Type 2 Diabetes Therapies: A STEPS Approach

Joshua Steinberg, MD, United Health Services Wilson Family Medicine Residency, Johnson City, New York Lyndsay Carlson, PharmD, BCACP, United Health Services, Johnson City, New York

Only a few years ago, lifestyle modification, sulfony-lureas, metformin, and insulin were the only treatment options for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Now, family physicians have approximately 40 medications in 10 categories to manage hyperglycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes. However, the availability of so many choices makes therapeutic decisions more complex. Although all 40 medications will improve blood glucose levels, that is not sufficient. As family physicians, we seek to treat the whole person, not just blood glucose levels, insulin resistance, and islet cell dysfunction. Our patients with diabetes depend on us to help reduce their long-term risk of myocardial infarction, stroke, amputation, dialysis, and premature mortality.

Several recent large randomized controlled trials have significantly improved our knowledge about the impact of diabetes medications on patient-oriented outcomes. After the thiazolidinedione (TZD) rosiglitazone (Avandia) was found to increase the risk of myocardial infarction, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration required newly approved diabetes drugs to undergo rigorous postmarketing studies of long-term cardiovascular harm. Studies evaluating harms, such as major cardiovascular events and cardiovascular mortality, also have the potential to show us which agents confer long-term benefits for those outcomes. The results of these studies can be used to make better choices for our patients with type 2 diabetes.

A concise and organized way to evaluate pharmacotherapy options for diabetes is to use the five patient-oriented STEPS criteria: safety, tolerability, effectiveness, price, and simplicity.³ *Table 1* presents the STEPS approach for each category of diabetes medication.⁴⁻³⁷ It permits side-by-side comparisons of the pros and cons, and reveals some insights for clinical decision making.

The American Diabetes Association recommends the biguanide metformin (Glucophage) as first-line pharmacotherapy for type 2 diabetes.³⁸ The STEPS criteria show why: it is safe and fairly well-tolerated, has excellent long-term effectiveness on patient-oriented outcomes, is moderately priced, and has a simple dosing regimen. No other diabetes medication excels in the STEPS criteria as well as

This clinical content conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz on page 255. Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

metformin. However, most patients with type 2 diabetes require more than one medication. The STEPS approach can help us choose subsequent medications if metformin does not provide adequate glycemic control for our patients.

Focusing first on effectiveness, the table shows evidence of improved patient-oriented outcomes from glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists and sodium glucose cotransporter-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors in patients who are at high cardiovascular risk or have known cardiovascular disease. 22,36,37 Such improvements are likely to be significantly more modest in patients who are not at high cardiovascular risk. No long-term improvement in patient-oriented outcomes has been demonstrated for the dipeptidylpeptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors or for the amylin analogue pramlintide (Symlin). The high prices and lack of favorable long-term outcomes leave the use of agents such as sitagliptin (Januvia) and pramlintide hard to justify. The alphaglucosidase inhibitor acarbose (Precose) has evidence to support improved patient-oriented outcomes,18 and as with metformin, its price is quite low.

Focusing next on price, the table shows that metformin and, to a lesser extent, acarbose have evidence of a favorable effect on long-term outcomes and are relatively inexpensive. Likewise, inexpensive generic pioglitazone (Actos) is an option with a mix of potential benefits and harms. Sulfonylureas and older insulins are also inexpensive, and although there is no evidence from randomized trials of long-term patient-oriented benefits, there is also no evidence of long-term end-organ harm. 9,11,12

Focusing next on safety, the table shows that several agents are concerning because they increase hypoglycemia risk (e.g., sulfonylureas, insulins, meglitinides, pramlintide) or require monitoring, dose adjustments, or discontinuation in patients with chronic kidney disease (e.g., metformin, acarbose).

Focusing last on simplicity, the table shows that several agents may be challenging for some patients because of the need for frequent or complicated dosing or injections. Poor memory, eyesight, or health literacy may make such a challenging regimen a poor option for some patients.

