### **BONUS DIGITAL CONTENT**

## **Medicine by the Numbers**

A Collaboration of TheNNT.com and AFP

# ➤ Liberal vs. Conservative Oxygen Therapy in Acutely Ill Adults

Christopher Solie, DO, and Daniel Runde, MD

#### **Details for This Review**

**Study Population**: Acutely ill adults, without chronic respiratory illness, requiring hospital admission and with the potential to be exposed to supplemental oxygen

Efficacy End Points: Mortality (in-hospital, 30-day, and longest follow-up) and morbidity (disability at longest follow-up, risk of hospital-acquired pneumonia, any hospital-acquired infection, and length of hospital stay)

**Harm End Points:** Morbidity and mortality

Narrative: Oxygen has been used to treat acute illness since the late 1800s.¹ Often, treatment with oxygen results in the patient becoming hyperoxemic.² Many health care professionals view supplemental oxygen as harmless, even in the setting of hyperoxemia.³ However, hyperoxemia can have deleterious effects on the cardiovascular, pulmonary, central nervous, and immune systems. Most of its adverse effects are thought to be secondary to reactive oxygen species causing cell death and hyperoxia-induced vasoconstriction.⁴

In this article, we summarize the Improving Oxygen Therapy in Acute-Illness systematic review and meta-analysis comparing liberal vs. conservative oxygen therapy in adults who are acutely ill.<sup>5</sup> The systematic review included 25 randomized controlled trials involving 16,037 patients with the following conditions: sepsis,

critical illness, stroke, trauma, myocardial infarction, and cardiac arrest. Oxygen saturation was reported as fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO<sub>2</sub>), arterial partial pressure of oxygen, arterial oxygen saturation, and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>). Studies were excluded if

THERAPY IN ACUTELY ILL ADULTS		
_		

LIBERAL VS. CONSERVATIVE OXYGEN

Benefits	Harms
None	1 in 71 patients died

they involved patients who were younger than 18 years, pregnant, had psychiatric disease, were on extracorporeal life support, used hyperbaric oxygen, underwent elective surgery, or included only patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

In the liberal oxygen group, the median  ${\rm FiO_2}$  was 0.52 (which would be achieved with 8 L via face mask) for a median duration of eight hours. The conservative supplementation group had a median  ${\rm FiO_2}$  of 0.21 (the same as room air). Oxygen was delivered via nasal cannula in four trials, face mask in 13 trials, and invasive mechanical ventilation in eight trials. The median pretreatment  ${\rm SpO_2}$  was 96.4% in the liberal group and 96.7% in the conservative group.

Liberal oxygen use was found to increase mortality. Liberal oxygen use increased the absolute risk of mortality in-hospital by 1.1% (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.2 to 2.2), at 30-days by 1.4% (95% CI, 0.1 to 2.7), and at longest-follow-up by 1.2% (95% CI, 0 to 2.4) for a number needed to harm of 91, 71, and 83, respectively. There were no significant interactions identified on subgroup

analysis, including intensive care unit vs. nonintensive care, delivery method, and duration of oxygen exposure.

There was no difference in the overall risk of hospital-acquired infections between the two groups. In the subgroup of patients who

The NNT Group Rating System		
	Green	Benefits greater than harms
	Yellow	Unclear benefits
	Red	No benefits
	Black	Harms greater than benefits
ı		

#### MEDICINE BY THE NUMBERS

had emergency surgery (two trials, 449 patients), there were fewer hospital-acquired infections in the liberal oxygen group (relative risk = 0.50; 95% CI, 0.36 to 0.69). This was not noted in patients admitted with a medical diagnosis. Both trials in emergency surgery were at high risk of bias. There was no difference between the two groups in risk of hospital-acquired pneumonia or length of stay.

Caveats: The meta-analysis did not include adults with chronic respiratory illnesses, which may have a different physiologic response to hyperoxemia. The various studies included in this analysis had differing definitions of liberal and conservative oxygenation, which may lead to an imprecise estimation of mortality. Some studies had a fixed  ${\rm FiO_2}$ , whereas others titrated up to a target oxygen level. Longest follow-up varied widely among the studies. Most studies did not report causespecific mortality; therefore, the mechanism of harm of hyperoxia could not be identified. Some of the trials included were stopped early because of apparent benefit or harm.

It should be noted that the Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand guidelines recommend a target oxygen saturation range of 92% to 96% for oxygen therapy in adults without chronic respiratory failure.<sup>6</sup>

**Copyright © 2019** MD Aware, LLC (theNNT.com). Used with permission.

**This series** is coordinated by Dean A. Seehusen, MD, MPH, *AFP* Assistant Medical Editor, and Daniel Runde, MD, from the NNT Group.

**A collection** of Medicine by the Numbers published in *AFP* is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/mbtn.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

#### References

- 1. Shultz SM, Hartmann PM. George E Holtzapple (1862–1946) and oxygen therapy for lobar pneumonia: the first reported case (1887) and a review of the contemporary literature to 1899. *J Med Biogr.* 2005;13(4):201-206.
- 2. Albin RJ, Criner GJ, Thomas S, Abou-Jaoude S. Pattern of non-ICU inpatient supplemental oxygen utilization in a university hospital. *Chest*. 1992;102(6):1672-1675.
- 3. Kelly CA, Lynes D, O'Brien MR, Shaw B. A wolf in sheep's clothing? Patients' and healthcare professionals' perceptions of oxygen therapy: an interpretative phenomenological analysis. *Clin Respir J.* 2018;12(2):616-632.
- Helmerhorst HJ, Schultz MJ, van der Voort PH, de Jonge E, van Westerloo DJ. Bench-to-bedside review: the effects of hyperoxia during critical illness. Crit Care. 2015;19:284.
- Chu DK, Kim LH, Young PJ, et al. Mortality and morbidity in acutely ill adults treated with liberal versus conservative oxygen therapy (IOTA): a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10131):1693-1705.
- Beasley R, Chien, J, Douglas J, et al. Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand oxygen guidelines for acute oxygen use in adults: 'swimming between the flags'. Respirology. 2015;20(8):1182-1191. ■