# **Point-of-Care Guides**

# Community-Acquired Pneumonia: Determining Safe Treatment in the Outpatient Setting

Mark H. Ebell, MD, MS, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia

#### **Clinical Question**

When is it safe to treat a patient with community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) as an outpatient?

### **Evidence Summary**

Some patients with CAP can be treated safely as outpatients. Several clinical prediction rules have been developed to determine the likelihood of mortality in patients with CAP, and the use of these rules is recommended by practice guidelines to support clinician decision-making. For example, the 2007 guidelines from the Infectious Diseases Society of America and American Thoracic Society note that the Pneumonia Severity Index and the CURB-65 (confusion, uremia, respiratory rate, blood pressure, 65 years of age) rule can help identify patients with CAP who are candidates for outpatient therapy.1 The 2009 guidelines from the British Thoracic Society recommend use of the CURB-65 rule for patients evaluated in the emergency department and the CRB-65 rule for patients evaluated in the primary care or community setting.2

The Pneumonia Severity Index (https://www.mdcalc.com/psi-port-score-pneumonia-severity-index-cap) has been developed and validated in hospital-based settings.<sup>3,4</sup> However, the rule has 20 data elements, and a chest radiograph and several blood tests, such as arterial blood gas, are required. Even in the emergency department setting where these tests are readily available, the number of data elements makes the Pneumonia Severity Index difficult to use in real-world practice.

CURB-65 (https://www.mdcalc.com/ curb-65-score-pneumonia-severity) has only five data elements, is accurate, and has been well validated.5 However, it requires blood urea nitrogen testing, which is not readily available in the outpatient primary care setting. The CRB-65 is identical to the CURB-65, except that it does not require a blood urea nitrogen measurement, and has also been well validated in the primary care setting. A recent meta-analysis of the CRB-65 found an overall area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.74 (good discrimination); a ratio of observed to expected mortality of 1.04 (good calibration); and likelihood ratios for mortality of 0.13, 1.3, and 5.6 for low-, moderate-, and high-risk groups, respectively. The metaanalysis was limited to the eight studies judged to be at low risk of bias in which patients could be treated as outpatients or inpatients.6

Because it has only four data elements and does not require blood tests or a radiograph, the CRB-65 is the best choice for risk stratification in the outpatient primary care setting. In two European studies, the overall mortality was 4% in patients with CAP presenting in the outpatient primary care setting who could be treated as inpatients or outpatients.<sup>5,7</sup> Applying the likelihood ratios to this baseline risk of mortality, the mortality rate for patients at low, moderate, and high risk based on the CRB-65 rule is shown in *Table 1*.<sup>5,7</sup>

It is important to note that because patients 65 years or older receive one point using the CRB-65 rule, they should be considered for inpatient therapy. In addition, external factors such as

**This guide** is one in a series that offers evidence-based tools to assist family physicians in improving their decision-making at the point of care.

This series is coordinated by Mark H. Ebell, MD, MS, Deputy Editor for Evidence-Based Medicine.

**A collection** of Point-of-Care Guides published in *AFP* is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/poc.

**This clinical content** conforms to AAFP criteria for continuing medical education (CME). See CME Quiz on page 735.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

#### POINT-OF-CARE GUIDES

important comorbidities not included in the clinical rules (e.g., HIV, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), failure of outpatient oral therapy, and social factors (e.g., a patient's inability to obtain or reliably take medication) are appropriate considerations when deciding on inpatient or outpatient treatment.

# **Applying the Evidence**

A previously healthy 56-year-old man presents with two days of worsening cough, purulent sputum production, measured fever of 102°F (39°C), myalgias, and chills. He has no signs of confusion. His respiratory rate is 20 breaths per minute, and his blood pressure is 124/82 mm Hg. Examination findings are consistent with CAP; a chest radiograph shows an infiltrate, confirming the diagnosis.

The patient's CRB-65 score is 0, so you decide to treat him as an outpatient. You schedule a nurse visit at 24 hours and return visits to see you at three and seven days, and the patient does well.

Address correspondence to Mark H. Ebell, MD, MPH, at ebell@ uga.edu. Reprints are not available from the author.

#### References

- 1. Mandell LA, Wunderink RG, Anzueto A, et al. Infectious Diseases Society of America/American Thoracic Society consensus guidelines on the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44(suppl 2):S27-S72.
- 2. Lim WS, Baudouin SV, George RC, et al. BTS guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in adults: update 2009. Thorax. 2009;64(suppl 3):iii1-55.
- 3. Fine MJ, Auble TE, Yealy DM, et al. A prediction rule to identify lowrisk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 1997; 336(4):243-250.

#### TABLE 1

## **CRB-65 Rule to Predict Mortality in Patients with Community-Acquired Pneumonia**

Step 1: Calculate the score (range 0 to 4 points)

Sign or symptom	Points
Confusion (new onset with this illness)	1
Respiratory rate ≥ 30 breaths per minute	1
Blood pressure < 90 mm Hg systolic or ≤ 60 mm Hg diastolic	1
65 years or older	1
Total:	

Step 2: Apply the score to a patient with community-acquired pneumonia

Risk group (points)	Likelihood ratio for mortality	Mortality rate (%)*	<b>Clinical recommendation</b>
Low (0)	0.13	0.5	Outpatient treatment unless otherwise contraindicated
Moderate (1 or 2)	1.3	5.1	Hospitalize in most cases
High (3 or 4)	5.6	18.9	Hospitalize, and consider intensive care unit

<sup>\*-</sup>Assuming an overall mortality rate of 4%

Information from references 5-7.

- 4. Aujesky D, Fine MJ. The pneumonia severity index: a decade after the initial derivation and validation. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;47(suppl 3):
- 5. Bauer TT, Ewig S, Marre R, Suttorp N, Welte T; CAPNETZ Study Group. CRB-65 predicts death from community-acquired pneumonia. J Intern Med. 2006;260(1):93-101.
- 6. Ebell MH, Walsh M, Fahey T, Kearney M, Marchello C. Meta-analysis of calibration, discrimination, and stratum-specific likelihood ratios for the CRB-65 score [published ahead of print April 16, 2019]. J Gen Intern Med. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11606-019-04869-z. Accessed May 7, 2019.
- 7. Krüger S, Ewig S, Marre R, et al.; CAPNETZ Study Group. Procalcitonin predicts patients at low risk of death from community-acquired pneumonia across all CRB-65 classes. Eur Respir J. 2008;31(2):349-355. ■