Although agents within a drug category are more similar than different, a STEPS table comparing each insulin would highlight differences in safety, price, and simplicity. A table comparing each GLP-1 receptor agonist would show that only some (liraglutide [Victoza], semaglutide [Ozempic],

TABLE 1

Type 2 Diabetes Therapies: A STEPS Approach

STEPS component

Drug class	Safety	Tolerability
Biguanides (e.g., Glucophage)	Historical concern for lactic acidosis, but Cochrane review of 347 studies found no cases in 70,490 patient-years, with lactate levels similar between patients receiving metformin (Glucophage) and a control group Should not be used in patients with estimated GFR < 30 mL per minute per 1.73 m²; use caution in patients with estimated GFR of 30 to 45 mL per minute per 1.73 m² Long-term use may be associated with vitamin B_{12} deficiency Safe in patients with stable CHF	GI effects (e.g., diarrhea, nausea, vomiting) in < 10% of patients; discontinuation rate is < 1% ⁶
Sulfonylureas (e.g., Glucotrol, Amaryl)	Hypoglycemia Hemolytic anemia in patients with glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency ⁸ First generation (chlorpropamide, tolbutamide): systematic review shows increased CV mortality (N = 553; RR = 2.63) ⁹	Weight gain ¹⁰
Insulins (e.g., Lantus, Humalog)	Hypoglycemia, worse with intensive or complicated regimens	Injection, lipodystrophy, weight gain
TZDs (e.g., Actos, Avandia)	Pioglitazone (Actos): CHF, serious fracture, ¹³ bladder cancer (rare) ¹⁴ Rosiglitazone (Avandia): CHF, MI ¹⁵	Edema
Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors (e.g., Precose)	Should not be used in patients with cirrhosis or chronic kidney disease (serum creatinine > 2.0 mg per dL [177 µmol per L])	Severe GI effects (e.g., bloating, diarrhea, flatulence) in ≥ 50% of patients; variable but high discontinuation rates ¹⁷

CHD = coronary heart disease; CHF = congestive heart failure; CV = cardiovascular; DPP-4 = dipeptidyl-peptidase-4; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; GI = gastrointestinal; GLP-1 = glucagon-like peptide-1; MI = myocardial infarction; NA = not available; NNH = number needed to harm; NNT = number needed to treat; RR = relative risk; SGLT-2 = sodium glucose cotransporter-2; STEPS = simplicity, tolerability, effectiveness, price, simplicity; TZDs = thiazolidinediones.

^{*—}Based on patient-oriented evidence from randomized controlled trials.

^{†—}Estimated retail price for one month of therapy unless otherwise noted, based on prices obtained from http://www.goodrx.com (accessed January 22, 2019). Generic price listed first; brand name price in parentheses.

^{‡—}Although composite outcome improved, no improvement was noted for any individual outcome.

Effectiveness*	Price†	Simplicity
Outcomes: benefit In 1,704 overweight patients newly diagnosed with diabetes improved rates of all-cause mortality (13.5 vs. 20.6 per 1,000 NNT = 14), MI (11 vs. 18 per 1,000 patient-years; NNT = 14), I ar complications (6.7 vs. 9.2 per 1,000 patient-years; NNT = diabetes-related end point (29.8 vs. 43.3 per 1,000 patient-years)	patient-years; Extended-release, four 500-mg tablets once daily: 40), and any \$10 (\$130)	Twice-daily oral dosing (once daily for extended-release formulation)
Outcomes: First generation: harm Second generation (glipizide [Glucotrol], glyburide): neutra Third generation (glimepiride [Amaryl]): unknown First generation: increased CV mortality rates ^{9,11} Second generation: two large systematic reviews showed not for mortality, MI, and stroke ^{9,11} Third generation: no long-term outcomes data ⁹	Glimepiride: \$5 (\$80 to \$250, depending on dosage)	Once- or twice- daily oral dosing (depending on dos- age; once daily for extended-release formulation)
Outcomes: neutral (when known) Glargine (Lantus): when used to normalize fasting glucose le patients with diabetes or prediabetes for 6.2 years, mortality, cancers neither increased nor decreased ¹² No long-term outcome studies for other insulins or insulin re	CV events, and Glargine: NA (\$190 per 10-mL vial)	Subcutaneous injections one to four times daily, depending on formulation Injection is challenging for some patients; preloaded pens simplify injection
Outcomes: Pioglitazone: mixed Rosiglitazone: harm Pioglitazone: in 5,238 patients treated for 9.5 years, no differ CV outcome (CV events plus CV interventions); improved co CV outcome‡ of all-cause mortality, nonfatal MI, and nonfat vs. 13.6%; NNT = 49); and increased CHF (10.8% vs. 7.5%; NN hospitalizations (5.7% vs. 4.1%; NNH = 61) ¹⁶ Rosiglitazone: systematic review of 56 trials (N = 35,531) showe all-cause mortality and CV mortality, but worse MI odds (odds in the context of the context	mposite secondary al stroke (11.6% H = 31) and CHF d no difference in	Once-daily oral dosing
Outcomes: benefit (when known) Acarbose (Precose): systematic review of seven trials not limit apy (N = 2,180) showed reduced MI risk (RR = 0.36) and reduced to the event (RR = 0.65) ¹⁸ All alpha-glucosidase inhibitors: systematic review of 41 mor (N = 8,130) showed no mortality or diabetic end point beneform to the event (RR = 0.65) ¹⁸ Acarbose: in 1,429 patients with prediabetes, reduced CV event (NNT = 41) and MI (0.3% vs. 2.8%; NNT = 41) over 3.2 years ²⁰ Acarbose: in 6,522 patients with CHD and prediabetes, no be mortality, individual, or combined CV outcomes over five years ²¹	notherapy trials it ¹⁹ ents (2.2% vs. 4.7%;	daily)
		continues

