FPIN's Clinical Inquiries

Kinesiology Taping for Knee Osteoarthritis Pain

Dan Kelley, MD, and Gary Kelsberg, MD, Valley Family Medicine Residency, University of Washington at Valley in Renton, Renton, Washington

Sarah Safranek, MLIS, University of Washington Health Sciences Library, Seattle, Washington

Clinical Question

How effective is kinesiology taping (elastic tape applied to the knee to create patellar tension) for osteoarthritis pain of the knee?

Evidence-Based Answer

Kinesiology taping probably does not produce a clinically significant reduction in knee pain from osteoarthritis. Compared with sham taping, kinesiology taping only minimally reduces standardized pain scores in middle-aged patients with moderate pain from nondeforming osteoarthritis of the knee. It does not cause any clinically significant adverse effects. (Strength of Recommendation: B, based on small randomized controlled trials [RCTs] with conflicting results.)

Evidence Summary

Five double-blind RCTs¹⁻⁵ (n = 293) and six single-blind RCTs⁶⁻¹¹ (n = 281) evaluated kinesiology taping vs. sham taping in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. All studies included patient-reported pain scores as an outcome. Researchers randomized patients with radiologically confirmed knee osteoarthritis without deformities on inspection to kinesiology taping (applying elastic adhesive tape with tension to the skin starting just superior to the medial quadriceps tendon and extending inferiorly, circling the patella, and ending near the tibial tuberosity) vs.

a sham taping control (applying adhesive tape to the skin but without tension and in a nondynamic circumferential pattern).

All of the studies recruited patients with moderate pain (baseline of five to seven points on a 10-point visual analog scale [VAS]) from chronic knee osteoarthritis; participants were generally 50 to 70 years of age, with a slight majority of women. The participants in nine studies used a 10-point VAS to assess knee pain (two studies used an 11-point VAS). In most cases, they used a global subjective pain rating, typically at rest. Investigators used kinesiology taping and sham taping for periods lasting from one to seven days, with the length of the experimental protocol ranging from a single taping application up to four applications (Table 1).1-11 In five studies, investigators removed the tape before the outcome was measured, blinding the evaluator to which group participants belonged (i.e., doubleblinding). Investigators evaluated VAS pain scores for differences between groups initially and again after the tape was in place for various periods of time.

Two double-blind trials^{1,5} (n = 174) found that kinesiology taping reduced pain scores by 0.9 and 2.7 points (13% and 36%), respectively, adjusted to a VAS 10-point scale, and three of the double-blind trials²⁻⁴ (n = 119) found that kinesiology taping produced no difference in VAS pain scores.

Clinical Inquiries provides answers to questions submitted by practicing family physicians to the Family Physicians Inquiries Network (FPIN). Members of the network select questions based on their relevance to family medicine. Answers are drawn from an approved set of evidence-based resources and undergo peer review.

The complete database of evidence-based questions and answers is copyrighted by FPIN. If interested in submitting questions or writing answers for this series, go to http://www.fpin.org or email: questions@fpin.org.

This series is coordinated by John E. Delzell Jr., MD, MSPH, assistant medical editor.

A collection of FPIN's Clinical Inquiries published in AFP is available at https://www.aafp.org/afp/fpin.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial affiliations.

TABLE 1

Comparison of RCTs of Kinesiology Taping vs. Sham Taping for Knee Osteoarthritis Pain at Rest

Type of RCT	Number of participants	Mean age (years)	Duration of taping (days)	Outcome assess- ment conditions	Difference in pain (10-point VAS)	P value	Comments
Double-blind ¹	134	70	Six	After four weeks	0.9	< .05	Used 11-point pain rating scale Tape applied for six days, four times
Double-blind ²	39	55	Three	After each taping	0	NS	Tape applied for three days, three times
Double-blind ³	39	69	Four	Daily	0	NS	After each taping session
Double-blind ⁴	41	45	Four	At 12 days	-0.8	NS	Tape applied for four days, three times
Double-blind ⁵	40	58	One	After taping	-2.7	.001	_
Single-blind ⁶	87	65	Three	At three days	NS	NS	_
Single-blind ⁷	61	54	Seven	Initially and at three weeks	-0.4 -0.4	.003 .01	Tape applied for one week, three times
Single-blind ⁸	15	69	One	After taping	-2.5	NS	_
Single-blind ⁹	46	58	Two	After taping	0	NS	_
Single-blind ¹⁰	58	69	Seven	At three weeks	-0.8	NS	Used 11-point pain rating scale Tape applied for one week, three times
Single-blind ¹¹	14	70	Four	Daily com- parison with baseline	Day 2: -1.9 Day 3: -1.8 Day 4: -1.6	.007 .022 .023	Day 1 results NS Compared medial vs. neutral taping

Note: Bold values are statistically significant. Most baseline VAS pain ratings ranged from five to seven out of 10 points. Differences from baseline described in text where significant.

