

CINDY HUGHES, CPC, CFPC

**MDM RISK LEVEL WHEN REFERRING PATIENT FOR FURTHER WORKUP**

**Q** When a patient presents with a high-risk condition and I send them to the emergency department or another physician for further workup and management, does this constitute a high level of “risk” for coding based on medical decision making (MDM)?

**A** The short answer is that while an emergency referral does not preclude a visit from being high risk for purposes of MDM, it also does not ensure that the visit is high risk. This is a bit of a gray area that CPT will hopefully clarify in the near future. CPT is clear that the “risk” portion of MDM-based coding refers to the risk of complications and/or morbidity or mortality of the clinician’s patient management decisions, not the risk of the patient’s problem. But logically, there is some overlap between the two.

Determining the risk of management (i.e., treatment or diagnostic options considered and either selected or not selected) requires clinical judgment regarding the risk of long-term illness or functional impairment or organ damage that may result from *treatment/management decisions made as part of a visit*. CPT instructs that risk is based on the “usual behavior and thought processes of a physician or other qualified health care profes-

sional in the same specialty.” CPT further states that clinicians have a common understanding of what constitutes minimal, low, moderate, and high-risk management in these situations and “do not require quantification for these definitions.”

In the absence of quantification, family physicians are left with the subjective task of determining how other family physicians would categorize the risks of the patient management decisions they make. In the scenario you describe, you must consider what the risk is to the patient of your referral decision, not the risks the patient faces from the management decisions another clinician may make at the next encounter.

**CARE OF NEWBORN ADMITTED AND DISCHARGED THE SAME DAY**

**Q** For CPT code 99463, initial care of a normal newborn admitted and discharged on the same date, does the discharge have to take place within 24 hours of delivery?

**A** No, the discharge service must take place on the same calendar date that initial newborn care is provided. For example, an infant born on Monday night is first seen by a physician early Tuesday morning and is discharged following a second physician visit on Tuesday evening. Because the admission and discharge both took place on Tuesday’s date, code 99463 is appropriate.

**TREATMENT OF PARTIAL-THICKNESS BURN**

**Q** Is it appropriate to report CPT code 16020 for care of a partial-thickness burn of the hand that required removal of skin (ruptured blister) and application

of a dressing, or is more significant debridement required to report this code?

**A** Code 16020, “Dressings and/or debridement of partial-thickness burns, initial or subsequent; small (less than 5% total body surface area)” is appropriate for this service. Note that the code descriptor requires dressing and/or debridement of a burn or burns involving a small percentage of the body’s total surface area. If you also provide and document a significant and separately identifiable E/M service (e.g., evaluation for other injuries), report the appropriate E/M code with modifier 25.

**DIABETES MANAGED WITH ORAL AND INJECTABLE MEDICATIONS**

**Q** What diagnosis code should I report when a patient’s diabetes is managed with an oral diabetic medication and an injectable glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonist?



**A** Report code Z79.84, “Long term (current) use of oral anti-diabetic drugs” and Z79.85, “Long term (current) use of injectable non-insulin antidiabetic drugs” in addition to the appropriate code(s) describing the patient’s diabetes and any manifestations. **FPM**

Send comments to [fpmedit@aafp.org](mailto:fpmedit@aafp.org), or add your comments to the article online.

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

Cindy Hughes is an independent consulting editor based in El Dorado, Kan., and a contributing editor to *FPM*. Author disclosure: no relevant financial relationships.

**EDITOR’S NOTE**

Reviewed by the *FPM* Coding & Documentation Review Panel. Some payers may not agree with the advice given. Refer to current coding manuals and payer policies.