Type 2 Diabetes Therapies: A STEPS Approach

STEPS component

Drug class	Safety	Tolerability
GLP-1 receptor ago- nists (e.g., Victoza, Ozempic)	Gallstones ²² Occurrence < 1%: acute kidney injury, angioedema, pancreatitis (insufficient data to indicate causal relationship; 16 cases among 14,562 patients in randomized controlled trials) ²³	Headache, diarrhea, nausea, weight loss ²⁴
DPP-4 inhibitors (e.g., Januvia, Onglyza)	Pancreatitis (insufficient data to indicate causal relationship), hypoglycemia, slightly higher rates of CHF ²⁸	Rare severe arthralgias
Meglitinides (e.g., Prandin, Starlix)	Hypoglycemia, especially with concurrent use of insulin or sulfonylureas (meglitinides are also insulin secretagogues) Slight increase in serum uric acid levels	GI effects in < 10% of patients (e.g., bloating, constipation, cramps, diarrhea, flatulence), dizziness ³²
Amylin analogue (i.e., Symlin)	Serious hypoglycemia risk (U.S. Food and Drug Administration boxed warning; preemptive insulin dosage decreases warranted) ³⁴ Should not be used in patients with gastroparesis (slows gastric emptying)	Nausea (slows gastric emptying)
SGLT-2 inhibitors (e.g., Jardiance, Invokana)	Hypotension of osmotic diuresis, hyperkalemia in patients with chronic kidney disease, diabetic ketoacidosis, urosepsis, decreased bone mineral density, acute kidney injury (rare) Canagliflozin (Invokana) taken for 3.6 years increases the risk of fracture (NNH = 79), amputation (NNH = 96), genital infections in men (NNH = 11), and yeast vaginitis in women (NNH = 5) 35	Slightly more urinary tract infections, many more genital infections

CHD = coronary heart disease; CHF = congestive heart failure; CV = cardiovascular; DPP-4 = dipeptidyl-peptidase-4; GFR = glomerular filtration rate; GI = gastrointestinal; GLP-1 = glucagon-like peptide-1; MI = myocardial infarction; NA = not available; NNH = number needed to harm; NNT = number needed to treat; RR = relative risk; SGLT-2 = sodium glucose cotransporter-2; STEPS = simplicity, tolerability, effectiveness, price, simplicity; TZDs = thiazolidinediones.

Information from references 4 through 37.

^{*—}Based on patient-oriented evidence from randomized controlled trials.

^{†—}Estimated retail price for one month of therapy unless otherwise noted, based on prices obtained from http://www.goodrx.com (accessed January 22, 2019). Generic price listed first; brand name price in parentheses.

^{‡—}Although composite outcome improved, no improvement was noted for any individual outcome.

