Update on the Management of Chronic Kidney Disease

JOSETTE A. RIVERA, MD, University of California, San Francisco, California ANN M. O'HARE, MD, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington G. MICHAEL HARPER, MD, University of California, San Francisco, California

Chronic kidney disease is common and associated with significant morbidity. Given the high risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with chronic kidney disease, it is important to identify and treat related risk factors. However, there is growing uncertainty about the benefits of some recommended treatment targets. The National Kidney Foundation's Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative guidelines recommend an A1C level of less than 7 percent in patients with diabetes mellitus, although there is no evidence that treatment to this goal reduces cardiovascular events or progression to end-stage renal disease. Optimal blood pressure goals are controversial, and further study is needed to determine these goals in relation to amount of proteinuria. Concurrent use of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers leads to worsening kidney function and is not recommended. Lipid-lowering therapy has been shown to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events and mortality, but not progression of chronic kidney disease. The treatment of anemia in patients with chronic kidney disease, particularly the use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents and optimal hemoglobin goals, is also controversial. Studies have shown increased morbidity and mortality with use of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents aimed at normalizing hemoglobin levels. Patients with chronic kidney disease are at high risk of morbidity and mortality from the use of intravenous contrast agents. Isotonic intravenous hydration with sodium bicarbonate or saline has been shown to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy. Gadolinium-based contrast agents should be avoided if the glomerular filtration rate is less than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m² because of the risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis. (Am Fam Physician. 2012;86(8):749-754. Copyright © 2012 American Academy of Family Physicians.)

he National Kidney Foundation defines chronic kidney disease (CKD) as a glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of less than 60 mL per minute per 1.73 m², or evidence of kidney damage with or without a decreased GFR, for three or more months.¹ Approximately 13 percent of adult Americans and 44 percent of persons 65 years and older meet this definition.²,³ CKD is classified in stages based on decreasing levels of GFR (*Table 1*).¹

Patients with CKD are more likely to die of cardiovascular disease than to require dialysis.⁴ Therefore, reduction of morbidity and mortality in patients with CKD requires management of cardiovascular disease risk factors. This review summarizes recent evidence for the management of risk factors that affect cardiovascular disease and the progression of CKD, as well as controversies regarding some elements of recommended practice. Complications arising from use of intravenous contrast agents are also discussed.

Diabetes Mellitus

Diabetes mellitus is the leading cause of kidney failure in the United States and is a major risk factor for cardiovascular disease.⁵ The combination of diabetes and CKD is one of the most potent predictors of adverse cardiovascular events and death.⁶ Expert consensus and the Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) guidelines on diabetes and CKD recommend a goal A1C level of less than 7 percent in patients with diabetes, which corresponds to a preprandial plasma glucose level of 70 to 130 mg per dL (3.9 to 7.2 mmol per L) and postprandial plasma glucose level of less than 180 mg per dL (10 mmol per L).⁶⁻⁸

A few small randomized controlled trials have shown that an A1C level of approximately 7 percent preserves GFR, except in those with proteinuria. Large randomized controlled trials in high-risk patients with longstanding diabetes have not demonstrated a reduction in cardiovascular events,

Clinical recommendation	Evidence rating	References
Patients with nondiabetic kidney disease and a random urine total protein-to-creatinine ratio greater than 200 mg per g, and those with diabetic kidney disease, should be treated with an ACE inhibitor or an angiotensin II receptor blocker.	А	15
Concurrent use of ACE inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers should be avoided because of symptomatic hypotension and worsening kidney function.	Α	24
Hemoglobin goals should not exceed 11 g per dL (110 g per L) in patients receiving erythropoiesis-stimulating agents due to the risk of major cardiovascular events.	А	39
Gadolinium should be avoided in patients with a glomerular filtration rate less than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m ² , or with acute kidney injury caused by hepatorenal syndrome or in the perioperative liver transplantation period.	В	49

ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme.

