FPIN's Help Desk Answers

Risks of Elective Labor Induction vs. Expectant Management

Dylan Tracy, DO, and Carissa van den Berk Clark, PhD, MSW

Saint Louis University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

Clinical Question

Does elective labor induction at 39 weeks' gestation increase maternal or fetal risks compared with expectant management?

Evidence-Based Answer

Elective labor induction at 39 weeks' gestation leads to a mean 145-g (5.1-oz) lower birth weight compared with expectant management, but there is conflicting evidence about the effects on rates of cesarean delivery, perinatal mortality, operative vaginal birth, and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Elective labor induction does not significantly change the risk of stillbirth, low Apgar score, or neonatal respiratory distress. (Strength of Recommendation [SOR]: A, based on a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials [RCTs] and two cohort studies.) Elective labor induction is associated with a lower risk of postpartum hemorrhage, anal sphincter injury, and maternal third- or fourth-degree perineal lacerations. (SOR: B, based on two cohort studies.)

Evidence Summary

A 2012 meta-analysis (22 RCTs; N = 9,383) compared birth outcomes with elective labor induction vs. expectant management in uncomplicated, singleton pregnancies at term.1 Outcomes were subcategorized according to cervical favorability and gestational age, but parity was not distinguished. Primary outcomes included perinatal mortality, stillbirth, neonatal death, NICU admissions, Apgar scores, birth weight, cesarean delivery, and operative vaginal birth. Three of the RCTs (810 births) involved women at 39 to 40 weeks' gestation. Pooled results showed that infants born after elective labor induction had lower mean birth weights than those born after expectant management (mean difference = -145 g; 95% CI, -248 to -42). There were no differences in any of the primary outcomes.

A 2013 retrospective cohort study (N = 362,154) compared elective labor induction with expectant management in women with vertex, singleton pregnancies delivering at 37 to 42 weeks' gestation.2 Outcomes included rates of cesarean delivery, operative vaginal delivery, maternal third- or fourth-degree perineal lacerations, perinatal death, respiratory distress, and NICU admissions. Analysis was stratified by gestational age and included 6,809 women undergoing labor induction at 39 weeks compared with 144,898 women delivering spontaneously at 40 to 42 weeks. About half of the patients were nulliparous; those with fetal anomalies or previous cesarean delivery were excluded. Compared with expectant management, elective labor induction at 39 weeks' gestation was associated with a decreased risk of cesarean delivery (adjusted odds ratio [AOR] = 0.46; 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.52; number needed to treat [NNT] = 12), operative vaginal delivery (AOR = 0.77; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.95; NNT = ▶

Help Desk Answers provides answers to questions submitted by practicing family physicians to the Family Physicians Inquiries Network (FPIN). Members of the network select questions based on their relevance to family medicine. Answers are drawn from an approved set of evidence-based resources and undergo peer review. The strength of recommendations and the level of evidence for individual studies are rated using criteria developed by the Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group (http://www.cebm.net).

The complete database of evidence-based questions and answers is copyrighted by FPIN. If interested in submitting questions or writing answers for this series, go to http://www.fpin.org or e-mail: questions@ fpin.org.

This series is coordinated by John E. Delzell Jr., MD, MSPH, Associate Medical Editor.

A collection of FPIN's Help Desk Answers published in AFP is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/hda.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.



Transforming Your Practice Just Got Easier Introducing AAFP TIPS

AAFP TIPS is a new series of ready-to-use practice improvement resources designed to fit your team's busy schedule.

Our Growing Library of Topics:

- Clinical Data Registries NEW!
- Continuity of Care NEW!
- Team Documentation NEW!
- Empanelment
- Quality Improvement

Get started now. aafp.org/aafptips



DPA180913

HELP DESK ANSWERS

49), third- or fourth-degree perineal lacerations (AOR = 0.65; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.78; NNT = 91), and NICU admissions (AOR = 0.68; 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.78; NNT = 55). These differences remained after adjusting for age, education, teaching hospital, race, prenatal care, and health insurance. In subgroup analysis, elective labor induction was associated with a decreased risk of cesarean delivery in nulliparous patients (n = 75,828; AOR = 0.75; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.83; NNT = 19) and those with previous vaginal births (n = 74,902; AOR = 0.44; 95% CI, 0.37 to 0.53; NNT = 28).

A 2012 retrospective cohort study of more than 1.2 million singleton pregnancies compared elective labor induction with expectant management at 37 to 41 weeks' gestation for the outcomes of perinatal mortality, postpartum hemorrhage, anal sphincter injury, NICU admission rate, and cesarean delivery.3 Analysis stratified by gestational age included 16,344 women undergoing elective labor induction at 39 weeks' gestation compared with 810,720 women managed expectantly. The women had no contraindications to induction and no previous cesarean delivery. Results were adjusted for age at delivery, parity, deprivation category (Scottish index accounting for socioeconomic status, education, and health care access), year of birth, and birth weight. Elective labor induction was associated with lower rates of perinatal mortality (AOR = 0.26; 99% CI, 0.11 to 0.62; NNT = 71), postpartum hemorrhage (AOR = 0.90; 99% CI, 0.83 to 0.98; NNT = 145), and anal sphincter injury (AOR = 0.62; 99% CI, 0.43 to 0.89; NNT = 46). However, elective labor induction was associated with higher risks of NICU admission (AOR = 1.2; 99% CI, 1.1 to 1.3; number needed to harm [NNH] = 87) and cesarean delivery (AOR = 1.1; 99% CI, 1.0 to 1.2; NNH = 164).

Copyright © Family Physicians Inquiries Network. Used with permission.

Address correspondence to Carissa van den Berk Clark, PhD, MSW, at cvanden1@slu.edu. Reprints are not available from the authors.

References

- Gülmezoglu AM, Crowther CA, Middleton P, Heatley E. Induction of labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond term. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012;(6):CD004945.
- Darney BG, Snowden JM, Cheng YW, et al. Elective induction of labor at term compared with expectant management: maternal and neonatal outcomes. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;122(4):761-769.
- 3. Stock SJ, Ferguson E, Duffy A, Ford I, Chalmers J, Norman JE.

 Outcomes of elective induction of labour compared with
 expectant management: population based study. *BMJ*.
 2012;344:e2838. ■