

# Diagnostic Tests

## What Physicians Need to Know

### Multiparametric MRI for the Evaluation of Prostate Cancer

Carl Bryce, MD, FAAFP, and Amanda Rapp, MD, FAAFP, Abrazo Family Medicine Residency, Phoenix, Arizona

**Multiparametric** magnetic resonance imaging (mpMRI) is a specific MRI test used to detect and evaluate prostate cancer. It requires additional radiologist training and sequences not routinely performed in anatomic imaging, such as diffusion-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging.<sup>1</sup> The National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the American Urological Association support using mpMRI for risk stratification before the first prostate biopsy, to perform targeted prostate biopsy, and in active surveillance.<sup>2,3</sup>

#### Accuracy

The prostate imaging reporting and data system, second revision (PI-RADSv2) provides standardized interpretation and reporting of mpMRI.<sup>1</sup> A score between 1 and 5 is assigned by a radiologist (1 suggests that a clinically significant cancer is highly unlikely, and 5 suggests that it is highly likely). In a systematic review of 21 studies including 3,857 patients, the PI-RADSv2 tool (using a threshold score of 3 or 4) had a pooled sensitivity of 0.89 (95% CI, 0.86 to 0.92) and specificity of 0.73 (95% CI, 0.60 to 0.83) for the detection of prostate cancer.<sup>4</sup>

Inclusion of mpMRI information into a multivariate risk-prediction calculator improves the accuracy of cancer risk assessment and can assist in shared decision-making regarding management options.<sup>5</sup>

The strongest support for prebiopsy mpMRI has been derived from a systematic review of 15 studies (n = 2,293) in which mpMRI plus targeted biopsy was compared with transrectal ultrasound (TRUS)-guided systematic biopsy alone.<sup>6</sup> By targeting suspicious areas of the prostate, the median rate of significant prostate cancer detection was 33% with mpMRI vs. 24% with biopsy alone. The targeted

Test	Indication	Population and frequency	Cost*
Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging	Risk stratification for targeted biopsy; active surveillance of low-risk prostate cancer	Patients 50 years or older with suspected or known prostate cancer, frequency of test varies	\$275 to \$444

\*—Payment rate according to the 2022 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services physician fee schedule (national payment amount for nonfacility cost).<sup>14</sup>

approach was also more efficient, requiring only nine core biopsies instead of 37. In addition, only 2% of clinically significant cancer was missed with mpMRI vs. 9% with TRUS-guided biopsy. Therefore, prebiopsy mpMRI can improve the diagnostic yield of a patient's first prostate biopsy.

#### Benefit

In a randomized controlled trial of 1,532 patients with prostate-specific antigen levels of 3 ng per mL (3 mcg per L) or greater, clinically significant prostate cancer was diagnosed in a similar percentage of patients who had mpMRI plus targeted biopsy as those who had TRUS-guided systematic biopsy alone (21% vs. 18%; risk difference = 3%; 95% CI, -1% to 7%). Additionally, clinically insignificant cancer was detected less often in the mpMRI plus targeted biopsy group compared with the TRUS-guided systematic biopsy group (4% vs. 12%; risk difference = -8%; 95% CI, -11% to -5%).<sup>7</sup>

A prospective cohort of 172 patients in whom cancer was suspected despite previous negative biopsies underwent mpMRI plus targeted biopsy and TRUS-guided systematic biopsy. Targeted biopsy detected clinically significant prostate cancer (Gleason score of 7 or more) more often than systematic biopsy (16% vs. 9%;  $P = .01$ ).<sup>8</sup>

Based on improved risk assessment with the use of mpMRI and the test's high sensitivity, there is future potential to avoid unnecessary biopsies in patients with a low-risk result (PI-RADS score of 2 or less). Widespread adoption of this approach is not yet recommended because of dependence on operator experience and significant interobserver variability.<sup>9</sup> Longer-term studies demonstrating the optimal biopsy threshold and safety are needed.

This series is coordinated by Kenny Lin, MD, MPH, deputy editor.

A collection of Diagnostic Tests published in *AFP* is available at <https://www.aafp.org/afp/diagnostic>.