Effectiveness*	Price†	Simplicity
Outcomes: benefit (some agents) Liraglutide (Victoza): in 9,340 patients with diabetes and high CV risk treated for 3.8 years, improved all-cause mortality (8.2% vs. 9.6%; NNT = 71), CV mortality (4.7% vs. 6.0%; NNT = 77), and CV events (13.0% vs. 14.9%; NNT = 53) ²² Semaglutide (Ozempic): in 3,297 patients with diabetes treated for 2.1 years, improved CV events (6.6% vs. 8.9%; NNT = 43), worsened retinal complications (RR = 1.76), and no difference in all-cause or CV mortality ²⁵	Liraglutide: NA (\$920) Exenatide weekly: NA (\$700) Exenatide twice daily (Byetta): NA (\$750) Lixisenatide: NA (\$620)	Subcutaneous injection twice daily, once daily, or once weekly
Exenatide weekly (Bydureon): in 14,752 patients with diabetes and high CV risk treated for 3.2 years, improved all-cause mortality (6.9% vs. 7.9%; NNT = 100); other individual and combined CV outcomes narrowly missed statistical significance for improvement ²⁶		
Lixisenatide (Adlyxin): in 6,068 patients with diabetes and CHD treated for 2.1 years, no benefit or harm ²⁷		
All agents in this class independently produce direct weight loss		
Outcomes: neutral Sitagliptin (Januvia): in 14,671 patients with diabetes treated for 3 years, no CV or mortality benefit or harm ²⁹ Saxagliptin (Onglyza) and alogliptin (Nesina): short randomized controlled trials	Alogliptin: NA (\$90) Saxagliptin: NA (\$410) Sitagliptin: NA (\$450)	Once-daily oral dosing
showed no CV benefit or harm ^{30,31}		
Outcomes: neutral (when known) Nateglinide (Starlix): in 9,306 patients with prediabetes and high CV risk treated for 5 years, no increase or decrease in mortality, combined CV outcomes, or individual CV outcomes ³³	Repaglinide (Prandin): \$30 (\$570) Nateglinide: \$50 (\$340)	Oral dosing before meals (three times daily)
Outcomes: unknown No studies with patient-oriented outcomes	Pramlintide (Symlin): NA (\$1,100)	Subcutaneous injection before meals (three times daily) Always used with insulin, which necessitates numerous injections
Outcomes: Empagliflozin (Jardiance): benefit Canagliflozin: mixed Other agents: unknown Empagliflozin: in 7,020 patients with diabetes and high CV risk treated for 3 years, improved all-cause mortality (5.7% vs. 8.3%; NNT = 38), CV mortality (3.7% vs. 5.9%; NNT = 45), CHF hospitalizations (2.7% vs. 4.1%; NNT = 71), doubling of serum creatinine level (1.5% vs. 2.6%; NNT = 91), and need for dialysis (0.3% vs. 0.6%; NNT = 333) ^{36,37} Canagliflozin: in 10,142 patients with diabetes and high CV risk treated for 3.6 years, no difference in all-cause mortality, and improved rates of fatal and nonfatal MI and stroke (26.9 vs. 31.5 per 1,000 patient-years; NNT = 60; no individual outcome different), renal combined endpoints of disease- and patient-oriented evidence (5.5 vs. 9.0 per 1,000 patient-years; NNT = 79), and	Canagliflozin: NA (\$500) Empagliflozin: NA (\$480) Dapagliflozin (Farxiga): NA (\$490)	Once-daily oral dosing

TYPE 2 DIABETES THERAPIES

exenatide) have proven cardiovascular benefit,^{22,25,26} whereas others have no evidence of benefit and still others have outcome studies that have not been completed.^{39,40}

Major guidelines are increasingly aligned with a STEPS approach to pharmacotherapy for type 2 diabetes. Although it has traditionally focused on disease-oriented outcomes, the American Diabetes Association was more patientoriented in 2018, strongly recommending metformin as first-line therapy, with additional agents added after consideration of hypoglycemia risk, comorbidities, potential adverse effects, effectiveness, price, and delivery method.⁴¹ The American Academy of Family Physicians-endorsed 2017 guideline on pharmacotherapy for type 2 diabetes from the American College of Physicians shares an emphasis on safety, tolerability, effectiveness, and price when making initial choices about monotherapy and combination therapy, while focusing on improving patient-oriented outcomes amidst a dearth of evidence regarding specific combinations of hypoglycemic drugs. 42 Likewise, the 2017 guideline from the Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense also recommends metformin first, then additional agents according to evidence of effectiveness and consideration of safety, adverse effects, cost, and comorbidities.⁴³ All of these guidelines are consistent with an evidence-based STEPS approach.

