Point-of-Care Guides

Evaluating the Benefits and Harms of Anticoagulation in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

Mark H. Ebell MD, MS, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia

Clinical Question

In patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF), what is the best way to determine if the benefits of stroke reduction exceed the harms of bleeding from anticoagulants?

Evidence Summary

In patients with NVAF, anticoagulants decrease the risk of stroke by approximately 60%.¹ However, they also significantly increase the risk of a major bleeding event or intracranial hemorrhage. Thus, the decision to use anticoagulants in patients with NVAF should be guided by an assessment of potential benefit (reduction in stroke risk) and potential harm (increase in bleeding risk).

A number of clinical decision rules have been proposed to predict the risk of stroke in patients with NVAF. The 2018 American College of Chest Physicians guidelines for antithrombotic therapy in the management of NVAF recommend using the CHA₂DS₂-VASc score² (*Table 1*³⁻⁶). Men with a score of 0 and women with a score of 1 are considered low risk and do not require antithrombotic therapy with anticoagulants or aspirin. For all other patients, treatment with a direct oral anticoagulant is recommended. Patients at high risk of bleeding based on the validated HAS-BLED score (Table 13-6) should be monitored closely during treatment for signs of bleeding, and modifiable risk factors for bleeding should be addressed.2

The 2016 guidelines from the European Society of Cardiology also recommend using the

CHA₂DS₂-VASc score to assess the risk of stroke.⁷ They are similar to the American College of Chest Physicians guidelines, recommending no antithrombotic therapy for men with a score of 0 and for women with a score of 1. They differ in recommending that oral anticoagulation be considered, but is not mandatory, for men with a score of 1 and women with a score of 2. Anticoagulation should be initiated for patients with higher scores, however.⁷

Guidelines should be applied with the individual patient in mind, considering factors such as comorbidities, history of falls, previous episodes of bleeding and intracranial hemorrhage, and patient values. An important factor is the overall risk of stroke in patients with NVAF who do not receive anticoagulation. A systematic review identified eight studies of such patients, with the risk of ischemic stroke ranging from 2.0 to 4.5 per 100 person-years. Both the median and weighted mean were 3.0 strokes per 100 personyears.6 Thus, a 60% reduction in the risk of stroke with anticoagulation would reduce the number of strokes to approximately 1.2 per 1080 personyears (number needed to treat to prevent one stroke in one year = 56). A cost-utility analysis concluded that the optimal threshold for recommending anticoagulation using warfarin (Coumadin) in a patient with NVAF is an annual stroke risk of 1.7%, with patients below that threshold receiving aspirin only.8

A meta-analysis of four studies including patients with NVAF who did not receive

This guide is one in a series that offers evidence-based tools to assist family physicians in improving their decision making at the point of care.

This series is coordinated by Mark H. Ebell, MD, MS, Deputy Editor for Evidence-Based Medicine.

A collection of Point-of-Care Guides published in *AFP* is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/poc.

This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz on page 711.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

TABLE 1

Decision Rules to Predict the Risk of Stroke in Patients with Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation

CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score	HAS-BLED score

Risk factor	Points	Risk factor	Points
Congestive heart failure	1	Hypertension (≥ 160 mm Hg)	1
Hypertension (> 140/90 mm Hg)	1	Abnormal renal function†	1
Female sex	1	Abnormal liver function‡	1
Diabetes mellitus	1	Stroke (previous stroke or transient	1
Previous stroke, transient ischemic	2	ischemic attack)	
attack, or venous thromboembolism		Bleeding history or predisposition	1
Vascular disease*	1	Labile international normalized ratio (< 60%	1
Age		therapeutic)	
65 to 74 years	1	Age older than 65 years	1
75 years or older	2	Aspirin, clopidogrel (Plavix), or nonsteroidal	1
Total (range 0 to 9):		anti-inflammatory drug use	
rotat (range e te 2).		Alcohol (≥ eight drinks per week)	1
		Total (range 0 to 9):	

Note: See Table 2 for interpretation of these scores.

Adapted with permission from Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the Euro Heart Survey on atrial fibrillation. Chest. 2010;137(2):266, and Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, de Vos CB, Crijns HJ, Lip GY. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. Chest. 2010;138(5):1095, with additional information from references 4 and 6.

anticoagulation showed a stroke risk of 0.2, 0.7, and 1.5 strokes per 100 person-years for unselected patients with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 0, 1, or 2, respectively.6 A large prospective cohort study with 182,678 patients found generally similar stroke risks in patients not receiving an anticoagulant and also calculated HAS-BLED scores in the same cohort for patients receiving an oral anticoagulant.9 Data from the cohort study regarding the risk of bleeding and stroke and the potential benefits and harms of anticoagulation in patients with NVAF based on CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED scores are shown in Table 2.1,9

The likelihood of preventing a stroke is less than the increased risk of an intracranial hemorrhage for patients with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 0 or 1 and an average bleeding risk. For patients with a CHA₂DS₂-VASc score of 2, it is estimated that 1.5 strokes are prevented with anticoagulation, whereas 0.6 intracranial bleeds and 2.3 major bleeding events are caused by anticoagulation

in a person at average bleeding risk.^{1,9} The risk assessment is different for patients at lower or higher bleeding risk.

