# **FPIN's Clinical Inquiries**

## **Gabapentin for Treatment** of Radicular Low Back Pain

Corey Lyon, DO; Kyle Leggott, MD; and Shannon Langner, MD

University of Colorado Family Medicine Residency, Denver, Colorado

Kristen DeSanto, MSLS, MS, RD, University of Colorado Health Sciences Library, Denver, Colorado

#### **Clinical Question**

Is gabapentin (Neurontin) effective for the treatment of radicular low back pain?

#### **Evidence-Based Answer**

Gabapentin is not effective for the treatment of radicular low back pain and is associated with adverse effects. (Strength of Recommendation: B, based on a systematic review of low-quality randomized controlled trials [RCTs].)

## **Evidence Summary**

A 2017 systematic review and meta-analysis of eight RCTs (N = 874) examined the effectiveness of gabapentin or pregabalin (Lyrica) vs. placebo for the treatment of chronic low back pain. This review searched Medline, EMBASE, and the Cochrane database from inception until December 2016 and included RCTs evaluating the use of gabapentin or pregabalin for more than three months in adults with chronic low back pain with or without leg pain. The review identified three RCTs (n = 185) that specifically examined gabapentin. Compared with the control group, patients who received gabapentin had no significant difference in chronic low back pain (mean difference = -0.22 points on a 0- to 10-point scale; 95% CI, -0.51 to 0.07), with a GRADE rating of very low-quality evidence. There was also

no difference in pain relief between groups (two trials; n = 120; risk ratio [RR] = 0.96; 95% CI, 0.061 to 1.49). Compared with placebo, gabapentin had more adverse effects, including dizziness (three trials; n = 121; RR = 2.0; 95% CI, 1.2 to 3.4; number needed to harm [NNH] = 7), fatigue (three trials; n = 121; RR = 1.9; 95% CI, 1.1 to 3.0; NNH = 8), difficulties with mentation (three trials; n = 121; RR = 3.3; 95% CI, 1.5 to 7.3; NNH = 6); and visual disturbances (three trials; n = 121; RR = 5.7; 95% CI, 1.9 to 17; NNH = 6).

A 2016 double-blind RCT (N = 108) investigated gabapentin as a treatment for chronic low back pain with and without a radicular component.2 This RCT was included in the 2017 meta-analysis but is reviewed here separately because it was the largest trial and reviewed multiple additional outcomes not included in the systematic review. Participants were adults who had at least a six-month history of daily back pain, primarily in the lumbar region, that affected at least two aspects of everyday life, and a pain score of 2 or greater on a 10-point scale. They discontinued the use of any muscle relaxants, opioids, or antidepressants at least two weeks before study initiation. Exclusion criteria included major medical or psychiatric comorbidities and recent major surgery. The gabapentin arm was a forced titration design with a daily target of 3,600 mg divided into three doses. The placebo

Clinical Inquiries provides answers to questions submitted by practicing family physicians to the Family Physicians Inquiries Network (FPIN). Members of the network select questions based on their relevance to family medicine. Answers are drawn from an approved set of evidence-based resources and undergo peer review. The strength of recommendations and the level of evidence for individual studies are rated using criteria developed by the Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group (https://www.cebm.net).

The complete database of evidence-based questions and answers is copyrighted by FPIN. If interested in submitting questions or writing answers for this series, go to https://www.fpin.org or email: questions@ fpin.org.

This series is coordinated by John E. Delzell Jr., MD, MSPH, Associate Medical Editor.

A collection of FPIN's Clinical Inquiries published in AFP is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/fpin.

www.aafp.org/afp

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

### **CLINICAL INQUIRIES**

group also up-titrated the placebo pills. The primary outcome was pain intensity (as measured by the Descriptor Differential Scale of Pain Intensity); secondary outcomes included mood (as measured by the Beck Depression Inventory-II) and everyday function (as measured by the Oswestry Disability Index). At 12 weeks there was a significant decrease from baseline in overall pain scores for both groups (P < .0001) but no significant difference between the groups (P = .423). There was no difference between radicular and nonradicular pain, and no difference in pain scores based on plasma levels of gabapentin. There was an overall significant decrease in depressive mood symptoms in both groups (P < .0001) but no significant difference between the groups (P = .519). There was also no significant difference in functionality between groups (*P*= .804).

### **Recommendations from Others**

A 2017 evidence-based practice guideline from the American College of Physicians examined noninvasive treatments for nonradicular low back pain and found insufficient evidence to support the use of gabapentin for acute or chronic back pain.<sup>3</sup>

**Copyright** © Family Physicians Inquiries Network. Used with permission.

Address correspondence to Corey Lyon, DO, at corey.lyon@ucdenver.edu. Reprints are not available from the authors.

#### References

- Shanthanna H, Gilron I, Rajarathinam M, et al. Benefits and safety of gabapentinoids in chronic low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *PLoS Med.* 2017;14(8):e1002369.
- Atkinson JH, Slater MA, Capparelli EV, et al. A randomized controlled trial of gabapentin for chronic low back pain with and without a radiating component. *Pain*. 2016; 157(7):1499-1507.
- 3. Chou R, Deyo R, Friedly J, et al. Systemic pharmacologic therapies for low back pain: a systematic review for an American College of Physicians clinical practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(7):480-492. ■

## 2019 AAFP GLOBAL HEALTH SUMMIT

Primary Health Care and Family Medicine: Health Equity for All

October 10–12 | Albuquerque, New Mexico (Health equity pre-conferences | October 9)

Make a (sustainable) difference. Register now.



Save 15% when you attend both events.

AAFP Global Health Summit October 10–12 | aafp.org/ghs

WONCA World Rural Health Conference October 12–15 | wrhc19.org





H0P19020251