A = consistent, good-quality patient-oriented evidence; B = inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence; C = consensus, disease-oriented evidence, usual practice, expert opinion, or case series. For information about the SORT evidence rating system, go to http://www.aafp.org/afpsort.xml.

the need for dialysis, or death caused by renal disease at this goal. 9,10 Additionally, a higher rate of complications, including severe hypoglycemia and death, was observed with more intensive blood glucose control. Goals pertaining to A1C levels should be individually determined based on a patient's comorbidities, functional status, and other vascular risk factors. Higher goals may be more

Table 1. National Kidney Foundation Stages of Chronic Kidney Disease

Stage	Description	GFR (mL per minute per 1.73 m²)
1	Kidney damage with normal or elevated GFR	≥ 90
2	Kidney damage with mildly decreased GFR	60 to 89
3	Moderately decreased GFR	30 to 59
4	Severely decreased GFR	15 to 29
5	Kidney failure	< 15 (or dialysis)

NOTE: Chronic kidney disease is defined as either kidney damage or GFR < 60 mL per minute per 1.73 m^2 for ≥ 3 months. Kidney damage is defined as pathologic abnormalities or markers of damage, including abnormalities in blood or urine tests or imaging studies.

GFR = glomerular filtration rate.

Reprinted with permission from National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(2 suppl 1):S46.

appropriate for older adults and those with limited life expectancy in whom the risks of intense glycemic control outweigh the benefits. ^{11,12}

Proteinuria and Hypertension

Treatment of proteinuria and hypertension with antihypertensive medications reduces the risk of cardiovascular disease and slows progression of CKD. Proteinuria is a marker of kidney damage, and a risk factor for accelerated progression of kidney disease. It is increasingly recognized as an independent risk factor for all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. 13-15 The K/DOQI guidelines recommend that patients with nondiabetic kidney disease and a random (spot) urine total protein-to-creatinine ratio greater than 200 mg per g, and those with diabetic kidney disease, should be treated with an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor or an angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB), regardless of the presence of hypertension. 15 ACE inhibitors and ARBs have similar ability to reduce proteinuria and have achieved an absolute risk reduction for requiring dialysis from 3.5 to 6 percent over two to three years in patients with diabetic and nondiabetic kidnev disease.16-19

There is less evidence to support the effectiveness of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in slowing the progression of CKD in patients without proteinuria. The benefit of these medications in older adults with CKD is uncertain, because most of these patients do not have proteinuria, and most trials did not enroll patients older than 70 years.²⁰ There is often concern about the benefits and

risks of ACE inhibitors and ARBs in patients with advanced CKD, but evidence suggests a reduction of adverse renal outcomes even in advanced stages.^{21,22} Adverse effects of ACE inhibitor or ARB therapy include hyperkalemia and a decline in GFR, but these agents generally may be continued if the GFR decline over four months is less than 30 percent from baseline value and serum potassium is 5.5 mEq per L (5.5 mmol per L) or less.¹⁵

The recommendation for concurrent use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs has recently been reevaluated. Because their combined use more effectively reduces proteinuria compared with monotherapy, some guidelines have adopted this approach. A randomized controlled trial comparing patients given an ACE inhibitor and an ARB with those given either drug alone found no difference with respect to a composite outcome of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, or hospitalization because of heart failure. Notably, adverse effects, including worsening renal function, occurred more frequently with combination therapy. The majority of current evidence recommends against the combined use of ACE inhibitors and ARBs.

Optimal blood pressure goals in patients with CKD are a source of controversy. Based primarily on observational data, the K/DOQI guidelines and the seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure recommend a blood pressure goal of less than 130/80 mm Hg for patients with diabetic and nondiabetic CKD. 15,25 In examining the benefits of lower blood pressure goals, a 2009 Cochrane review of patients with CKD found no reduction in cardiovascular events, stroke, end-stage renal disease, or total mortality in those with lower (135/85 mm Hg or less) versus standard (140 to 160/90 to 100 mm Hg or less) blood pressure goals.²⁶ Similarly, a systematic review found that lower versus higher blood pressure goals in nondiabetic patients with CKD did not reduce the risk of adverse outcomes, including kidney failure, cardiovascular events, and death, but suggested that lower targets might benefit patients with proteinuria greater than 300 mg per day.²⁷ These findings challenge current recommendations for lower than usual blood pressure goals to reduce progression of kidney disease or cardiovascular events. Additional randomized controlled trials are needed to clarify optimal blood pressure targets in the context of CKD and in relation to degree of proteinuria.

