoid short-acting nifedipine (Procardia) ²⁷ |
| Nitrates | Patients with anginal symptoms despite use of beta blockers or calcium channel blockers | Evidence lacking on mortality benefit |
| Antiplatelet agents | | |
| Aspirin | All patients (75 to 162 mg per day), unless contraindicated ³ | Decreases nonfatal MI, strokes, vascular deaths ³² |
| Clopidogrel (Plavix) | Patients in whom aspirin is contraindicated or not tolerated ³ | Approved for acute coronary syndrome, recent MI, stroke, peripheral arterial disease, or coronary stent placement |
| Lipid-lowering agents | | |
| Ezetimibe (Zetia) | Patients who have not achieved LDL goal despite statin therapy or who are intolerant of statins | Evidence lacking on mortality benefit |
| Fibrates | Patients with triglycerides of 200 to 499 mg per dL (2.26 to 5.64 mmol per L) and non-HDL > 130 mg per dL (3.37 mmol per L) ³ ; triglycerides ≥ 500 mg per dL (5.65 mmol per L) | Reduction to non-HDL < 100 mg per dL (2.59 mmol per L) reasonable ³ ; treat if triglycerides ≥ 500 mg per dL to prevent pancreatitis ³ |
| Nicotinic acid | Same as for fibrates; triglycerides of 200 to 499 mg per dL and non-HDL > 130 mg per dL ³ ; triglycerides ≥ 500 mg per dL | Same as for fibrates; reduction to non-HDL < 100 mg per dL reasonable ³ ; treat if triglycerides ≥ 500 mg per dL to prevent pancreatitis ³ |
| Statins | Patients with a baseline LDL ≤ 100 mg per dL ³ | Initiate with lifestyle measures; reduction to LDL < 70 mg per dL (1.81 mmol per L) or high-dose statin therapy reasonable ³ |

NOTE: Non-HDL = total cholesterol minus HDL cholesterol.

ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; HDL = high-density lipoprotein; LDL = low-density lipoprotein; MI = myocardial infarction.

Information from references 3, 19, 21 through 23, 27, and 32.

The Authors

MATTHEW PFLIEGER, DO, is a family physician at Clinica Family Health Services, Denver, Colo.

BRADFORD T. WINSLOW, MD, FAAFP, is residency director at Swedish Family Medicine Residency Program, Littleton, Colo., and assistant professor of family medicine at the University of Colorado—Denver, Aurora.

KYLE MILLS, PharmD, is a clinical pharmacist at Bend (Ore.) Memorial Clinic.

IRA M. DAUBER, MD, is associate clinical professor of medicine at South Denver Cardiology Associates, Denver.

Address correspondence to Matthew Pflieger, DO, Clinica Family Health Services, 1701 W 72nd Ave., 3rd Floor, Denver, CO 80221. Reprints are not available from the authors.

