

## Pharmacologic Treatment of Hypertension in Adults 60 Years and Older: A Guideline You Can Trust

MICHAEL LEFEVRE, MD, MSPH, University of Missouri School of Medicine, Columbia, Missouri

PAUL A. JAMES, MD, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington

### ► See related Practice Guideline on page 588.

Preventive medications treat asymptomatic individuals at risk of a disease, rather than those diagnosed with a disease. A high standard must be met when guidelines recommend lifelong preventive medications, knowing that at best, a small minority of patients will benefit and that all are risking harm. Such decisions should be informed by good evidence that the benefits outweigh the harms, and clinical guidelines that inform those decisions should be rigorously developed, evidence based, and trustworthy. It is in that context that guidelines for the management of hypertension should be evaluated.

The American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) and the American College of Physicians (ACP) have collaborated on a clinical guideline for the treatment of hypertension in adults 60 years and older, summarized in this issue of American Family Physician.2 Before considering the specific recommendations, it is useful to ask whether this panel adhered to the standards set by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) in their report on "Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust."3 The AAFP/ACP guideline effort followed a transparent process, eliminated potential financial and intellectual conflicts of interest, used external systematic evidence reviews, and followed the GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) methodology to evaluate evidence and rate the recommendations. General internal medicine and family medicine were represented on the panel. Input from other subspecialties appears to be limited to those on the ACP Clinical Guidelines Committee. The guideline is considered out of date after five years or if replaced earlier. External review was provided through the AAFP and ACP, as well as the Annals of Internal Medicine peer review process. In short, the guideline panel should be commended for following the IOM standards. Based on a review of their process, the panel has produced a guideline we can trust.

The panel convened by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute in 2008 as the Eighth Joint National

Committee (JNC 8) followed a similar process, and a grade A recommendation was given to treat adults 60 years and older to a target systolic blood pressure (BP) of 150 mm Hg.<sup>4</sup> This recommendation, however, was not unanimous, and there was pushback from some in the hypertension and cardiovascular communities.<sup>5</sup> Since the publication of the JNC 8 guideline, a large randomized controlled trial, SPRINT (Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial), compared persons with a systolic BP goal of 120 mm Hg and those with a goal of 140 mm Hg.<sup>6</sup> The results favored the more aggressive target, with lower rates of a composite of cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality. It is in this setting that the current guideline panel reviewed existing trial evidence to reach the conclusions summarized in *Table 1*.

The AAFP/ACP guideline makes a strong recommendation to treat adults 60 years and older to a systolic BP of less than 150 mm Hg. A weak recommendation is made to treat those in this age group at high cardiovascular risk to a systolic BP of less than 140 mm Hg. The panel found the body of evidence supporting a lower BP target to be of low quality. The background evidence review noted inconsistent trial results, with imprecise •

### Table 1. American Academy of Family Physicians and American College of Physicians Recommendations for Pharmacologic Treatment of Hypertension in Adults 60 Years and Older\*

Initiate treatment in adults 60 years and older with systolic BP persistently at or greater than 150 mm Hg to achieve a target systolic BP of less than 150 mm Hg to reduce the risk of mortality, stroke, and cardiac events. (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence.)

Consider initiating or intensifying pharmacologic treatment in adults 60 years and older with a history of stroke or transient ischemic attack to achieve a target systolic BP of less than 140 mm Hg to reduce the risk of recurrent stroke. (Weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence.)

Consider initiating or intensifying pharmacologic treatment in some adults 60 years and older at high cardiovascular risk, based on individualized assessment, to achieve a target systolic BP of less than 140 mm Hg to reduce the risk of stroke or cardiac events. (Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence.)

BP = blood pressure.

\*—For each recommendation, shared decision making was emphasized.

### **Editorials**

estimates of benefit that encompassed the possibility of marked benefit and no effect. The evidence was most consistent for a reduction in stroke, with an absolute risk reduction of 0.49% and a number needed to treat of 204. The results for mortality were nonsignificant. There was a marginally significant reduction in cardiac events with a number needed to treat of 106.

It is safe to predict that others examining this literature will not reach the same conclusion; this may be based on preexisting beliefs or advocacy positions. We can anticipate the results of the SPRINT trial will be used to encourage support for systolic BP targets even lower than 140 mm Hg. How should we respond in practice? This is a clinical practice guideline we can trust. Our systolic BP goal for adults 60 years and older should be 150 mm Hg or lower, and quality measures should reflect this target. On an individual basis, achieving a lower systolic BP for those at increased cardiovascular risk is reasonable if it can be safely and easily accomplished considering comorbidity, risk of adverse events, medication burden, and cost. As we discuss a lower goal BP with our patients, we must keep in mind that we are treating asymptomatic persons with medication, usually for the rest of their lives, knowing that at best, a very small minority will actually benefit.

Address correspondence to Michael LeFevre, MD, MSPH, at lefevrem@ health.missouri.edu. Reprints are not available from the authors.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, Rich R, et al. Pharmacologic treatment of hypertension in adults aged 60 years or older to higher versus lower blood pressure targets: A clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Academy of Family Physicians [published online ahead of print January 17, 2017]. Ann Intern Med. http://annals.org/aim/article/2598413/pharmacologic-treatment-hypertensionadults-aged-60-years-older-higher-versus. Accessed March 16, 2017.
- Hauk L. Pharmacologic treatment of hypertension: ACP and AAFP release recommendations for adults 60 years and older. Am Fam Physician. 2017;95(9):588-589.
- 3. Institute of Medicine Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. Washington, D.C.: National Academies Press; 2011.
- James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al. 2014 Evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: Report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8) [published correction appears in *JAMA*. 2014;311(17):1809]. *JAMA*. 2014;311(5):507-520.
- 5. Wright JT Jr, Fine LJ, Lackland DT, Ogedegbe G, Dennision Himmelfarb CR. Evidence supporting a systolic blood pressure goal of less than 150 mm Hg in patients aged 60 years or older: The minority view. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(7):499-503.
- 6. Wright JT Jr, Williamson JD, Whelton PK, et al.; SPRINT Research Group. A randomized trial of intensive versus standard blood-pressure control. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(22):2103-2116. ■

### Earn CME on your schedule with *FP Essentials*<sup>™</sup> and *FP Audio* ™



### FP Essentials

Receive monthly peer-reviewed monographs covering various topics in family medicine. Each subscription follows the three-year curriculum cycle based on Board exam topics.

### **FP Audio**

Learn from monthly 60-minute, studio-recorded audio discussions. Companion text accompanies each edition.

### FP Essentials and FP Audio

Get the best of both worlds with a combined subscription.

Subscribe now.
Save now.

# Save 10% off print and digital Save 15% off digital only

Offer expires June 30, 2017. Previous purchases do not apply.