**Author disclosure:** No relevant financial relationships.

TABLE 1

### Additional Costs Related to Multiparametric MRI of the Prostate

Test	CPT code	Cost*
Multiparametric MRI of the prostate	—	\$275 to \$444
Pelvic MRI		
Without contrast	72195	\$251
With contrast	72196	\$294
With and without contrast	72197	\$370
Three-dimensional rendering with interpretation and reporting of findings from computed tomography, MRI, ultrasonography, or other tomographic modality		
Not requiring image postprocessing on an independent workstation	76376	\$24
Requiring image postprocessing on an independent workstation	76377	\$74

CPT = Current Procedural Terminology; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

\*—Cost based on the 2022 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services physician fee schedule (national payment amount for non-facility cost).<sup>14</sup>

## Harms

In 2017, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration started requiring a warning with gadolinium-based contrast agents because they may be partially retained in brain tissue for months to years after use.<sup>10</sup> The only established complication of gadolinium-based contrast is nephrogenic systemic fibrosis, which affected up to 0.07% of patients with stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease in one large meta-analysis.<sup>11</sup> The long-term consequences of gadolinium retention are otherwise unknown and require further safety studies.

Prostate cancer overdiagnosis remains a problem, but studies suggest that it is improved with the use of mpMRI.<sup>9</sup>

## Cost

Studies suggest that mpMRI could be cost-effective at \$23,483 per quality-adjusted life-year, although this conclusion depends on the assumption that a negative mpMRI result could be used to safely avoid biopsy.<sup>12</sup>

Coding for MRI-TRUS targeted biopsy is complicated. The test is considered investigational by many insurance carriers, and there is no specific Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code for it.<sup>13</sup> CPT codes for the MRI and 3D rendering are billed by a radiologist, whereas the codes that urologists use for a biopsy are not changed by the use of mpMRI. The added radiologic costs and related CPT codes are listed in Table 1.<sup>14</sup>

## Bottom Line

In patients with known or suspected prostate cancer, mpMRI may improve care by providing an individualized assessment of clinically significant cancer risk and by improving the yield of prostate biopsy. However, no studies have evaluated the effects of mpMRI use on morbidity or mortality.

The authors thank Sanjay Ramakumar, MD, for his assistance in the preparation of this manuscript.

Address correspondence to Carl Bryce, MD, FAAFP, at carl.bryce@abrazohealth.com. Reprints are not available from the authors.

## References

1. Stabile A, Giganti F, Rosenkrantz AB, et al. Multiparametric MRI for prostate cancer diagnosis: current status and future directions. *Nat Rev Urol*. 2020;17(1):41-61.
2. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. NCCN guidelines. Prostate cancer. Accessed September 10, 2021. <https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1459>
3. Bjurlin MA, Carroll PR, Eggener S, et al. Update of the standard operating procedure on the use of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis, staging and management of prostate cancer. *J Urol*. 2020;203(4):706-712.
4. Woo S, Suh CH, Kim SY, et al. Diagnostic performance of Prostate Imaging Reporting and Data System version 2 for detection of prostate cancer: a systematic review and diagnostic meta-analysis. *Eur Urol*. 2017;72(2):177-188.
5. Salami SS, Vira MA, Turkbey B, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging outperforms the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial risk calculator in predicting clinically significant prostate cancer. *Cancer*. 2014;120(18):2876-2882.
6. Valerio M, Donaldson I, Emberton M, et al. Detection of clinically significant prostate cancer using magnetic resonance imaging-ultrasound fusion targeted biopsy: a systematic review. *Eur Urol*. 2015;68(1):8-19.
7. Eklund M, Jäderling F, Discacciati A, et al.; STHLM3 consortium. MRI-targeted or standard biopsy in prostate cancer screening. *N Engl J Med*. 2021;385(10):908-920.
8. Mendhiratta N, Meng X, Rosenkrantz AB, et al. Prebiopsy MRI and MRI-ultrasound fusion-targeted prostate biopsy in men with previous negative biopsies: impact on repeat biopsy strategies. *Urology*. 2015;86(6):1192-1198.
9. Sonn GA, Fan RE, Ghanouni P, et al. Prostate magnetic resonance imaging interpretation varies substantially across radiologists. *Eur Urol Focus*. 2019;5(4):592-599.
10. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA drug safety communication: FDA warns that gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) are retained in the body; requires new class warnings. May 16, 2018. Accessed September 15, 2021. <https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm589213.htm>
11. Woolen SA, Shankar PR, Gagnier JJ, et al. Risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in patients with stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease receiving a group II gadolinium-based contrast agent: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180(2):223-230.
12. Barnett CL, Davenport MS, Montgomery JS, et al. Cost-effectiveness of magnetic resonance imaging and targeted fusion biopsy for early detection of prostate cancer. *BJU Int*. 2018;122(1):50-58.
13. Rubenstein J. How to code for magnetic resonance imaging-informed prostate biopsies. *Rev Urol*. 2014;16(2):88-89.
14. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare physician fee schedule. Accessed April 28, 2022. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare/physician-fee-schedule/search/overview> ■