Dyslipidemia

There is no prospective evidence that treating dyslipidemia prevents the progression of CKD or diabetic nephropathy, but evidence does support treatment to prevent cardiovascular events. A 2009 Cochrane meta-analysis found that statins, when compared with placebo, significantly decreased the risk of all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in patients with CKD.²⁸ A large randomized controlled trial in patients with moderate to advanced CKD demonstrated that simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin) reduced major atherosclerotic events by 17 percent, but not progression to dialysis or transplantation.²⁹

Based on evidence of the benefits of lipid lowering in the general population, the K/DOQI dyslipidemia guidelines concur with the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines and recommend that all adults with CKD have a complete fasting lipid profile, with treatment goals of low-density

lipoprotein cholesterol levels less than 100 mg per dL (2.59 mmol per L) and triglyceride levels less than 150 mg per dL (1.69 mmol per L).³⁰ Statins

Treating dyslipidemia does not delay progression of kidney disease, but it is important in reducing cardiovascular risk.

are a first-line therapy, and are generally well tolerated by patients with CKD. As in the general population, it is important to consider life expectancy and competing health concerns when deciding on lipid-lowering therapy. In patients 65 to 75 years of age with cardiovascular disease, statin use reduced the risk of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality.³¹ In adults older than 80 years, however, lipid-lowering therapy has not affected all-cause mortality, nor is there clear evidence as to whether these patients should be started or continued on lipid-lowering agents.³²

Anemia

There has been extensive research into the benefits and risks of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents and optimal hemoglobin goals in patients with CKD. Higher hemoglobin goals were recommended because of an association with improved quality of life and survival in observational studies.^{33,34} However, randomized controlled trials have not confirmed these associations. A Cochrane meta-analysis of 22 trials found no difference or a higher risk of all-cause mortality and worse cardiovascular outcomes in higher (greater than 13.3 g per dL [133 g per L]) versus lower (less than 12 g per dL [120 g per L]) hemoglobin target groups.³⁵

Three randomized controlled trials have greatly affected practice in this area. Two trials randomized CKD patients to higher versus lower hemoglobin targets,

Chronic Kidney Disease

which were achieved with erythropoiesisstimulating agents.^{36,37} The higher hemoglobin target groups had higher rates of death, adverse cardiovascular events, and dialysis. A third trial randomized 4,038 patients with type 2 diabetes, CKD, and anemia to darbepoetin alfa (Aranesp) or placebo.38 The darbepoetin alfa group had a higher risk of stroke, and no improvement in the primary composite outcomes of death and nonfatal cardiovascular events or end-stage renal disease. In these three trials, quality-of-life results were mixed. Taken together, the evidence demonstrates inconsistent qualityof-life benefit, and increases in mortality, cardiovascular events, and adverse renal outcomes with higher hemoglobin goals.

Given evidence of harm associated with higher hemoglobin levels, the K/DOQI anemia guidelines were updated in 2007 to reflect a hemoglobin target range of 11 to 12 g per dL (110 to 120 g per L) in patients with CKD who receive erythropoiesis-stimulating agents.34 The guidelines do not recommend a specific hemoglobin level at which to initiate these agents. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) recommends this treatment only when a patient's hemoglobin level is less than 10 g per dL (100 g per L), when the rate of hemoglobin decline suggests a need for a blood transfusion, and when the reduction of transfusion-related risks, such as alloimmunization, is a goal.³⁹ Additionally, new FDA labels now warn

against erythropoiesis-stimulating agents to achieve hemoglobin levels greater than 11 g per dL because of the risk of death and major cardiovascular events.

Contrast-Induced Nephropathy

Contrast-induced nephropathy is an increase in serum creatinine greater than 25 percent from baseline or an absolute increase greater than 0.5 mg per dL (44.2 µmol per L) within the first few days after receipt of intravenous contrast.⁴⁰ Contrast-induced nephropathy can precipitate the need for immediate dialysis, and has been associated with an increased risk for major cardiovascular events, increased length of hospital stay, and mortality.⁴¹ A validated risk score based on eight variables predicts the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy, in-hospital dialysis, and one-year mortality in patients undergoing a percutaneous coronary intervention (*Table 2*).⁴²

Table 2. Risk Score for Prediction of Contrast-Induced Nephropathy After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Risk factors		Points		
Congestive h	eart failure*	5		
Hypotension†		5	5	
Intra-aortic balloon pump		5	5	
Age older than 75 years		4	4	
Anemia‡		3	3	
Diabetes mellitus		3	3	
Contrast media volume		1 for each 1	1 for each 100 mL	
Serum creatin (132.6 µmol	ine > 1.5 mg per dL per L)	4		
eGFR < 60 mL per minute per 1.7		m² 2 for eGFR =	= 40 to 60	
		4 for eGFR =	= 20 to 40	
		6 for eGFR <	< 20	
Risk score (points)	Risk of contrast- induced nephropath	y Risk of dialysis	Risk of one-year mortality	
≤ 5	7.5%	0.04%	1.9%	
6 to 10	14.0%	0.12%	5.5%	
11 to 15	26.1%	1.09%	15.5%	
> 16	57.3%	12.6%	31.2%	

eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate.