Applying the Evidence

A 69-year-old man with a history of hypertension but no other risk factors for stroke is diagnosed with NVAF. His CHA₂DS₂-VASc score is 2 (one point each for hypertension and age). He takes a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug daily for osteoarthritis; therefore, his HAS-BLED score is 3 (one point each for hypertension, age, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use). Anticoagulation will reduce his risk of stroke by 1.5% per year (number needed to treat per year = 67), whereas with anticoagulation he would have a 0.7% risk of an intracranial bleed (number needed to harm = 143) and a 2.4% risk of a major bleeding event (number needed to harm = 42). The patient has advanced Parkinson disease and mild cognitive impairment. Based on

^{*-}Previous myocardial infarction, peripheral artery disease, or aortic plaque.

 $[\]dagger$ -Dialysis, renal transplantation, or serum creatinine level > 2.3 mg per dL (203 μ mol per L).

^{‡—}Cirrhosis or bilirubin more than two times the upper normal limit associated with alanine transaminase, aspartate transaminase, or alkaline phosphatase more than three times the upper normal limit.

POINT-OF-CARE GUIDES

TABLE 2

Interpretation of the CHA₂DS₂-VASc and HAS-BLED Risk Scores

Datantial hanofit: rick of ischamic strake nor year

	Potential benefit: risk of ischemic stroke per year					Potential narm: risk of bleed per year with OAC			
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score	Risk without OAC	Risk with OAC*	Strokes prevented with OAC*	NNT	HAS-BLED score†	Intracranial bleed	NNH	Major bleed- ing event	NNH
0	0.2	0.08	0.12	833	1	0.2	500	0.7	143
1	0.6	0.24	0.36	278	2	0.6	167	1.9	53
2	2.5	1.0	1.5	67	3	0.7	143	2.4	42

Note: See Table 1 for the risk scores

NNH = number needed to treat to cause one harm event per year; NNT = number needed to treat for one year to prevent one stroke; OAC = oral anticoagulation

Information from references 1 and 9.

the patient's and his caregiver's evaluation of potential benefits and harms, he decides to forgo anticoagulation.

Address correspondence to Mark H. Ebell, MD, MS, at ebell@uga.edu. Reprints are not available from the author.

References

- 1. Hart RG, Pearce LA, Aguilar MI. Meta-analysis: antithrombotic therapy to prevent stroke in patients who have nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. Ann Intern Med. 2007;146(12): 857-867
- 2. Lip GY, Banerjee A, Boriani G, et al. Antithrombotic therapy for atrial fibrillation: CHEST Guideline and Expert Panel Report [published ahead of print August 22, 2018]. Chest. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S00123 6921832244X?via%3Dihub. Accessed October 18, 2018.
- 3. Lip GY, Nieuwlaat R, Pisters R, Lane DA, Crijns HJ. Refining clinical risk stratification for predicting stroke and thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation using a novel risk factor-based approach: the Euro Heart Survey on atrial fibrillation. Chest. 2010;137(2):263-272.

4. Gorman EW, Perkel D, Dennis D, Yates J, Heidel RE, Wortham D. Validation of the HAS-BLED tool in atrial fibrillation patients receiving rivaroxaban. J Atr Fibrillation. 2016;9(2):1461.

Detential harms rick of blood nor year with OAC

- 5. Pisters R, Lane DA, Nieuwlaat R, de Vos CB, Crijns HJ, Lip GY. A novel user-friendly score (HAS-BLED) to assess 1-year risk of major bleeding in patients with atrial fibrillation: the Euro Heart Survey. Chest. 2010;138(5):1093-1100.
- 6. van Doorn S, Debray TP, Kaasenbrood F, et al. Predictive performance of the CHA2DS2-VASc rule in atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost. 2017;15(6):1065-1077.
- 7. Kirchhof P, Benussi S, Kotecha D, et al. 2016 ESC guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS. Europace. 2016;18(11):1609-1678.
- 8. Eckman MH, Singer DE, Rosand J, Greenberg SM. Moving the tipping point: the decision to anticoagulate patients with atrial fibrillation. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2011;4(1):14-21.
- 9. Friberg L, Rosengvist M, Lip GY. Evaluation of risk stratification schemes for ischaemic stroke and bleeding in 182 678 patients with atrial fibrillation: the Swedish Atrial Fibrillation cohort study. Eur Heart J. 2012;33(12):1500-1510. ■

^{*-}Assuming a 60% reduction in the risk of ischemic stroke with OAC.1

^{†—}Risk not calculated for a HAS-BLED score of 0 because of the small number of patients and events with this score.