Data Sources: Essential Evidence Plus and the Cochrane database were searched using the keywords type 2 diabetes and medication therapy. Trials and systematic reviews referenced in the 2017 American Diabetes Association guideline and package inserts of newer medications, and selected relevant references were used. Search dates: August 2017 to October 2018.

The Authors

JOSHUA STEINBERG, MD, is a faculty member at United Health Services Wilson Family Medicine Residency, Johnson City, NY, and a clinical assistant professor of family medicine at State University of New York Upstate Medical University, Binghamton Clinical Campus.

LYNDSAY CARLSON, PharmD, BCACP, is an ambulatory care clinical pharmacy specialist at United Health Services, Johnson City, NY.

Address correspondence to Joshua Steinberg, MD, United Health Services Wilson Family Medicine Residency, 507 Main St., Johnson City, NY 13790 (e-mail: jds91md@gmail.com). Reprints are not available from the authors.

References

- Hiatt WR, Kaul S, Smith RJ. The cardiovascular safety of diabetes drugs insights from the rosiglitazone experience. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(14): 1285-1287.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for industry: diabetes mellitus – evaluating

- cardiovascular risk in new antidiabetic therapies to treat type 2 diabetes. December 2008. https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/Guidances/ucm071627.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2018.
- 3. Shaughnessy AF. STEPS drug updates. *Am Fam Physician*. 2003;68(12): 2342-2348.
- Salpeter SR, Greyber E, Pasternak GA, Salpeter EE. Risk of fatal and nonfatal lactic acidosis with metformin use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(4):CD002967.
- Aroda VR, Edelstein SL, Goldberg RB, et al. Long-term metformin use and vitamin B12 deficiency in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2016;101(4):1754-1761.
- Glucophage (metformin hydrochloride) tablets. https://www.access data.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/020357s037s039,021202 s021s023lbl.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2018.
- UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34) [published correction appears in *Lancet*. 1998;352(9139):1558]. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9131):854-865.
- Glucotrol (glipizide) tablets. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugs atfda_docs/label/2008/017783s019lbl.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2018.
- Hemmingsen B, Schroll JB, Lund SS, et al. Sulphonylurea monotherapy for patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;(4):CD009008.
- Phung OJ, Scholle JM, Talwar M, et al. Effect of noninsulin antidiabetic drugs added to metformin therapy on glycemic control, weight gain, and hypoglycemia in type 2 diabetes. *JAMA*. 2010;303(14):1410-1418.
- Rados DV, Pinto LC, Remonti LR, Leitão CB, Gross JL. Correction: the association between sulfonylurea use and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: a meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis of randomized clinical trials. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(6):e1002091.
- Gerstein HC, Bosch J, Dagenais GR, et al.; ORIGIN Trial Investigators. Basal insulin and cardiovascular and other outcomes in dysglycemia. N Engl J Med. 2012;367(4):319-328.
- Kernan WN, Viscoli CM, Furie KL, et al.; IRIS Trial Investigators. Pioglitazone after ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(14):1321-1331.
- Azoulay L, Yin H, Filion KB, et al. The use of pioglitazone and the risk of bladder cancer in people with type 2 diabetes: nested case-control study. BMJ. 2012;344:e3645.
- Nissen SE, Wolski K. Rosiglitazone revisited: an updated meta-analysis of risk for myocardial infarction and cardiovascular mortality. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(14):1191-1201.
- Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, et al.; PROactive Investigators. Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005;366(9493):1279-1289.
- Catalan VS, Couture JA, LeLorier J. Predictors of persistence of use of the novel antidiabetic agent acarbose. Arch Intern Med. 2001;161(8): 1106-1112.
- Hanefeld M, Cagatay M, Petrowitsch T, Neuser D, Petzinna D, Rupp M. Acarbose reduces the risk for myocardial infarction in type 2 diabetic patients: meta-analysis of seven long-term studies. *Eur Heart J.* 2004; 25(1):10-16.
- Van de Laar FA, Lucassen PL, Akkermans RP, et al. Alpha-glucosidase inhibitors for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005;(2):CD003639.
- 20. Chiasson JL, Josse RG, Gomis R, Hanefeld M, Karasik A, Laakso M; STOP-NIDDM Trial Research Group. Acarbose treatment and the risk of cardiovascular disease and hypertension in patients with impaired glucose tolerance: the STOP-NIDDM trial. *JAMA*. 2003;290(4):486-494.
- 21. Holman RR, Coleman RL, Chan JC, et al.; ACE Study Group. Effects of acarbose on cardiovascular and diabetes outcomes in patients with

