

FPIN's Clinical Inquiries

Deprescribing Chronic Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors

Yuriy Golub, DO; Matthew Duenas, MD; and Jon O. Neher, MD

Valley Family Medicine Residency, Renton, Washington

Clinical Question

What is the most effective method for deprescribing chronic use of proton pump inhibitor (PPI) medications?

Evidence-Based Answer

Several deprescribing methods may provide limited success. Some patients may have a return of symptoms with abrupt discontinuation. (Strength of Recommendation [SOR]: B, placebo arms in randomized controlled trials [RCTs].) Changing the PPI prescription to as-needed dosing results in fewer pills being used (by about four pills per week), but with lower patient satisfaction and less symptom control. (SOR: A, meta-analysis.) Taking a PPI as needed decreases use in two-thirds of patients. (SOR: B, RCTs.) Adding a rescue histamine H₂ blocker may reduce the risk of restarting a PPI by about 80%. (SOR: B, secondary outcome in small RCTs.)

Evidence Summary

Deprescribing is recommended for patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) who are low risk and are symptom-free after four weeks of PPI therapy. A systematic review and meta-analysis identified six RCTs (n = 1,758) of

adults 48 years and older with nonerosive GERD or milder grades of reflux esophagitis (Los Angeles classification system for GERD grades A and B) comparing symptom control, pill burden, and patient satisfaction in patients deprescribed PPIs.¹ Researchers compared abrupt withdrawal and changing to as-needed treatment with continuing daily therapy. Researchers measured outcomes using symptom surveys. Compared with continuous PPI use, the as-needed PPI regimen had a higher risk of poorly controlled symptoms (relative risk [RR] = 1.71; 95% CI, 1.31 to 2.21) and reduced patient satisfaction (RR = 1.82; 95% CI, 1.26 to 2.65), although with a lower pill burden (mean difference = -3.79 pills per week; 95% CI, -4.73 to -2.84). One study (n = 105) examined abrupt discontinuation in an older study group (mean age = 73 years) who all had endoscopic evidence of erosive esophagitis. Symptoms returned in two-thirds of patients who abruptly stopped their PPI (67.9% vs. 22.4% for continuous use; RR = 3.02; 95% CI, 1.74 to 5.24). Trials were inconsistent in how they reported symptom control, the studies were not always blinded, and many trials had small sample sizes.

An earlier double-blind RCT (n = 288; not included in the systematic review) enrolled adult patients (mean age = 57 years) with mild nonerosive GERD controlled on at least six months of daily PPIs or H₂ blockers.² After a run-in period of 20 mg of pantoprazole daily, researchers randomized patients to a daily placebo with a rescue PPI or continuation of a PPI with a rescue placebo. The trial lasted 17 weeks. Among patients in the daily placebo arm, 67% completed the study, whereas 24% discontinued the study because of inadequate symptom relief. Of the patients in the placebo group who completed the study, 32% took daily rescue PPIs, 43% took some reduced dosage, and 25% used less than two pills per week. About 20% of patients in the placebo arm were satisfied with taking less than one PPI pill per week. The RCT was limited by a high drop-out rate during the run-in period and a lack of statistical analysis.

A more recent small RCT (n = 38) compared abrupt PPI discontinuation vs. tapering on rebound symptoms and sustained PPI cessation.³ All patients had a clinical diagnosis of GERD and had been on a daily PPI for at least three months. Tapering occurred over two to four weeks depending on the initial PPI dosing. Patients in either arm of the study were

Clinical Inquiries provides answers to questions submitted by practicing family physicians to the Family Physicians Inquiries Network (FPIN). Members of the network select questions based on their relevance to family medicine. Answers are drawn from an approved set of evidence-based resources and undergo peer review. The strength of recommendations and the level of evidence for individual studies are rated using criteria developed by the Evidence-Based Medicine Working Group (<https://www.cebm.net>).

The complete database of evidence-based questions and answers is copyrighted by FPIN. If interested in submitting questions or writing answers for this series, go to <https://www.fpin.org> or email: questions@fpin.org.

This series is coordinated by John E. Delzell Jr., MD, MSPH, associate medical editor.

A collection of FPIN's Clinical Inquiries published in *AFP* is available at <https://www.aafp.org/afp/fpin>.

Author disclosure: No relevant financial relationships.

CLINICAL INQUIRIES

allowed to use an H₂ blocker or calcium carbonate tablets as needed for comfort. At 12 months, 58% of patients were able to discontinue and stay off PPIs in both groups (*P* value between groups reported as not significant). Among secondary outcomes, researchers saw a 79% reduction in the risk of resuming PPI therapy in patients who used an H₂ blocker in either arm (hazard ratio = 0.210; 95% CI, 0.073 to 0.620; *P* = .004). Authors noted that the trial was underpowered, and GERD pathology was not confirmed using endoscopy.

Recommendations From Others

A 2017 evidence-informed, consensus guideline by the College of Family Physicians of Canada noted that prolonged use of PPIs resulted in unnecessary cost, polypharmacy, adverse drug interactions, and potential medical complications such as hypomagnesemia and hip fracture.⁴ This guideline recommended that PPIs be de-prescribed in adults who have completed at least four weeks of PPI therapy and have resolution of upper gastrointestinal symptoms. A PPI dose

reduction or a change to on-demand use (strong recommendation, low-quality evidence) was also recommended. Changing to an H₂ blocker was considered a reasonable alternative (weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

Copyright © Family Physicians Inquiries Network. Used with permission.

Address correspondence to Yuriy Golub, DO, at yuriy_golub@valleymed.org. Reprints are not available from the authors.

References

1. Boghossian TA, Rashid FJ, Thompson W, et al. Deprescribing versus continuation of chronic proton pump inhibitor use in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017;(3):CD011969.
2. van der Velden AW, de Wit NJ, Quartero AO, et al. Pharmacological dependency in chronic treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Digestion*. 2010;81(1):43-52.
3. Hendricks E, Ajmeri AN, Singh MM, et al. A randomized open-label study of two methods of proton pump inhibitors discontinuation. *Cureus*. 2021;13(5):e15022.
4. Farrell B, Pottie K, Thompson W, et al. Deprescribing proton pump inhibitors: evidence-based clinical practice guideline. *Can Fam Physician*. 2017;63(5):354-364. ■

The image displays a collection of FPM (Family Practice Management) journal covers and a digital device showing the FPM website. The covers feature various articles, including 'Better practice. Healthier patients. Rewarding career.', 'Outpatient E/M Coding Simplified', 'How to Succeed in Value-Based Care', 'Exploring Practice Options in Family Medicine', and 'Care Management in the Real World: One Practice's Journey'. The digital device shows the FPM website with a search bar, navigation menu, and a featured article titled 'Outpatient E/M Coding Simplified'.



FPM journal's resources can help you achieve practice efficiencies, enhance quality, navigate legal and regulatory issues, boost income, and safeguard your well-being.

aafp.org/fpm/subscribe

AAFP members receive online access to FPM and can purchase companion print subscriptions. Non-members can purchase print or online access.