NS = not significant; RCT = randomized controlled trial; VAS = visual analog scale.

Information from references 1-11.

Four of the single-blind trials $^{6,8-10}$ (n = 206) found that kinesiology taping did not reduce pain significantly, whereas two trials^{7,11} (n = 75) found that it reduced pain scores by 0.4 and an average of 1.8 points (8% and baseline VAS not supplied), respectively. Several trials evaluated pain scores for up to a month after the interventions were discontinued, and no trial found persistent pain reductions once the taping was removed. A few patients reported skin irritation from the tape adhesive but described no other adverse effects. A potential weakness in blinding for all studies was that kinesiology taping involves application to

the skin with tension whereas sham taping does not. If study participants were aware of this, they could potentially perceive the difference between the dynamic force of kinesiology taping and the tension-free sham taping.

Recommendations from Others

A 2011 Royal Australian College of General Practitioners guideline for nondrug interventions for knee osteoarthritis recommended taping, although they did not specify kinesiology taping, with other strategies such as exercise and weight loss to reduce pain. They also encouraged

CLINICAL INQUIRIES

participation in land-based and aquatic resistance programs.¹² The guideline speculated that taping may benefit patients even without applying appreciable force, perhaps by causing sensory changes or a placebo effect.

Copyright Family Physicians Inquiries Network. Used with permission.

Address correspondence to Gary Kelsberg, MD, at kelsberg@uw.edu. Reprints are not available from the authors.

References

- 1. Donec V, Kubilius R. The effectiveness of Kinesio Taping® for pain management in knee osteoarthritis: a randomized, double-blind, controlled clinical trial. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis. 2019;11:1759720X19869135.
- 2. Kaya Mutlu E, Mustafaoglu R, Birinci T, et al. Does kinesio taping of the knee improve pain and functionality in patients with knee osteoarthritis? A randomized controlled clinical trial. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2017;96(1):25-33.
- 3. Wageck B, Nunes GS, Bohlen NB, et al. Kinesio taping does not improve the symptoms or function of older people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomised trial. J Physiother. 2016;62(3):153-158.
- 4. Kocyigit F, Turkmen MB, Acar M, et al. Kinesio taping or sham taping in knee osteoarthritis? A randomized, doubleblind, sham-controlled trial. Complement Ther Clin Pract. 2015;21(4):262-267.
- 5. Anandkumar S, Sudarshan S, Nagpal P. Efficacy of kinesio taping on isokinetic quadriceps torque in knee osteoar-

- thritis: a double blinded randomized controlled study. Physiother Theory Pract. 2014;30(6):375-383.
- 6. Rahlf AL, Braumann KM, Zech A. Kinesio taping improves perceptions of pain and function of patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized, controlled trial. J Sport Rehabil. 2019;28(5):481-487.
- 7. Öğüt H, Güler H, Yildizgören MT, et al. Does kinesiology taping improve muscle strength and function in knee osteoarthritis? A single-blind randomized and controlled study. Arch Rheumatol. 2018;33(3):335-343.
- 8. Edmonds DW, McConnell J, Ebert JR, et al. Biomechanical, neuromuscular and knee pain effects following therapeutic knee taping among patients with knee osteoarthritis during walking gait. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon). 2016;39:38-43.
- 9. Cho HY, Kim EH, Kim J, et al. Kinesio taping improves pain, range of motion, and proprioception in older patients with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2015;94(3):192-200.
- 10. Hinman RS, Crossley KM, McConnell J, et al. Efficacy of knee tape in the management of osteoarthritis of the knee: blinded randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2003; 327(7407):135
- 11. Cushnaghan J, McCarthy C, Dieppe P. Taping the patella medially: a new treatment for osteoarthritis of the knee joint? BMJ. 1994;308(6931):753-755.
- 12. Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. Clinical guidelines. Handbook of non-drug interventions: knee taping for osteoarthritis. 2011. Accessed September 5, 2019. https://www.racgp.org.au/clinical-resources/clinicalguidelines/handi/conditions/musculoskeletal/kneetaping-for-osteoarthritis ■

Coding Level 4 Office Visits Using the New E/M Guidelines »

Get FPM in print

Why FPM?

Because you want practice and patient care advice designed for family doctors, from family doctors

Don't wait. Subscribe today.

For a better practice, healthier patients. and a rewarding career.





aafp.org/fpm/forfamilydocs

*AAFP member rate only \$55/year. Non-member physician rate \$130.