TYPE 2 DIABETES THERAPIES

- coronary heart disease and impaired glucose tolerance (ACE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial [published correction appears in *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5:877-886]. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2017;5(11):877-886.
- 22. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2016;375(4):311-322.
- Li L, Shen J, Bala MM, et al. Incretin treatment and risk of pancreatitis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised and non-randomised studies. BMJ. 2014;348:g2366.
- Victoza (liraglutide [rDNA origin] injection), solution for subcutaneous use. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2010/022341lbl. pdf. Accessed November 8, 2018.
- 25. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al.; SUSTAIN-6 Investigators. Sema-glutide and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2016;375(19):1834-1844.
- 26. Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, et al.; EXSCEL Study Group. Effects of once-weekly exenatide on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(13):1228-1239.
- Pfeffer MA, Claggett B, Diaz R, et al.; ELIXA Investigators. Lixisenatide in patients with type 2 diabetes and acute coronary syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(23):2247-2257.
- Rehman MB, Tudrej BV, Soustre J, et al. Efficacy and safety of DPP-4 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes: meta-analysis of placebocontrolled randomized clinical trials. *Diabetes Metab.* 2017;43(1):48-58.
- 29. Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al.; TECOS Study Group. Effect of sitagliptin on cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes [published correction appears in *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(6):586]. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(3):232-242.
- Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al.; SAVOR-TIMI 53 Steering Committee and Investigators. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(14): 1317-1326
- 31. White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR, et al.; EXAMINE Investigators. Alogliptin after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369(14):1327-1335.
- 32. Prandin (repaglinide) tablets. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2009/020741s035lbl.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2018.

- 33. Holman RR, Haffner SM, McMurray JJ, et al.; NAVIGATOR Study Group. Effect of nateglinide on the incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular events [published correction appears in N Engl J Med. 2010;362(18): 1748]. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(16):1463-1476.
- 34. Symlin (pramlintide acetate) injection for subcutaneous use. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/021332s007_S016.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2018.
- Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, et al.; CANVAS Program Collaborative Group. Canagliflozin and cardiovascular and renal events in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(7):644-657.
- 36. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al.; EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin, cardiovascular outcomes, and mortality in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;373(22):2117-2128.
- 37. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, et al.; EMPA-REG OUTCOME Investigators. Empagliflozin and progression of kidney disease in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375(4):323-334.
- 38. American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes 2017. *Diabetes Care*. 2017;40(suppl 1):S1-S135.
- 39. ClinicalTrials.gov. Effect of albiglutide, when added to standard blood glucose lowering therapies, on major cardiovascular events in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Identifier NCT02465515. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02465515?id=NCT02465515&rank=1. Accessed February 5, 2018.
- 40. ClinicalTrials.gov. Researching cardiovascular events with a weekly incretin in diabetes (REWIND). Identifier NCT01394952. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01394952?id=NCT01394952θrank=1. Accessed February 5, 2018.
- American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes 2018 abridged for primary care providers. Clin Diabetes. 2018;36(1):14-37.
- Qaseem A, Barry MJ, Humphrey LL, Forciea MA. Oral pharmacologic treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: a clinical practice guideline update from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017; 166(4):279-290.
- 43. U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs; U.S. Department of Defense. VA/DoD clinical practice guideline for the management of type 2 diabetes mellitus in primary care, version 5.0. April 2017. https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/CD/diabetes/VADoDDMCPGFinal508.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2018.