Author disclosure: Nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

- Lloyd-Jones D, Adams R, Carnethon M, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2009 update: a report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee [published corrections appear in *Circulation*. 2009;119(3):e182, and *Circulation*. 2010;122(1):e11]. *Circulation*. 2009;119(3):e21-e181.
- Third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). NIH Publication No. 02-5215. September 2002.
- Fraker TD Jr, Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ, et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 Guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines Writing Group to develop the focused update of the 2002 Guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina [published correction appears in *Circulation*. 2007;116(23):e558]. *Circulation*. 2007;116(23):2762-2772.
- Sacks FM, Moyé LA, Davis BR, et al. Relationship between plasma LDL concentrations during treatment with pravastatin and recurrent coronary events in the Cholesterol and Recurrent Events trial. *Circulation*. 1998;97(15):1446-1452.
- Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9326):7-22.
- The Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease (LIPID) Study Group. Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. *N Engl J Med*. 1998;339(19):1349-1357.
- Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet*. 1994;344(8934):1383-1389.
- Josan K, Majumdar SR, McAlister FA. The efficacy and safety of intensive statin therapy: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *CMAJ*. 2008;178(5):576-584.
- Secondary prevention by raising HDL cholesterol and reducing triglycerides in patients with coronary artery disease: the Bezafibrate Infarction Prevention (BIP) study. *Circulation*. 2000;102(1):21-27.
- Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al.; Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy-Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 22 Investigators. Intensive versus moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2006;354(7):778]. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(15):1495-1504.
- LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD, et al.; Treating to New Targets (TNT) Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;352(14):1425-1435.
- Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, et al.; Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins [published corrections appear in *Lancet*. 2005;366(9494):1358, and *Lancet*. 2008;371(9630):2084]. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9493):1267-1278.
- ACCORD Study Group. Effects of combination lipid therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(18):1748]. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362(17):1563-1574.
- Lexi-Comp (Lexi-Drugs) [computer program]. Hudson, Ohio: Lexi-Comp; 2009.
- Rubins HB, Robins SJ, Collins D, et al.; Veterans Affairs High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol Intervention Trial Study Group. Gemfibrozil for the secondary prevention of coronary heart disease in men with low levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;341(6):410-418.
- Kastelein JJ, Akdim F, Stroes ES, et al.; ENHANCE Investigators. Simvastatin with or without ezetimibe in familial hypercholesterolemia [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(18):1977]. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358(14):1431-1443.
- The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. NIH Publication No. 04-5230. August 2004.
- Rosendorff C, Black HR, Cannon CP, et al. Treatment of hypertension in the prevention and management of ischemic heart disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council for High Blood Pressure Research and the Councils on Clinical Cardiology and Epidemiology and Prevention [published correction appears in *Circulation*. 2007;116(5):e121]. *Circulation*. 2007;115(21):2761-2788.
- Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R; Prospective Studies Collaboration. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 2003;361(9362):1060]. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9349):1903-1913.
- Brunzell JD, Davidson M, Furberg CD, et al. Lipoprotein management in patients with cardiometabolic risk: consensus conference report from the American Diabetes Association and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;51(15):1512-1524.
- Salpeter SR, Ormiston TM, Salpeter EE. Cardioselective beta-blockers in patients with reactive airway disease: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2002;137(9):715-725.
- Freemantle N, Cleland J, Young P, Mason J, Harrison J. Beta blockade after myocardial infarction: systematic review and meta regression analysis. *BMJ*. 1999;318(7200):1730-1737.
- Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *BMJ*. 2009;338:b1665.
- Heidenreich PA, McDonald KM, Hastie T, et al. Meta-analysis of trials comparing beta-blockers, calcium antagonists, and nitrates for stable angina. *JAMA*. 1999;281(20):1927-1936.
- Yusuf S, Sleight P, Pogue J, Bosch J, Davies R, Dagenais G; The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients [published corrections appear in *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342(10):748, and *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342(18):1376]. *N Engl J Med*. 2000;342(3):145-153.
- Fox KM; EUROpean trial On reduction of cardiac events with Perindopril in stable coronary Artery disease Investigators. Efficacy of perindopril in reduction of cardiovascular events among patients with stable coronary artery disease: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial (the EUROPA study). *Lancet*. 2003;362(9386):782-788.

Stable CAD

27. Rodrigues EJ, Eisenberg MJ, Pilote L. Effects of early and late administration of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality after myocardial infarction. *Am J Med.* 2003;115(6):473-479.
28. Dickstein K, Kjekshus J; Optimal Trial in Myocardial Infarction with Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan Study Group. Effects of losartan and captopril on mortality and morbidity in high-risk patients after acute myocardial infarction: the OPTIMAAL randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2002;360(9335):752-760.
29. Pfeffer MA, McMurray JJ, Velazquez EJ, et al.; Valsartan in Acute Myocardial Infarction Trial Investigators. Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med.* 2004;350(2):203]. *N Engl J Med.* 2003;349(20):1893-1906.
30. Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, et al.; ONTARGET Investigators. Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358(15):1547-1559.
31. Furberg CD, Psaty BM, Meyer JV. Nifedipine. Dose-related increase in mortality in patients with coronary heart disease. *Circulation.* 1995;92(5):1326-1331.
32. Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Libby P, et al.; CAMELOT Investigators. Effect of antihypertensive agents on cardiovascular events in patients with coronary disease and normal blood pressure: The CAMELOT Study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2004;292(18):2217-2225.
33. Julius S, Kjeldsen SE, Weber M, et al.; VALUE trial group. Outcomes in hypertensive patients at high cardiovascular risk treated with regimens based on valsartan or amlodipine: the VALUE randomised trial. *Lancet.* 2004;363(9426):2022-2031.
34. Fuster V, Badimon L, Badimon JJ, Chesebro JH. The pathogenesis of coronary artery disease and the acute coronary syndromes (1). *N Engl J Med.* 1992;326(4):242-250.
35. Becker RC, Meade TW, Berger PB, et al.; American College of Chest Physicians. The primary and secondary prevention of coronary artery disease: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines (8th Edition). *Chest.* 2008;133(6 suppl):776S-814S.
36. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients [published correction appears in *BMJ.* 2002;324(7330):141]. *BMJ.* 2002;324(7329):71-86.
37. Berger JS, Brown DL, Becker RC. Low-dose aspirin in patients with stable cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis. *Am J Med.* 2008;121(1):43-49.
38. CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomised, blinded, trial of clopidogrel versus aspirin in patients at risk of ischaemic events (CAPRIE). *Lancet.* 1996;348(9038):1329-1339.
39. Bhatt DL, Fox KA, Hacke W, et al.; CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin versus aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354(16):1706-1717.