‡—Baseline hematocrit value less than 39 percent for men and less than 36 percent for women.

Adapted with permission from Mehran R, Aymong ED, Nikolsky E, et al. A simple risk score for prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention: development and initial validation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2004;44(7):1398.

Patients with CKD and a GFR less than 60 mL per minute per 1.73 m² are at high risk of contrast-induced nephropathy, and preventive measures should be considered.⁴³ Choose alternative imaging without contrast if the perceived risks of an intravenous contrast study outweigh the benefits. Efforts to minimize the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy include avoidance of dehydration and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents, and use of the lowest possible doses of low or iso-osmolal nonionic contrast agents.⁴⁴ Isotonic intravenous hydration with sodium bicarbonate or saline has been shown to prevent contrast-induced nephropathy, although there is conflicting evidence over which fluid and administration protocol is superior.

Data on the effectiveness of *N*-acetylcysteine for preventing contrast-induced nephropathy are also inconsistent, although a meta-analysis of randomized trials

^{*—}Class III/IV by New York Heart Association classification and/or history of pulmonary edema.

^{†—}Systolic blood pressure less than 80 mm Hg for at least one hour requiring inotropic support with medications or intra-aortic balloon pump within 24 hours of the procedure.

demonstrated that high doses decrease the incidence of contrast-induced nephropathy.⁴⁵ Given the relatively low cost and favorable safety profile of *N*-acetylcysteine, some experts recommend giving 1,200 mg orally twice daily on the day before and the day of contrast administration.⁴⁶ Because the effect of intravenous contrast on kidney function can be observed within 48 to 72 hours, it is important to check the serum creatinine level in highrisk patients within this time frame.

Magnetic resonance imaging using gadoliniumbased contrast agents is no longer considered the safer alternative to iodinated contrast in patients with CKD. Gadolinium-based contrast agents have been associated with acute kidney injury and with nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, a progressive multiorgan system fibrosing disease. Most patients who have developed this disease after exposure to gadolinium were receiving long-term dialysis, whereas the remainder were patients with CKD and a GFR less than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m².47 The risk in patients with a GFR greater than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m² is not known, but the American College of Radiology considers these persons to be at no or extremely low risk of developing nephrogenic systemic fibrosis.48 The pathogenesis is unknown, and there is no treatment for this debilitating disease. The FDA recommends avoidance of gadolinium in patients with a GFR less than 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m², or with acute kidney injury caused by hepatorenal syndrome or in the perioperative liver transplantation period.49

Data Sources: A PubMed search was completed for each subsection of the manuscript, using the key terms chronic kidney disease, chronic renal failure, prevalence, diabetes, hypertension, proteinuria, dyslipidemia, anemia, hemoglobin goals, contrast nephropathy, and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis. Additional data sources searched included the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Evidence Reports, the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the National Guidelines Clearinghouse, the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force, Bandolier, Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects, Effective Health Care, EBM Online/Evidence-Based Medicine, Essential Evidence Plus, UpToDate, and the *AFP* By Topic collection on kidney disease. Search dates: July 2010 to November 2010.

The authors thank Joshua I. Bernstein, MD, for his assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

The Authors

JOSETTE A. RIVERA, MD, is an assistant professor of medicine in the Division of Geriatrics at the University of California, San Francisco.

ANN M. O'HARE, MD, is an associate professor of medicine in the Division of Nephrology at the University of Washington in Seattle.

G. MICHAEL HARPER, MD, is an associate professor of medicine, and director of the Geriatrics Fellowship Program at the University of California, San Francisco.

Address correspondence to Josette A. Rivera, MD, University of California, San Francisco, 3333 California St., Ste. 380, San Francisco, CA 94143. Reprints are not available from the authors.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations to disclose.

REFERENCES

- National Kidney Foundation. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. Am J Kidney Dis. 2002;39(2 suppl 1):S1-S266.
- Coresh J, Selvin E, Stevens LA, et al. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States. JAMA. 2007;298(17):2038-2047.
- Stevens LA, Li S, Wang C, et al. Prevalence of CKD and comorbid illness in elderly patients in the United States: results from the Kidney Early Evaluation Program (KEEP). Am J Kidney Dis. 2010;55(3 suppl 2): \$23-\$33.
- Foley RN, Murray AM, Li S, et al. Chronic kidney disease and the risk for cardiovascular disease, renal replacement, and death in the United States Medicare population, 1998 to 1999. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2005;16(2):489-495.
- United States Renal Data System. 2007 Annual Data Report. Bethesda, Md: National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services: 2007.
- Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI). KDOQI clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for diabetes and chronic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2007;49(2 suppl 2): 512-5154.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes—2011. *Diabetes Care*. 2011;34(suppl 1):S11-S61.
- Skyler JS, Bergenstal R, Bonow RO, et al.; American Diabetes Association; American College of Cardiology Foundation; American Heart Association. Intensive glycemic control and the prevention of cardiovascular events: implications of the ACCORD, ADVANCE, and VA diabetes trials: a position statement of the American Diabetes Association and a scientific statement of the American College of Cardiology Foundation and the American Heart Association. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 53(3):298-304.
- Ismail-Beigi F, Craven T, Banerji MA, et al.; ACCORD trial group. Effect
 of intensive treatment of hyperglycaemia on microvascular outcomes
 in type 2 diabetes: an analysis of the ACCORD randomised trial [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 2010;376(9751):1466]. *Lancet*.
 2010;376(9739):419-430.
- Patel A, MacMahon S, Chalmers J, et al.; ADVANCE Collaborative Group. Intensive blood glucose control and vascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(24):2560-2572.
- Brown AF, Mangione CM, Saliba D, Sarkisian CA; California Healthcare Foundation/American Geriatrics Society Panel on Improving Care for Elders with Diabetes. Guidelines for improving the care of the older person with diabetes mellitus. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003;51(5 suppl quidelines):S265-S280.
- Huang ES, Zhang Q, Gandra N, Chin MH, Meltzer DO. The effect of comorbid illness and functional status on the expected benefits of intensive glucose control in older patients with type 2 diabetes: a decision analysis. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;149(1):11-19.
- Hemmelgarn BR, Manns BJ, Lloyd A, et al.; Alberta Kidney Disease Network. Relation between kidney function, proteinuria, and adverse outcomes. JAMA. 2010;303(5):423-429.
- 14. Matsushita K, van der Velde M, Astor BC, et al.; Chronic Kidney Disease Prognosis Consortium. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate and albuminuria with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality in general population cohorts: a collaborative meta-analysis. *Lancet*. 2010;375(9731):2073-2081.

Chronic Kidney Disease

- Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI). K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines on hypertension and antihypertensive agents in chronic kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2004;43(5 suppl 1):S1-S290.
- Kunz R, Friedrich C, Wolbers M, Mann JF. Meta-analysis: effect of monotherapy and combination therapy with inhibitors of the renin angiotensin system on proteinuria in renal disease. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;148(1):30-48.
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Bain RP, Rohde RD. The effect of angiotensinconverting-enzyme inhibition on diabetic nephropathy. The Collaborative Study Group [published correction appars in N Engl J Med. 1993;330(2):152]. N Engl J Med. 1993;329(20):1456-1462.
- Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, et al.; Collaborative Study Group. Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2001;345(12):851-860.
- Jafar TH, Schmid CH, Landa M, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and progression of nondiabetic renal disease. A meta-analysis of patient-level data [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med*. 2002;137(4):299]. *Ann Intern Med*. 2001;135(2):73-87.
- O'Hare AM, Kaufman JS, Covinsky KE, Landefeld CS, McFarland LV, Larson EB. Current guidelines for using angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II-receptor antagonists in chronic kidney disease: is the evidence base relevant to older adults? *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150(10):717-724.
- Ruggenenti P, Perna A, Remuzzi G; Gruppo Italiano di Studi Epidemiologici in Nefrologia. ACE inhibitors to prevent end-stage renal disease: when to start and why possibly never to stop: a post hoc analysis of the REIN trial results. Ramipril Efficacy in Nephropathy. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2001;12(12):2832-2837.
- Hou FF, Zhang X, Zhang GH, et al. Efficacy and safety of benazepril for advanced chronic renal insufficiency. N Engl J Med. 2006;354(2): 131-140.
- Mogensen CE, Neldam S, Tikkanen I, et al. Randomised controlled trial of dual blockade of renin-angiotensin system in patients with hypertension, microalbuminuria, and non-insulin dependent diabetes: the candesartan and lisinopril microalbuminuria (CALM) study. BMJ. 2000;321(7274):1440-1444.
- Mann JF, Schmieder RE, McQueen M, et al.; ONTARGET investigators. Renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both, in people at high vascular risk (the ONTARGET study): a multicentre, randomised, doubleblind, controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2008;372(9638):547-553.
- Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al; National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. The seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure: The JNC 7 report [published correction appears in JAMA. 2003;290(2):197]. JAMA. 2003;289(19):2560-2572.
- Arguedas JA, Perez MI, Wright JM. Treatment blood pressure targets for hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(3):CD004349.
- Upadhyay A, Earley A, Haynes SM, Uhlig K. Systematic review: blood pressure target in chronic kidney disease and proteinuria as an effect modifier. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;154(8):541-548.
- Navaneethan SD, Pansini F, Perkovic V, et al. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) for people with chronic kidney disease not requiring dialysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;(2):CD007784.
- Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, et al.; SHARP Investigators. The effects
 of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients
 with chronic kidney disease (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9784):2181-2192.
- Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) Group. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for management of dyslipidemias in patients with kidney disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2003;41(4 suppl 3):I-IV, S1-S91.

- 31. Hunt D, Young P, Simes J, et al. Benefits of pravastatin on cardiovascular events and mortality in older patients with coronary heart disease are equal to or exceed those seen in younger patients: results from the LIPID trial. Ann Intern Med. 2001;134(10):931-940.
- 32. Petersen LK, Christensen K, Kragstrup J. Lipid-lowering treatment to the end? A review of observational studies and RCTs on cholesterol and mortality in 80+-year olds. *Age Ageing*. 2010;39(6):674-680.
- 33. Cody J, Daly C, Campbell M, et al. Recombinant human erythropoietin for chronic renal failure anaemia in pre-dialysis patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2005;(3):CD003266.
- 34. Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (KDOQI). KDOQI clinical practice guideline and clinical practice recommendations for anemia in chronic kidney disease: 2007 update of hemoglobin target. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2007;50(3):471-530.
- 35. Strippoli GF, Navaneethan SD, Craig JC. Haemoglobin and haematocrit targets for the anaemia of chronic kidney disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;(4):CD003967.
- Singh AK, Szczech L, Tang KL, et al.; CHOIR Investigators. Correction of anemia with epoetin alfa in chronic kidney disease. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(20):2085-2098.
- Drüeke TB, Locatelli F, Clyne N, et al.; CREATE Investigators. Normalization of hemoglobin level in patients with chronic kidney disease and anemia. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(20):2071-2084.
- 38. Pfeffer MA, Burdmann EA, Chen CY, et al.; TREAT Investigators. A trial of darbepoetin alfa in type 2 diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;361(21):2019-2032.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: Modified dosing recommendations to improve the safe use of erythopoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) in chronic kidney disease. http://www.fda. gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm259639.htm. Accessed September 1, 2011.
- 40. Pannu N, Wiebe N, Tonelli M; Alberta Kidney Disease Network. Prophylaxis strategi es for contrast-induced nephropathy. *JAMA*. 2006; 295(23):2765-2779.
- 41. McCullough PA, Wolyn R, Rocher LL, Levin RN, O'Neill WW. Acute renal failure after coronary intervention: incidence, risk factors, and relationship to mortality. *Am J Med.* 1997;103(5):368-375.
- 42. Mehran R, Aymong ED, Nikolsky E, et al. A simple risk score for prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention: development and initial validation. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004;44(7):1393-1399.
- McCullough PA, Adam A, Becker CR, et al.; CIN Consensus Working Panel. Risk prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy. Am J Cardiol. 2006;98(6A):27K-36K.
- 44. Barrett BJ, Parfrey PS. Clinical practice. Preventing nephropathy induced by contrast medium. *N Engl J Med.* 2006;354(4):379-386.
- 45. Trivedi H, Daram S, Szabo A, Bartorelli AL, Marenzi G. High-dose N-acetylcysteine for the prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. Am J Med. 2009;122(9):874.e9-e15.
- Weisbord SD, Palevsky PM. Strategies for the prevention of contrast-induced acute kidney injury. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens. 2010;19(6):539-549.
- Perazella MA. Current status of gadolinium toxicity in patients with kidney disease [published correction appears in Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;4(4):866]. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2009;4(2):461-469.
- 48. Leiner T, Kucharczyk W. NSF prevention in clinical practice: summary of recommendations and guidelines in the United States, Canada, and Europe. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2009;30(6):1357-1363.
- 49. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Information for healthcare professionals gadolinium-based contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging. http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafety InformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm142884.htm. Accessed October 4, 2010.